Bts baepsae mv

I'm not robot!











Lyrics bts () - 'baepsae' mv. Lyrics bts baepsae mv. Download lagu bts baepsae' mv. Bts baepsae explained.

Wikimedia page for featured content candidates For the criteria to become a featured article, see Wikipedia: Foetured article nominations. Page too long and unwieldy? Try adding nominations viewer to your scripts page. Here, we determine which articles are to be featured articles (FAs). FAs exemplify Wikipedia's very best work and satisfy the FA criteria. All editors are welcome to review face the review and adding the review to the FAC peer review sidebar. Editors considering their first nomination, and any subsequent nomination before their first FA promotion, are strongly advised to seek the involvement of a mentor, to assist in the preparation and processing of the nomination. Nominators must be sufficiently familiar with the subject matter and sources to deal with objections during the featured article candidates (FAC) process. Nominators who are not significant contributors to the article should consult regular editors of the article before nominating it. Nominators are expected to respond positively to constructive criticism and to make efforts to address objections promptly. An article should not be on Featured article candidates and Peer review or Good article nominations at the same time. The FAC coordinators—Ian Rose, Gog the Mild, Buidhe and Hog Farm—determine the timing of the process for each nomination. For a nomination to be promoted to FA status, consensus must be reached that it meets the criteria. there is consensus. A nomination will be removed from the list and archived if, in the judgment of the coordinators: actionable objections have not been reached; insufficient information has been provided by reviewers to judge whether the criteria have been met; or a nomination is unprepared, after at least one reviewer has suggested it be withdrawn. It is assumed that all nominations have good qualities; this is why the main thrust of the process is to generate and resolve critical comments in relation to the criteria, and why such resolution is given considerably more weight than declarations of support. Do not use graphics or complex templates on FAC nomination pages. Graphics such as Done and Not done slow down the page load time, and complex templates can lead to errors in the FAC archives. For technical reasons, templates that are acceptable are {{collapse top}} and {{collapse top}}, used to hide offtopic discussions, and templates such as {{green}} that apply colours to text and are used to highlight examples without altering fonts. Other templates such as {{tq}}, and {{xt}}, may be removed. An editor is allowed to be the sole nominator of only one article at a time, but two nominations may be allowed if the editor is a co-nominator on at least one of them. If a nomination is archived, the nominator(s) should take adequate time to work on resolving issues before re-nominator; if such an article is nominated without asking for leave, a coordinator will decide whether to remove it. A coordinator may exempt from this restriction an archived nomination that attracted no (or minimal) feedback. Nominations in urgent need of review are listed here. To contact the FAC talk page, or use the {{@FAC}} notification template elsewhere. A bot will update the article talk page after the article is promoted or the nomination archived; the delay in bot processing can range from minutes to several days, and the {{FAC}} template should remain on the talk page until the bot updates {{Article history}}. Table of Contents - This page: Purge cache ShortcutWP:FAC vte Featured content: Featured articles ← Featured lists Featured pictures Featured topics Featured article candidates (FAC) Featured articles (FAC) Featured article statistics Featured article statistics Featured articles (FAR) Former featured article statistics Featured articles (FAR) Former featured arti TFA oddities Most viewed TFAs Featured articles yet to appear as TFA Script to track TFA recent changes Featured articles promoted Recent changes to featured articles Random featured articles Random featured articles and the featured articles for featured articles and the featured articles articles for featured articles articles for featured articles articles for featured ensure that it meets all of the FA criteria and that peer reviews are closed and archived. The featured article toolbox (at right) can help you check some of the nominated article and save the page. From the FAC template, click on the red "initiate the nomination" link or the blue "leave comments" link. You will see pre-loaded information; leave that text. If you are unsure how to complete a nomination page, sign with ~~~~, and save the page. Copy this text: {{Wikipedia:Featured article candidates/name of nominated article/archiveNumber} { (substituting Number), and edit this page (i.e., the page you are reading at the moment), pasting the template at the top of the list of candidates. Replace "name of ..." with the name of your nomination. This will transclude the nomination into this page. In the event that the title of the nomination page differs from this format, use the page's title instead. Commenting, supporting and opposing Supporting and opposing ShortcutWikipedia: FACSUPPORTOPPOSE To respond to a nomination, click the "Edit this page" link for the whole FAC page). All editors are welcome to review nominations; see the review FAQ for an overview of the review process. To support a nomination, write *"Support", followed by your reason(s), which should be based on a full reading of the text. If you have been a significant contributor to the article before its nomination, please indicate this. A reviewer who specializes in certain areas of the FA criteria should indicate whether the support is applicable to all of the criteria. To oppose a nomination, write *"Object" or *"Oppose", followed by your reason(s). Each objection must provide a specific rationale that can be addressed. If nothing can be done in principle to address the objection, a coordinator may disregard it. References on style and grammar do not always agree; if a contributor cites support for a certain style in a standard reference work or other authoritative source, reviewers should consider accepting it. Reviewers who object are strongly encouraged to return after a few days to check whether their objection has been addressed. To withdraw the objection, strike it out (with ...) rather than removing it. Alternatively, reviewers may transfer lengthy, resolved commentary to the FAC archive talk page, leaving a link in a note on the FAC archive talk page, leaving a link in a note on the FAC archive talk page. create a neutral fourth-level subsection, named either ==== Review by EditorX ==== comments by EditorX ==== (do not use third-level or higher section headers). Please do not create subsections for short statements of support or opposition—for these a simple *''Support''', *'''Oppose''', or *'''Comment''' followed by your statement of opinion, is sufficient. Please do not use a semicolon to bold a subheading; this creates accessibility problems. If a nominator feels that an Oppose has been addressed, they should say so, either after the reviewer's signature, or by interspersing their responses in the list provided by the reviewer. Per talk page guidelines, nominators should not cap, alter, strike, or add graphics to comments from other editors. If a nominator finds that an opposing reviewer is not returning to the nomination page, with a diff to the reviewer's talk page showing the request to reconsider. ShortcutWP:FACGO Happier Than Ever: A Love Letter to Los Angeles Nominator(s): Your Power "What did I tell you?" "Don't get complacent..." 09:49, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Singer-songwriter Billie Eilish! Disney-style animations courtesy of Patrick Osborne! Swearing! Like, lots of it. Witness this truly random combination of concepts, and more, in the 2021 concert film A Love Letter to Los Angeles And I am finally back! With "Streets" (song) passing FAC under my wing, I feel happier than ever to nominate my second article for the bronze star. Thanks to a bunch of trimming down copyvio and a helpful GA review from @VersaceSpace, I believe the article guality is tantalizingly close to meeting the FA criteria. Constructive comments from anybody are absolutely welcome. Your Power "What did I tell you?" "Don't get complacent..." 09:49, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Burhanuddin Harahap, a former Prime Minister of Indonesia who served during its first election (and other things) only to join a rebellion less than two years later and the last Indonesian head of government to explicitly come from an Islamic party until Abdurrahman Wahid in 2001 (granted, with only 5 people between them). Juxlos (talk) 04:35, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Electric eel Nominator(s): Chiswick Chap (talk) 12:42, 14 August 2022 (UTC) and LittleJerryReply[reply] This article is about the electric eel, recently found to be a genus with three species; its study advanced understanding of electricity as well as of physiology. We've tried to present the subject at once plainly, historically, and scientifically and we hope you like the result. Chiswick Chap (talk) 12:42, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Lightning Symbols. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (talk) 13:23, 1 13:27, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Sternarchorhynchus_oxyrhynchus_jpg needs a US tag. Ditto File:Rhamphichthys_marmoratus.jpg, File:Exodon_paradoxus_Castelnau.jpg All three images given US tags on Commons. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:23, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Impedance_matching_in_electric_fishes.svg needs a source for the data presented. Nikkimaria (talk) 13:00, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The image caption is cited to Kramer 2008. Copied the citation to Commons. Chiswick Chap (talk) 13:27, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] R2K: The Concert Nominator(s): Pseud 14 (talk) 13:27, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] After working on Philippinerelated BLP articles and bringing them to FA, I've decided to explore other interests within music by working on a concert article and take a bit of a break from another biography. This article is about a concert article and take a bit of a break from another biography. issues. I feel ready to bring this to FAC. Constructive criticism, in any form and from anyone, will be appreciated. Happy to address your comments and thanks to all who take the time to review. Pseud 14 (talk) 19:34, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review - pass. All of the images used seem to be appropriately licensed.--NØ 07:19, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 1899 United States Senate election which lacked one of the usual things you find with elections, that is, a winner. Unimportant in itself, this election which elected no one set off a chain of events that helped make Theodore Roosevelt president. Wehwalt (talk) 01:45, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest adding alt text File:History of Beaver County, Pennsylvania and its centennial celebration, (1904) (14804406853).jpg: is more specific tagging available? File:George A. Jenks.jpg: source link is dead File:BenjaminFJones.jpg is tagged as lacking author info, and what evidence is there this was published c. 1870? The source says only date and doesn't specify whether that was publication or only creation. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:38, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've given a more specific tag for the first one and swapped the two other images for (regrettably inferior) ones that are clearly PD. I'll keep looking, but this should do the trick for now. Thank you for the image review.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:04, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The little cousin of Grant's Canal. A single boat made it through, but this one wasn't really successful either. Hog Farm Talk 18:46, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Iazyges Reviewed this at ACR, happy to support as FA standard. Iazyges Reviewed this at ACR, happy to support the sourcing as meeting the higher FA standards. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 23:38, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest adding legend, but see MOS:COLOUR. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ive actually swapped this map out with a crop, as only a small portion is really needed for this article. For now, I've added a legend, although I've made a request at the Graphics Lab to see if something can be done here to fix the accessibility issues. Hog Farm Talk 23:31, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Low Memorial Library Nominator(s): Epicgenius (talk) 15:27, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the original library building constructed on Columbia University's Morningside Heights campus from 1895 to 1897. Great care was taken in designing this building, which was literally the centerpiece of the campus. Named after the father of Columbia University's Morningside Heights campus from 1895 to 1897. original campus), the structure is shaped like a Greek cross, with a limestone-and-granite facade and an ornate domed rotunda. The Low Memorial Library had space for 500,000 volumes but was inadequate as a library. It was converted into offices in 1934 but remains a prominent fixture on the Columbia campus. This page was promoted as a Good Article ten months ago after a GA review by GhostRiver, for which I am very grateful. In addition, the page received a GOCE copyedit a few months ago from Baffle gab1978, whose efforts I also appreciate. I think it's up to FA quality now, and I look forward to all comments and feedback. Epicgenius (talk) 15:27, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest adding alt text Done. Epicgenius (talk) 13:48, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Trustees_room_at_Columbia_University_MG_0908.JPG: to which work(s) is the PD-expired tag believed to apply? It applies to the portrait at the center. But given that this image was taken by a banned user with a history of copyright problems I'm considering removing it. Epicgenius (talk) 13:48, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Low Library 2.jpg: given the date this cannot be own work, If you're asking about the original digitized source, it is the Library of Congress. Epicgenius (talk) 13:48, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Low Library 2.jpg: given the date this cannot be own work, and where/when was this first published? Removed. I can't find the original source online (though a copy of the original is available on Columbia Book Slide.jpg: where was this first published? Also removed. I can't find the original source online. Epicgenius (talk) 13:48, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Columbia Book Slide.jpg: where was this first published? Also removed. I can't find the original source online. Epicgenius (talk) 13:48, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:AlmaMater2020.png needs a tag for the original work. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:34, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from ErnestKrause Fairly nicely written and organized article about this well-known tourist visiting spot in NYC. Would it be of any interest to possibly consider adding a section dealing with the artistic renderings of the building as it has been visited by artists and moviemakers over the past several decades, such as here: [1]. It seems like I've seen in several NYC movies such as the ones by Woody Allen and other directors. Also architects have made artistic renderings of high quality, etc. Could you consider such a section for this article. As a separate point, the lead section should say more about the centrality of the building to the campus, it needs more emphasis as the cornerstone for the entire campus, rather than just simple focal point; you already have some of this in the section on this topic which you could move up into the lead section. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the comments ErnestKrause. I've edited the lead to emphasize the fact that Low is actually at the center of Columbia's Morningside Heights campus (the university has since expanded to another campus in nearby Manhattanville, hence the clarification). I'm working on adding some information about media appearances, including film and artwork. Unfortunately, so far, I haven't been able to find many reliable secondary sources, although the university's own website has a couple of pages describing film appearances. Epicgenius (talk) 17:08, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There is also the Wikipedia article Columbia University in popular culture. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:10, 16 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ælfwynn, wife of Æthelstan Half-King Nominator(s): Dudley Miles (talk) 11:13, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ælfwynn was an important figure in tenth-century England, but as with almost all women in this period, very little is known about her. The article is therefore short even though it is comprehensive and I hope it will be found suitable for FA. Dudley Miles (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. Thanks Nikki. Dudley Miles (talk) 08:57, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ælfwynn was the wife of Æthelstan Half-King, Ealdorman of East Anglia. He was called the Half-King because it was believed that he was so powerful that King Edmund I (940-946) and his brother King Eadred (946-955) depended on his advice. There's a lot of "he" usage in the second sentence and the following one, perhaps Ælfwynn was the wife of Æthelstan Half-King, Eadred (946-955) depended on his advice. was so powerful that King Edmund I (940-946) and his brother King Eadred (946-955) depended on his advice. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 08:57, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] However, historians, perhaps However, historians, perhaps However, Cyril Hart, and so on. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 08:57, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] However, Cyril Hart, and so on. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 08:57, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] However, historians, perhaps However, historians, historians, perhaps However, his 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] He was part of Edgar's inner circle as his camerarius (chamberlain) until 963 Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 08:57, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Dudley Miles: That is all of my suggestions, a neat little article. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 04:35, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] All done. Thanks Iazyges. Dudley Miles (talk) 09:03, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The article is about a 2004 Puzzle game originally released on the PlayStation Portable. It had received multiple revisions and ports along with sequels and spin-offs. The article covered briefly but have their own article and intended to be summarized in detail in the Lumines article. This is the second nomination. It initially failed because of criteria 1a. I had since requested Guild of Copy editors to assist with the specific intent of getting it to Featured status. Baffle gab1978 assisted with the copy-edit and i had found all the edits satisfactory. I believe this time it meets Featured class. Blue Pumpkin Pie (talk) 21:26, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Apologies for leaving a message, but I just wanted to let you know that this FAC does not appear to be properly formatted. I am not sure how to correct it, but I just wanted to raise this to your attention. Aoba47 (talk) 03:24, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Aoba47: I tried to format it manually. Sorry for the inconvenience.Blue Pumpkin Pie (talk) 05:11, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No apology necessary. Thank you for addressing this point. Unfortunately, I will be unable to review this FAC, but I wish you the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Aoba47 (talk) 03:29, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kathryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the best of luck with it! Abatryn D. Sullivan Nominator(s): Hawkeye7 (talk) and the b TommyBoy (talk) This article is about Kathy Sullivan. Sullivan was selected as a NASA astronaut candidate with NASA Astronaut Group 8, the first group to included Sally Ride and Judith Resnik. Unlike them, Sullivan is still alive, and is currently serving as a scientific advisor to President Joe Biden. She has flown in space and descended to the deepest point in the world's oceans. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:40, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review File:Kathryn Sullivan, PCAST Member (cropped).jpg. What is the evidence this was taken by a federal employee acting within the scope of their duties, rather than being (for example) a copyright acquired by the federal government or held elsewhere? It is on the White House site, and the copyright notice says everything on the site is either PD or CC-SA 3.0 File:Sullivan Views the Earth - GPN-2000-001082.jpg. Dead links. Replaced with a current link. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:STS-41-G Sullivan Checks SIR-B antenna latch.jpg Can we just have one link, that works, on the image page? Doesn't seem so. File:Sullivan and Ride Show Sleep Restraints - GPN-2000-001032.jpg Dead links Added an archive link. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Seem to be having to be having to be have one link. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it.--Wehwalt (talk) 15:18, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Seem to be having to be have one link. trouble with NASA's image search. All four images in the NASA career section are there and available online, but server seems overloaded. Archive.org is snafu refuses on the grounds that the images are online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online, but server seems overloaded. Archive.org is snafu refuses on the grounds that the images are online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online, but server seems overloaded. Archive.org is snafu refuses on the grounds that the images are online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online, but server seems overloaded. Archive.org is snafu refuses on the grounds that the images are online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online, but server seems overloaded. Archive.org is snafu refuses on the grounds that the images are online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much. "They installed a valve into a satellite online. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Not very much." propulsion system that mimicked that of Landsat 4 and transferred 59 kilograms (130 lb) of hydrazine to it using the ORS." So they didn't actually refuel a satellite? Correct. Made this clearer. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In September 1988, Sullivan was assigned to the STS-61-J mission, which was scheduled to deploy the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in August 1986.[22] "There seems to be an issue of continuity with this sentence. Typo. Should be "1985". Hawkeye7 (discuss) 20:02, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it.--Wehwalt (talk) 15:48, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it.--Wehwalt (talk) 15:48 (song) Nominator(s): -VersaceSpace 01:55, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about a song that needs no introduction. The song that needs no introduction. The song that angered parents across the world. Easily one of the most controversial? I nominated this article for GA status in June, and my nomination was reviewed by MaranoFan, who passed the article after I tended to their suggestions. I requested a peer review in July, which included two helpful points from Czarking0. Now, it's August, and I'm nominating "WAP to become a featured article. I've worked to make this article as complete and concise as I could on my own, and now I'm ready for the community's opinion. This is my first featured article candidacy, so do let me know if I've done something incorrectly. Thank you. -VersaceSpace 01:57, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from ErnestKrause Some comments and concerns which I've seen in the article for explicit lyrics. Was there a reason for this. I thought it would be a violation of WP:OVERLINK. Also, there is no Wikipedia article for that topic. It's a redirect to Parental Advisory. Not a big deal though, I'll just wiki-link it now. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (2) Regarding the theme of explicit lyrics, this genre is well established in rap for at least 2-3 decades, however, you do not discuss the difference between explicit lyrics used for political opposition and explicit lyrics used for sexual intents. The song you are nominating makes no secret about which version of explicit sexual lyrics are being used in the song. This one has confused me a bit. Why would this difference be established? —VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (3) There is no Lyrics section in the article. Because of the nature of the Lyrics, it seems that there should be at least a little more about the content. To start with there is the monotonously repeat back-up lyrics from start to finish in this song "There's some whores in this house" which seem to have reliable sources discussing them. A Lyrics section would be a good place to discuss this, as well as the main lyrics of the song, in addition to the back-up lyrics. Is a composition section not sufficient for this purpose? If you'd like, I could add a paragraph about this there. --VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (4) History. There is a long tradition of explicit sexual lyrics in rap and funk which might be mentioned in the history section of this article which is presently not covered. Rick James did "Superfreak" several decades ago, and a decade ago there was "Sweat" by Snoop Dog. Are you saying that none of the reliable sources, but I fail to see why it's relevant for this article. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (5) Your Impact and Legacy section which is currently and Legacy section which is currently the End of the song which is currently and Legacy section which is currently a

directly above it, "Cover versions and legacy". I'll merge the prose into the reception one. However, the quote, I feel doesn't fit in with the cover version section. I'm going to remove it; if you object to that I'll re-add it. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (6) In the Music video section, can you align the Synopsis title with the actual text. There is currently a left side image which seems to separate the text from its section title. Fixed. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (7) For the video section, was there any discussion in RS about the selection and use of model types used in this video. The models do not look like 'Victoria's Angels' models, though they are not quite 'plus' sized models either. How were they described by RS? Was the selection process discussed by RS? Everything I've seen about the casting of the music video, though I could have missed something. I will note that inclusiveness of this sort is far more common these days. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (8) There is a significant social discussion in the press about movie rating and media ratings to protect children from obscenity and prurient content; is it making more comment about this in this article. For example, many parents are sensitive to R-rating, and do not let their children go to these movies. Similarly for explicit sexual song content. For this one I'd like you to go more in depth. I see your point, but I don't know what you want me to do. -VersaceSpace 15:44, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (9) I'll need to agree with Nick's comments below as well; there seems to be a issue with how comprehensive your list of RS for the article has been. I've already asked for some more RS above, and the other more conventional search engines to find sources. The 'comprehensiveness' issue is going to be an issue for this kind of FAC nomination. (10) I'm still not sure about the question of why the article does not have a conventional Lyrics section and a conventional Music section. Music section also seems absent here which key the song is written in, what the metronome setting is for the song, if there are any key changes, etc. This seems an important thing to add to this article. Let me know if there are any key changes, etc. This seems an important thing to add to this article. Let me know if there are any key changes, etc. This seems an important thing to add to this article. August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ErnestKrause: thank you for a swift review. I've implemented a few suggestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions, if you could answer those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions. I have asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for those asked a few guestions of yours; thank you for the you guestions of yours; thank you for the you guestions of you guestions o comments below as well. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:04, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Drive by comments by Nick-D Four observations: The really describe what the song is about. The 'Reaction from conservative figures' section seems to be limited to views from two obscure political figures in the US and a prolific trollish commentator only: is this really the worldwide reception, and what makes these views are worth noting, where's the discussion of the song by feminists and progressives? A lot of women aside from music critics found it empowering, yet this doesn't seem to be discussed. A search of Google Scholar suggests that there are some academic works on the song that don't seem to have been consulted yet: [2] (though at least some of the works look to be by students so may not be RS) Nick-D (talk) 11:21, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Nick-D: Two things about point four: I'm having trouble distinguishing between reliable and unreliable sources in this area, furthermore I've only found is this: WAP through a gender lens. I haven't looked at JSTOR or other databases, but most of these works do seem to be made by students. --VersaceSpace 17:17, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Drive by comments from theleekycauldron "Credits from Tidal" should n't be in the article body - seems that, at the very least, should go in a footnote (if preserved at all) Is there a reason to link to the songwriter as Belcalis Almanzar rather than her stage name, Cardi B? The Guardian should be linked Why is Personnel all the way at the bottom? That seems like an odd place to leave it - also, shouldn't it be in the ibox? The second paragraph in "Background and release" looks a little skinny - can it be moved or beefed up? I think the censored version can take up a bit more space... The ellipses in the second paragraph of "Critical response" give an impression of tone where there isn't (see "full off... detail") - can the quotes be cleaned up a little? Is BET a high-quality reliable source? Particularly for the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." in Accolades should be removed - wikivoice of the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." in Accolades should be removed - wikivoice of the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." in Accolades should be removed - wikivoice of the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." in Accolades should be removed - wikivoice of the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." in Accolades should be removed - wikivoice of the amount of space its information takes up... "The following is a selected list of publications." doesn't reference itself "Political commentator Ben Shapiro was widely mocked for his sarcastic reading of the lyrics to 'WAP'." Seems like a caption for the article body - can this caption instead talk about when this photo was taken? however I may not be able to tend to them as fast as I'd like to. --VersaceSpace 23:17, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 2022 Welsh Open (snooker) Nominator(s): Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 11:01, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 2022 Welsh Open (snooker) Nominator(s): Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 11:01, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It may surprise you to learn that I don't get to see a lot of professional snooker live. This was the second event I managed to get to in the last five years. A superb week in a great venue - with some images taken during the event in the article. The Welsh Open is often a good but minor event, but for two years straight has had a great narrative. The previous year's winner Jordan Brown won his first event, ranked 80th in the world. This year, perennial journeyman Joe Perry won the event, defeating the majorly in-form Ricky Walden in the guarter-finals, Jack Lisowski (who had won a match of the season contender against Ali Carter in the round prior), a serial winner Judd Trump in the final to win his second ranking event, the first being a minor 2015 Players Tour Championship Grand Final win. Perry's win was at age 47, the second oldest winner of a ranking event (at the time). A great event, and hopefully a well written and researched article. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 11:01, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Z1720 Prose review: "True Sport in Thailand;" Wikilink to True Sport? "won the fifth on the colours after potting a difficulties of the time). yellow ball" I am unsure what "on the colours" means, and I can't find the term at Glossary of cue sports terms Perhaps this should be explained in the lede but not cited in the article or a different term used. "the 31st edition of the Welsh Open," This is mentioned in the lede but not cited in the article or a different term used. Z1720 (talk) 20:16, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi Z1720, I have made the above changes. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 09:37, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For Ref 2 (the one used to cite the 31st Welsh Open) should include a retrieval date, and I recommend archiving it. Z1720 (talk) 13:45, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have done this (and archived a few more as well). Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:48, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from ChrisTheDude "Ronnie O'Sullivan's held-over qualifying match" - up to this point you have only used the surname on any mention after the first (which I believe to be correct practice) but
O'Sullivan is named in full...? Apologies. I thought Sean O'Sullivan was also in qualifying. He isn't, so I've changed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:12, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "failed to escape from a snooker" - link snooker in this context Linked. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:12, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's what I got! -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 18:41, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cheers ChrisTheDude, I've incorporated the above. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:12, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from BennyOnTheLoose Sources (not a full source review) De-capitalise "WELSH OPEN SNOOKER FINAL AS IT HAPPENED - JOE PERRY STUNS JUDD TRUMP TO BECOME SECOND OLDEST RANKING WINNER OF ALL TIME" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:31, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I had a look at the Snooker Tour" from ref 71. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:31, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I had a look at the Snooker Tour" from ref 71. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:31, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I had a look at the Snooker Scene refs: "in the fifth frame, potting single reds and then playing safe or attempting to snooker his opponent" seems to go beyond the source - unless you can point me to a part I'm missing. I've condensed this Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Snooker Scene ref after "stated Vafaei afterward." can be removed as it's redundant (and unlike the Eurosport source, doesn't include the "It was unbelievable" part of the quote). Moved. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Perry cited a match he won over Lee Walker at the Turkish Masters qualifying event as a catalyst for his change in form during the event" - maybe add a bit more about what he said? (improved his confidence because he played well, according to him) Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The win took him from 42nd to 23rd in the world rankings" - the Snooker Scene ref is redundant. (You could use the WST source there to add in that the trophy is named after Reardon, maybe.) I was actually just using that as a general citation for the paragraph. I can't think of a natural way to mention that the trophy was named after Reardon. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:40, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Format I couldn't see explicit support for "the 31st edition" in the source; is this a WP:CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on they list every event. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:40, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Format I couldn't see explicit support for "the 31st edition" in the source; is this a WP:CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992"? CALC based on "ever present on the snooker calendar since 1992" contribs) 21:18, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Final It's probably obvious who the "him" in "The win took him from 42nd to 23rd in the world rankings" is, but consider changing it to "Perry". Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:31, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Final It's probably obvious who the "him" in "The win took him from 42nd to 23rd in the world rankings" is, but consider changing it to "Perry". Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:31, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Final It's probably obvious who the "him" in "The win took him from 42nd to 23rd in the world rankings" is, but consider changing it to "Perry". something in the corresponding article. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Repl your work on the article. Regards, BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 12:42, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 21:47, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've covered the above, BennyOnTheLoose Lee Vilenski (talk • that partially erupted onto glacial ice during the last glacial period. It has been improved greatly since the last time it was at FAC in 2008, two years after I became a registered Wikipedian. Mount Garibaldi is one of Canada's best known volcanoes due to its location near the populated southwest corner of British Columbia (e.g. Vancouver, Squamish, Whistler, Brackendale). Volcanoguy 03:07, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest scaling up the topographic and route maps, and see MOS:COLOUR I've scaled up the topographic and route maps; not sure why you're linking to MOS:COLOUR. Volcanoguy 04:02, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The topographic map conveys information through colour alone, and some of the shades are indistinguishable without colour. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:02, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'm not sure if there's anything I can do about that. Not everything on the topo map is coloured; the names of the map features are black, which is a
shade. If the map is really that problematic I can just replace it with images of subfeatures. Volcanoguy 03:10, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Giuseppe Garibaldi (1866).jpg needs a US tag. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:36, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. Volcanoguy 04:02, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] When was this image first published? Nikkimaria (talk) 03:02, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'm not sure. I've replaced it with a different image. Volcanoguy 03:10, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] JJE's review "This activity produced mostly dacite, such that much of Mount Garibaldi is composed of this volcanic rock." is a bit of an odd prose. I don't see what's odd about it. Volcanoguy 11:21, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've revised this sentence, not sure if it's any better. Volcanoguy 22:05, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've revised this sentence, not sure if it's any better. 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Nothing about the etymology of the other toponyms on Garibaldi? You only mention Cheekye. No. Volcanoguy 11:12, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "built of granitic rocks." I am not sure that "of" is right here. Changed to "made of granitic rocks." I am not sure that "of" is right here. Changed to "made of granitic rocks." I am not sure that "of" is right here. air often passing over this area" something of an odd prose. I don't see what's odd about it. Volcanoguy 11:21, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've reworded this to "due to air from the Pacific Ocean often passing over this area". Volcanoguy 20:37, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What makes //604now.com/garibaldi-at-squamish-ski-resort/ a reliable source? I'm not sure how it's an unreliable source? Volcanoguy 12:29, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The question is whether it's a reliable one. Unlike the other ones, it isn't obviously reliable. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:07, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I also found that source questionable when I added it in the article. I've decided to delete the Garibaldi at Squamish paragraph until better sources appear. Volcanoguy 21:38, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What's a bergschrunds and other crevasses" to make it clearer that it's a type of crevasse. Volcanoguy 01:38, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Are these accidents mentioned in the "Dangers and accidents" section the only ones? If there are more, why were these highlighted? I was not able to find more accidents. Volcanoguy 11:06, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What does "(grade II and class 3-4)" mean? Same for similar parentheses farther below. It is stated earlier that the grades and classes of Mount Garibaldi's climbing routes range from II-to-V and 2-to-5 on the Yosemite Decimal System, which is used for rating the difficulty of climbs. Volcanoguy 11:44, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "moderately angled snow and ice " shouldn't that be "sloping". Done. Volcanoguy 12:00, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:02, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Have these sources been consulted? Yes I've already went through the scholar search. Volcanoguy 17:13, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] ALT text and other article criteria seem OK to me. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:35, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Airship I'm not a geologist in the slightest, so this will probably just be a review of the prose. "The northern and eastern flanks of Mount Garibaldi are obscured by the Garibaldi Are obscure Volcanoguy 19:56, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What's a "scarp"? A wikilink would be nice. "fans out in the Squamish Valley" into instead of in, perhaps? Yes. Volcanoguy 21:06, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the primary" or "comprising" are the most precise. How about "the main volcanic rock forming Mount Garibaldi"? Volcanoguy 05:09, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "If this were to happen, relief efforts may be quickly organized." Fairly redundant sentence. How is this fairly redundant? Volcanoguy 18:51, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] " involve traversing glaciers, snow slopes or loose rock. Mountain climbing hazards include crevasses, avalanches and rockfalls." this somehow seems to be saying the same thing twice. How so? Volcanoguy 18:53, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I must inquire as to whether the biogeography section is fully necessary — it seems almost entirely reliant on one source. and most of its information only seems indirectly related to the volcano itself. Given that there's no biogeographic information about the volcano itself it doesn't hurt mentioning the areal biogeography. What's in the local ecoregion is what is at the mountain. Volcanoguy 21:06, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Mount Garibaldi is a moderately dissected stratovolcano" is there a link for 'dissected" to "eroded". Volcanoguy 21:06, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have taken the liberty of wikilinking Giuseppe Garibaldi in the body. Two of the coordinates in the recreation facilities section are identical. Are the coordinates really necessary Schanged "dissected" to "eroded". No, deleted. Volcanoguy 21:06, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The table of grade and class explanation isn't necessary—a simple explanation isn't necessary—a simple explanation in the body. Volcanoguy 21:06, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Prose is generally good, although perhaps slightly too punctuated at times. ~~ AirshipJungleman29 (talk) 20:34, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Eewilson Lead "The northern and eastern flanks of Mount Garibaldi are obscured by the Garibaldi Névé. This is a large snowfield containing several radiating glaciers." These sentences could probably be combined: "The northern and eastern flanks of Mount Garibaldi are obscured by the Garibaldi Névé, a large snowfield containing several radiating glaciers." Done. Volcanoguy 19:55, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Flowing from the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On the steep western face of Mount Garibaldi is the Cheekye River." Perhaps: "On River, a tributary of the Cheakamus River." Removes "flowing" from this sentence, as "lava flow" was in the sentence directly before. Should "scarp" that could apply: "Cliff" and "Escarpment". If you link here, remember to link on first instance in the main as well. "The first period of volcanism that led to the construction of an ancestral cone that was subsequently destroyed." - Perhaps: "Mount Garibaldi construction commenced between 260,000 and 220,000 years ago with the formation of an ancestral cone that was subsequently destroyed." "Another period of growth began with the eruption of Atwell Peak..." - Perhaps: "Another growth period began with the eruption of Atwell Peak..." One. Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "After the ice sheet dissipated..."? Or "After the ice sheet dissipated..."? or "After the ice sheet dissipated..."? Disappeared sounds a bit like magic. Your call on that. How about retreated? Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Betreated works. - Elizabeth (Eewilson) (tag or ping me) (talk) 11:30, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. Volcanoguy 18:43, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "...with the eruption of lava from Dalton Dome and Opal Cone" Could this be "...with eruptions from Dalton Dome and Opal Cone"? Done. Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Although the mountain is not known to have experienced a volcanic eruption since that time, it could erupt again..." - maybe just "it could again" as "erupt" is implied within the context of the sentence. How about "Although the mountain is not known to have been volcanically active since that time, it could erupt again..."? Volcanoguy 02:44, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That works. - Elizabeth (Eewilson) (tag or ping me) (talk) 11:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "...which would potential endanger the nearby populace." - "...which could endanger the nearby populace" would give the same meaning (probably) and more succinctly. "If this were to happen, relief efforts may be quickly organized." - "may be" or "would be"? Definitely not "would be"? Definitely not "would be" or "could be"? Definitely not "would be"? Definitely no Volcanoguy 21:41, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] How about "If this
were to happen, relief efforts could be organized by teams such as the Interagency Volcanic Event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by teams such as the Interagency Volcanic Event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by volcanic event Notification Plan who are prepared to notify people threatened by (UTC)Reply[reply] "They have passed down several stories regarding the mountain, including one involving the great flood." – perhaps replace with "Their oral history includes a story of the mountain and the great flood." Jone. Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Several mountaineers had climbed Mount Garibaldi by the early 1900s, some of which were members of ... - pretty sure it should be "some of whom", as "who" is for people and "which" is for things. Done. Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Attempts at creating a ski resort at Mount Garibaldi began in the 1960s." - perhaps "A ski resort was begun in the late 1960s, but developments were halted in 1969 due to financial difficulties." This gives the "lead-only" readers a succinct sentence about the dead resort and doesn't leave them hanging. Done. Volcanoguy 02:27, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I know the Oxford comma is not required and is main writer's preference. I do find the final lead sentence difficult to follow without it. Not sure if there is a solution. "...Brohm Ridge and the Diamond Head parking lot at the end of Garibaldi." Perhaps "The non-indigenous name of the mountain was given by George Henry Richards in 1860, who named it in honour of the Italian patriot and soldier Giuseppe Garibaldi." name of the mountain was given by George Henry Richards in 1860 in honour of the Italian patriot and soldier Giuseppe Garibaldi." - Elizabeth (Eewilson) (tag or ping me) (talk) 03:59, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. Volcanoguy 17:45, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. something was Wikilinked in the Lead? I thought we did. If so, some links may be needed in this section. I'll have more later. - Elizabeth (Eewilson) (tag or ping me) (talk) 12:06, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did you know that Paris Hilton released a doo-wop song as a Valentine's Day present for her fiancé Chris Zylka? Its lyrics are filled with holiday-related puns, such as "merry in your Christmas", "the bunny in your Easter", and "your forever Valentine". The music video features Hilton wearing lingerie, posing in a bed covered with red rose petals, and popping out of a cake among other activities. This song is so unapologetically and unironically corny that I can't help but love it. I created this article in 2018 and it received a lot of great feedback from @ChrisTheDude:, @Pseud 14:, @MaranoFan:, @TheSandDoctor:, @FrB.TG:, and @Tunestoons:. Thank you in advance for any comments. I hope everyone is doing well. Aoba47 (talk) 12:06, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. Aoba47 (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. Aoba47 (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and your help in the peer review. FrB.TG (talk) 14:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and you for t Support from TheSandDoctor Support per my peer review. Best of luck! :) --TheSandDoctor Talk 15:12, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Aoba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you. I appreciate it! Abba47 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (talk) 15:20, 6 August 2022 (talk) 15:20, ALT texts are there, which is good. Most are satisfactory, though can the ALT for the cover art be trimmed? I find it shares more detail than necessary. The ALT text for the infobox image has been trimmed? I find it shares more detail than necessary. The ALT text for the infobox image has been trimmed? I find it shares more detail than necessary. The ALT text for the infobox image has been trimmed down per your suggestion. Aoba47 (talk) 16:32, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:INeedYouSingleCover.png single cover arts usually pass NFCC; this one is no different. For posterity, the source link could use an archived version Archived. Aoba47 (talk) 16:32, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Paris Hilton at the US Capitol (cropped).jpg licensing is a-ok. Derived from a photo taken for a US Congress representative, so this is PD. However, this is causing MOS:IMAGELOC issues on my screen, pushing the "Music and lyrics" header to the right. Can we shrink this using [upright=? Revised. I decreased the size of the image per your suggestion, and I also shortened the image per your suggestion, and I also shortened the image per your suggestion. skimmed the section in which this image is placed. The detail shown in the music video screenshot is covered substantially in the prose, passing NFCC #8. It also passes NFCC #1 since one can't make a free alternative image to this screenshot is covered substantially in the prose, passing NFCC #8. It also passes NFCC #1 since one can't make a free alternative image to this screenshot. Replaceability text parameter and say why text alone cannot substitute the picture, which I outlined above. Thank you for the suggestion. It is best to be more specific with these rationales as it is encouraged to keep non-free
media usage to a minimum (which is understandable). Aba47 (talk) 16:42, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto with the single cover; I'd archive the Instagram link and include the archived version in the NFC rationale There was already information for the single cover's replaceability, which was used from other FAs on songs, and I am not sure if anything further could be added, but I am open to suggestions. I have archived the Instagram link. To be completely it is not the most helpful since the image is not showing up in the archive, but that could be an Instagram issue. Aoba47 (talk) 16:42, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I went ahead and added a different archive link that actually displays the picture, so don't worry Your Power "What did I tell you?" 17:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:INeedYouParisHiltonAudioSample.ogg Of short enough length (10% of original song's) and low enough quality (50 kbps). The relevant section's prose significantly discusses the details intended to be illustrated by the sample. Hence NFCC #8 is satisfied. It also passes NFCC #1 since a free alternative is impossible, and readers cannot discern how doo-wop and pop sound through words alone. Like with the MV screenshot file page, I'd fill out the Replaceability text parameter for the sake of completeness Very good point. I have revised this point. Aoba47 (talk) 16:42, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Your Power: Thank you for the media review! It is great to get this kind of thing addressed early in a FAC and you have done a wonderful and thorough job as a reviewer. I believe that I have addressed everything, but please let me know if things can be improved further. Thank you again! Aoba47 (talk) 16:43, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Aoba47, no problem! And I thank you for the prompt responses I went ahead and added captions for the audio sample since I noticed there wasn't one, by the way. Now that that is done and all my concerns are sufficiently addressed, this gets a pass from me Your Power "What did I tell you?" "Don't get complacent..." 17:20, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you again! I appreciate that you went the extra mile and added the captions for the audio sample. That was very nice and something that I honestly forgot about completely. Apologies for that. Have a great weekend @Aoba47! I hope to see y'all lovely folks again at FAC soon - some goodies are in the "What did I tell you?" "Don't get complacent..." 17:28, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] NØ The cover seems to be 250x250px instead of the usual 300x300. This is totally OK though from a policy POV. Revised as it is best to keep everything consistent. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Do you think "Hilton's fifth appearance on the Dance Club Songs chart, the single peaked at number 31" might be a more climactic way of writing the lead's second paragraph's second sentence? While I understand and appreciate your suggestion, I am not sure about ending a sentence with a number. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Hilton's fifth appearance on the Dance Club Songs chart, the single peaked at number 31" might be a more climactic way of writing the lead's second sentence? While I understand and appreciate your suggestion, I am not sure about ending a sentence with a number 31" might be a more climactic way of writing the lead's second sentence? posted photos from the music video on her Instagram account" - "Hilton posted photos from the music video on Instagram" might work just as well Very good point. It is better to go with your more concise suggestion. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Maybe it could be indicated somehow that this second album was never released Unfortunately, I could not find third-party, reliable sources that confirm this information. There are articles that pop up every now and then about Hilton promising new music, but that's the tough thing with non-releases like this. They hardly ever get confirmation in the press. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Dresder described the song as "over-the-top syrupy and smothering" - "over-the-top" sounds a bit critical to me so does this maybe belong in Reception rather than Music and lyrics? Understandable. I have revised this bit and moved it down to the "Reception" section. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Does it need to be mentioned the video was released on her "official" YouTube account? It would be rather unusual if they released it on an unofficial channel. Agreed. It would be assumed the channel is official unless stated otherwise. Aoba47 (talk) 16:55, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Would it be possible to be more specific while describing "Stash Konig, Dirty Disco and Nitemover" than just "artists"? Maybe their country, or if they are DJs or producers could be included. I have included that they are from different areas so that may be overly cumbersome to list here. Aoba47 (talk) 17:02, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Is it necessary to include that one of the remixes was released through Facebook? If no secondary source covered this and the Facebook upload is the only source maybe this isn't very noteworthy. Fair point. I was initially reluctant to cut this sentence, but the platforms that the remixes were released on is trivial and since it was nothing noteworthy (i.e. neither the SoundCloud or FaceBook releases received attention in secondary sources), I cut the whole thing. Aoba47 (talk) 17:02, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for your review! 1 Concern. Hope you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @MaranoFan: Thank you for you are having a great week!--NØ 15:58, 6 August 2022 (greatly appreciate your help and I hope you are having a great weekend so far! Aoba47 (talk) 17:02, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the replies. Happy to support this FAC on prose.--NØ 18:54, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the replies. Support on prose, per my peer review. --Pseud 14 (talk) 17:18, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you! Aoba47 (talk) 17:28, 6 August 2022 (talk) 17:28, 6 A that this paragraph is about the lyrics. The next sentence already starts with "The lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production
to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the prose repetitive. I am not sure if the change is beneficial since the transition from the production to the lyrics ... " so it would make the provide since the transition from viewed the track", "Raisa Bruner cited "I Need You"", since these people don't have wikipages, their credentials (stating that they are critics, or which publication was attributed in the prose. Aoba47 (talk) 17:32, 77:22, 77: August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You are correct, so reintroducing them is unnecessary. Perhaps their first names can be removed after their full names since this is a new section and it may confuse some readers to just see a last name Aoba47 (talk) 01:31, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the lede, Hilton is described as a socialite, but this is not cited in the article already make this songs (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make this song (i.e. having the descriptor backed up by a citation) and the primary Paris Hilton article already make the primary pa descriptor quite clear. Aoba47 (talk) 17:32, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wikipedia does not typically ask editors go to other articles to verify information. While a citation would not be needed to say someone is a singer in a song article (the fact that a person released a song is enough to verify that they are a singer is verified because they sang the song, so it doesn't need to be explicitly stated), the fact that someone is a socialite is not inherently implied by the release of a song. It doesn't need to be cited in the body with a citation. Since I am not very familiar with song/music articles, I will leave that to your discretion on whether it should be added and won't let this affect my support, because at the end of the day this is relatively minor. Z1720 (talk) 00:00, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] How would you introduce and cite this information in the article? I cannot think of a way that would not come across as awkward? Aoba47 (talk) 01:32, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The best way I can think of to do so is in the first sentence of "Recording and release" to say, "American socialite Paris Hilton co-wrote "I Need You" with its producer Michael Green..." Again, I am not very familiar with song articles, so if this seems awkward then I do not recommend putting it in the article unless another reviewer also flags this. Z1720 (talk) 01:42, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That would not work in my opinion. Look at featured articles on songs, such as "I'm Goin' Down", "Dear Future Husband", and "Sound and Vision", and none of them use a descriptive phrase for the primary artist. I thought about including a sentence or two in the "Recording and release" section about how Hilton is a socialite who first pursued a music career by an album in 2006, but it seemed odd to include this for something outside her first musical release and it came across like padding to me. For clarification, I am also hesitant to change the lede to say "American singer Paris aspects of her career outside of music. I am just not sure how to best approach this to accommodate your suggestion. Apologies. Aoba47 (talk) 01:58, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: Just so you are aware, I have added a brief paragraph to the beginning of the article about how Hilton was a socialite who pursued a music career. I hope that is helpful. Thank you for your review and support. Aoba47 (talk) 16:30, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think this addition resolves this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs this concern. Z1720 (talk) 18:54, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lede says, "The single peaked at number 31 on the Dance Club Songs chart," While body says "peaked at number 32 on the Dance Club Songs the Da Billboard chart." Thank you for catching this. It should be 32 so I have changed the lede. Aoba47 (talk) 17:32, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: Thank you for your review. I believe that I have addressed everything. Let me know if anything could be done to further improve the article. Have a great rest of your weekend! Aoba47 (talk) 17:32, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review WP:QWQ issue in source 3 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review WP:QWQ issue 3 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 7 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source and 31d. Revised. Aoba47 (talk) 21:19, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] En-dash should be used instead of hyphen in source 5, 28 and 31. You can use this script to do the work for you. Revised. Aoba47 (talk) 21:19, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Apologies for my mistake with this
one and thank you for the correction. Aoba47 (talk) 22:07, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Spot-checks: source 3, 4, 11 (in PR), 14, 15, 16, 20. No issues (just one extremely small point noted below). Thank you for checking through these citations. Spot-checks are always important. Aoba47 (talk) 21:19, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 14 and 15: article quotes "breathy vocals" based on these two sources but one of them only uses "vocal" without the s. FrB.TG (talk) 19:15, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @FrB.TG: I have revised this point. Thank you for catching this as I would not want to misrepresent a citation even by accident. I believe that I have addressed everything, but please let me know if there's anything else I can do to improve the article further. Have a great rest of your day! Aoba47 (talk) 21:19, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:35, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:35, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:35, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:35, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:35, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consider it a pass from me. FrB.TG (talk) 21:36, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the quick response. Consid article and, although there have been some minor changes since then, there's nothing to pick up on so I am happy to support -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you! Aoba47 (talk) 21:36, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from VersaceSpace Clear and comprehensive. Well-written and contains good media My only complaint is that the amount of prose in the image caption has caused there to be a large amount of empty space. Still, very good. --VersaceSpace 21:00, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I do not get empty space in the article in my view, but I have attempted to trim the caption for the music video screenshot (which is what I am guessing you are referring to) to hopefully avoid that as much as possible for other readers. Aoba47 (talk) 21:33, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Ippantekina I think it's helpful to add a brief introduction of Heiress Records i.e. it was created by Hilton herself I have added a bit to the "Background and release" section about this. Aoba47 (talk) 17:39, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Hilton's decision to experiment with a different musical genre" I believe it's helpful to discuss briefly what styles she did before to see how "different" this song is I have hopefully cleared this up in the lede, and I have heavily revised the second paragraph in the "Reception" section to make these connection clearer in the prose. Feel free to let me know if these areas could use further revision. I will be looking at them again later when I have some distance. Aoba47 (talk) 18:07, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think there is a curly apostrophe in the "Music and lyrics" section Could you please be more specific? I am not seeing it, but I am not the best at this one. Aoba47 (talk) 17:28, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link Americana Linked. Aoba47 (talk) 17:28, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Do we have credits from a more "official" source, like the digital booklet or Tidal? Unfortunately, we do not. There is not a digital booklet for this single, and Tidal does not provide any credits for this song. Aoba47 (talk) 17:28, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The rest of the article is well written and comprehensively researched. Nicely done! Ippantekina: Thank you for your review. You have helped to improve the article a lot, specifically the point about the different musical genres. I have addressed everything, but the curly apostrophe. I cannot see in that section, but I am more likely than not reading over it. Apologies for that. I hope you are having a great weekend so far! Aoba47 (talk) 18:08, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Though it is nitpick-y, I have went on with fixing the apostrophe myself. Thank you for addressing everything else, and I support this article for promotion on prose. Ippantekina (talk) 05:41, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the support and fixing the apostrophe. There is absolutely nothing wrong with being nitpick-y as the article should meet all the requirements. I am not sure how/why I kept overlooking that one so thank you for catching it. Aoba47 (talk) 15:59, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 1963-64 Gillingham F.C. season Nominator(s): ChrisTheDude (talk) 14:52, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] After my most recent FAC nomination, which was about one of the most catastrophic seasons in the history of my favourite football club, I needed to write about something more positive, hence this one. I don't personally remember this season, as it was [mumble] years before I was born, but it was enjoyable to write about and to take a peek into the heady days when floodlights were a new concept, goal average was used, and players were called things like Geoff and Brian :-) Feedback as ever will be most gratefully received and swiftly acted upon -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 14:52, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:33, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Z1720 Prose review "in which Gillingham competed in the Football League," Should "the" be included in the wikilink? It's not part of the bolded phrase in English Football League "Gillingham began the season with an unbeaten run of 13 games, the longest such run from the start of the season by any team in the Football League," I'm not liking the repetition of "run". Maybe, "Gillingham were undefeated in their first 13 games, the longest such streak from the start of the season by any team in the Football League," or something similar "The team played a total of 52 competitive matches," Delete "a total of 18 in all competitions." Same as above After re-reading the lede, I'm surprised that there is no mention of the team leaving the season in Jan/Feb and the fan's reaction. This feels like a key detail to me. I checked the lede, and all of its information is in the article body. Those are my thoughts. Please ping when the above are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: - many thanks for your review, all points addressed. Z1720 (talk) 20:21, 5 August 20:22 (talk) 20:2 we really don't use in that context in British English. On point 5, I think you have conflated a couple of issues (the team didn't drop out of the top 4 until April, and the fans' anger in January was merely to do with the team's dour tactics) but I think I have covered the points you were referring to -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:16, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support, my concerns are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 14:39, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For this part, would not win anothe for nearly 50 years, in the lede, wouldn't it be better to be more specific and use the exact number of years? Done -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:15, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is more of a clarification question than a suggestion. File:Priestfield2.jpg says the image was taken circa 1986, but the image caption in the article goes for a more general
mid-1980s. Is there a reason for this difference? Mainly that I was too lazy to check the image page for a more specific date of when I took the picture. Amended now -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:15, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is another clarification question. For this part, 22 players made at least one appearance, I am guessing words are used rather number as you do not want to start a sentence with numbers. Would that be correct? Basically yes, but I changed it anyway -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:15, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The article is in solid shape. I am very much a non-expert so I can only comment on the actual prose, but I do not have much to say in my review. Once my comments (and clarification questions) have been addressed, I would be more than happy to support this FAC for promotion on the basis of the prose. Wonderful job with handling a topic that is now over 50 years old. That is impressive. Aoba47 (talk) 19:06, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Aoba47: - many thanks for your review and your kind words. All addressed now -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:15, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your very prompt responses. I support this FAC, but I completely understand if you do not have the time or interest. Best of luck with this FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 21:19, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about an Indonesian economist/politician/rebel/oligarch/aristocrat/statesman, depending on when and who you ask (well except "economist", everyone agrees on that), who formed Indonesia's economic policies from 1950 to 1957 and 1968 to the 1980s and arguably to this day. Recently passed through a GAR by Goldsztajn, who provided extensive additional sourcing. Sources are currently a mix of fine details from Indonesian language sources and academic but broader sourcing from various Indonesia scholars or economic historians. Juxlos (talk) 05:23, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Kaiser matias I'm going to look over this in the next day or so, and commenting here so I don't forget. Kaiser matias (talk) 22:05, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Texas A&M University and has sought extensive feedback through previous FACs/FARC. Feedback and mentorship has been requested from literally every FA mentor listed (in most cases to no avail). While I still contend that previous discussions were prematurely closed, I still jumped through all the hoops as requested and have requested clarification (again, to no avail) from both objectors and the closing clerk. Lastly, I feel that there are some demands that have inappropriately been requests; clarification/outside opinions on those matters would be appreciated. Other discussions not mentioned above Wikipedia talk: Featured article review/Texas A&M University/archive1 Wikipedia: Peer review/Texas A&M University/archive2 Oppose My comments in the most recent FAC do not seem to have been addressed. For instance, the article still doesn't discuss the integration of women and minority students (though room could be found for a a full para on the women's basketball team!) and the para on the statue continues to use evasive language that privileges the views of the university's administration. As a spot check, the statement that "The event received worldwide attention during World War II, when 25 Aggies held a brief Aggie Muster during the battle for the island of Corregidor" is not supported by the source, which refers to this receiving attention only in the US. Nick-D (talk) 11:00, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the article still doesn't discuss the integration of women and minority students (though room could be found for a a full para on the women's basketball team!)" Based on this feedback, I've added two more sentences in the history section explaining the integration of women/minorities in the 70s (the whole 140 year history is summarized into ~60 sentences). Given the overall impact, I think that, in addition to the other half dozen sentences). Given the overall impact, I think that, in addition to the other half dozen sentences in the history section is appropriately balanced good and bad. Yes, the women's basketball team (which, perplexingly, is part of the "discuss the integration of women..." you asked for above...I mean, I'm really confused here.) has enjoyed a long string of major success. As such, 4 sentences outlining 30+ years in the athletics section is appropriate. I'm not sure what you want. Less on the Basketball team? More? "the para on the statue continues to use evasive language that privileges the views of the university's administration." I've repeatedly asked you what "evasive language" you are alleging and you have yet to clarify in 9+ months. The paragraph summarizes this situation in 3 sentences: the climate under which there was controversy the official university position prior the protests, counterprotests, and the ultimate decision by the university I'm very hard pressed to see what else you'd like to see added/changed. It isn't "administration heavy" by any stretch of the imagination. An informal student senate survey (which most everyone acknowledged was statistically questionable based on its sampling methodology based on poor student sampling...those with strong negative feelings responded at significantly higher rates than the general student population and there were poor controls on who could respond [more than one student came forward claiming they'd tried to respond to the survey to oppose any action only to find they'd allegedly submitted a response already]) showed that the student body opposed the statue's removal by a ratio of 2:1, but the results were highly striated on racial lines. I've asked you for further clarification in the past as to what you think should be changed. I cannot address a point that is so vague. Could you perhaps be more specific? Give me a sentence or two that would illustrate the shortfalls/the changes you want? If you want me to include the unscientific student poll, I'll be happy to do so, but I don't think it changes anything substantive in the paragraph. "As a spot check, the statement that 'The event received worldwide attention during World War II, when 25 Aggies held a brief Aggie Muster during the battle for the island of Corregidor' is not supported by the source, which refers to this receiving attention only in the US." While the Houston Chronicle is read worldwide, I'm not going to review. Oppose and recommend withdrawal. This is the first time I have looked at this article, US education not normally being my thing. But I thought this FAC may benefit from fresh eyes. I still haven't read all of it, as it seems clear to me that this is not yet ready for FAC. I could go on at some length about specific points and areas, but I shall stay with those used by Nick-D above. I note in passing that the nominator's responses to Nick-D's very clear and clearly made points (to my eye, coming across them and the article for the first time) puzzle me. I am unsure if the responses are deliberately evasive or reflect, somehow, genuine bafflement as to the points being made. Neither bodes well for a constructive exchange of opinions leading to promotion. The para on the statue does seem to use "language that privileges the views of the university's administration." As I've asked repeatedly, can you please specify what it is that you feel meets that criteria? I'm happy to rewrite it. Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The paragraph in which the erection of the statue is covered also seems PoV: eg "well-respected Confederate Brigadier". "well-respected" is the exact verbiage of the source. Would it be better to place it in quotes? Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In this paragraph there is a longer sentence on the statue than on the first admission of women, which would seem to cry out for some background and context; come to that, whether any women ever did attend classes. (And if so, how many, when and whether on an equal footing?) "whether any women ever did attend classes" apparently you skipped the lead which states that the institution is currently coeducational. Still in this paragraph "Enrollment doubled to 467 cadets". As of what date? And doubled from what number and when? The last mention of numbers is "Enrollment climbed to 258 students"? The number of cadets doubled under his tenure. think that's pretty implicit from the given sentence (before he arrived: x, after: 2x). I don't think we need to specify a number, but I will be happy to do so if that's your hangup (please clarify if I'm missing something). There is no effective difference between "cadets" and "students" at this point in the school's history. Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "had a separate Board of Directors". Why the upper case initial letters? It is a governing body established by the state; a proper name similar to the US Senate. (see WP:MOSCAP) Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "During his tenure, many Aggie traditions were born, including the creation of the first Aggie Ring. Re "Aggie Ring" (why the upper case R?) see MOS:NOFORCELINK "Do use a link wherever appropriate, but as far as possible do not force a reader to use that link to understand the sentence" and "Do not unnecessarily make a reader to use that link to understand the sentence" and "Do not unnecessarily make a reader to use that link to understand the sentence" and "Do not unnecessarily make a reader chase links: if a highly technical term can be simply explained with very few words, do so." To most readers this ntence will mean little without chasing the links. Again, capitalized as a proper name. Rephrased as "class ring" is more prevalent. Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the college was saved from potential closure by its new president Lawrence Sullivan Ross". How? What did he do? Expanded Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11
August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "to emulate the traits of Ross". Which were? And/or were perceived to be? I feel like Ross". It doesn't specify gentleman" (the words on the base of his statue), but the sources only state they sent their sons to "Be like Ross". It doesn't specify what the specific attributes were that they were attempting to emulate. We don't speculate. We use WP:RS. I can't give you what isn't there. Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "During his tenure". Which is not given. It is. He started in 1891 and served until his death in 1898. Buffs (talk) 20:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "During his tenure". had intended to cover Nick-D's other two points, but I feel that the non-exhaustive examination of the one near-random paragraph adequately illustrates why I think the article is not yet ready for FAC. Note that if all of the points above were addressed this would not change my mind; almost every paragraph has similar - and/or different but equally egregious - issues. I recommend withdrawal, a thorough visit to PR and another to GoCE. Gog the Mild (talk) 21:26, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I asked for clarification almost 3 months ago from you so I could address any shortcomings, but you chose to provide no feedback until now. No one to-date, has brought up the concerns you brought up despite the review of (by my count) about 60 people. Almost all were easily corrected and were at least arguably accurate, but, you wanted more specificity, so I obliged. I've added the additions you sought, but the article is getting increasingly/inappropriately long. Previous reviews complained about the length. When you say "add more" and others say "shorten it" and both of you say "...or it doesn't get my FA blessing", it's a no-win situation. This idea that it needs to go through two more processes seems more than unnecessary for trivial and easily fixed changes. If you could be so kind as to clarify and let me know whether I've addressed your points, it would be appreciated Buffs (talk) 21:39, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Gog the Mild: "I am unsure if the responses are deliberately evasive or reflect, somehow, genuine bafflement". When I've asked either Nick or you for clarification (multiple times), your response has been silence. There is no "constructive exchange of ideas" when I'm the only one who responds. That isn't an "exchange"...but it isn't because of me... Buffs (talk) 19:42, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fallout (video game) Nominator(s): Lazman321 (talk) 14:39, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Third time's the charm, I guess. The last candidacy only had one responder, and they voted "support", so I will be bringing this article back for a third candidacy before the two-week mark. I'm pretty sure everyone gets the gist by now: Fallout is a 1997 role-playing video game developed by Interplay that helped revitalize the genre for PCs. Anyway, I'll soon be pinging a group of people who helped review this article for GAN, PR, and previous FACs to help. Lazman321 (talk) 14:39, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pinging GAN reviewer @Haleth:; peer reviewers @RogueShanghai: (don't feel obligated) and @Shooterwalker: (who also participated in the first candidacy); first FAC reviewers @Buidhe:, @Spy-cicle:, @Ovinus:, @JimmyBlackwing:, and @Darkwarriorblake:; and second FAC reviewer @CactiStaccingCrane:. Lazman321 (talk) 14:49, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support, source-text integrity I think is FA-class after the last candidacy and a quick check now. CactiStaccingCrane (talk) 09:53, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Spotchecks by Ovinus Seeing as source-to-text integrity was previously an issue, I will provide a second opinion; I may also review the article in full. [4]: Fine [5]: Can't check [35]: Fine but please fix archive link, prefer [3] [68]: Fine, but I wouldn't say "a document called Vision Statement", I would just say "a vision statement" (lower case) [70], [75], [78], [95], [101], [135], [155], [165], [178], [157], [178], YouTube. Lazman321 (talk) 14:36, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from TheJoebro64 Should have my review in within the next few days. I'll likely do some minor copyediting while I review. JOEBRO64 23:26, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Battle of Ticinus Nominator(s): Gog the Mild (talk) 11:45, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from TheJoebro64 Should have my review in within the next few days. I'll likely do some minor copyediting while I review. JOEBRO64 23:26, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Battle of Ticinus Nominator(s): Gog the Mild (talk) 11:45, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Figure 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Battle of Ticinus Nominator(s): Gog the Mild (talk) 11:45, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Figure 10 August 2022 (UTC)R Another in my series of Second Punic War articles. This is an account of the first time Hannibal fought the Romans. No prizes for quessing who won. The article was promoted to GA two years ago and has been reworked a little in the light of feedback during the recent FAC of Second Punic War. Sadly, no elephants. Gog the Mild (talk) 11:45, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support Comments from Iazyges You may wish to replace the current File:218BCMAPMEDITERRANEAN (cropped).jpg image with the (much) higher quality File:Map of Rome and Carthage at the start of the Second Punic War 2.svg Comparing the two (see below) the current one (top) seems to me to contain more information, to convey it more clearly, to show Rome's sphere of influence in northern Italy and Sicily more accurately, and to have a more complete and more visible key. What do you find better display of rivers and lakes, as the Ebro river is quite significant. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 16:52, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The First Punic War was fought between Carthage and Rome, where the two main powers... to better link the clauses I see your point. Resolved a little differently. Four years later Rome seized Sardinia and Corsica on a cynical pretence and imposed a further 1,200 talent indemnity, after the Carthaginians were weakened by the Mercenary War Gone with "Four years later, when Carthage was weakened by the mutiny of part of its army and the rebellion of many of its African possessions, Rome seized Sardinia and Corsica on a cynical pretence and ..." or killed; should standardize the two IMO, or at least have the one in the body be the more expansive of the two. Done. Thanks for your comment below. Thanks for your helpful copy editing. Note that I have reverted a couple of minor tweaks - [4]. There is not a complete ban on duplicate Wikilinks and I think it unreasonable to expect a reader to understand that "16-year-old son", which they may not have clicked on, is "Publius Cornelius Scipio". "to" has come up before and I think it is a USEng-BritEng thing. Gog the Mild (talk) 16:49, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review - Pass Will take this up as well. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 14:07, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hoyos, Dexter (2015b). Worldcat seems to want to give publishing location as New York in spite of being from Oxford University Press; defer to whichever version you used. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 14:59, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The copy I used has 16 locations on the publication page, with Oxford first. Gog the Mild (talk) 16:29, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Well it seems you have 15 more locations to add /s. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 16:51, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Gog the Mild: That is all for both reviews, no objection to any included material. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 14:59, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Article passes source review. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 16:51, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest scaling up the maps File:Défaite de Scipion près du Téssin.jpg needs a US tag Done. File:218BCMAPMEDITERRANEAN (cropped).jpg presents a MOS:COLOUR issue which is complicated by the fact that the colours in the
legend don't seem to entirely align with the colours actually visible on the map? File:218 aC GALLIA CISALPINA.png needs a legend File:Sacred Band cavalryman.png: what's the author's date of death? Done. File:Mommsen p265 (cropped).jpg needs a US tag and author date of death for the photo. Tag done. Gog the Mild (talk) 20:15, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Nikkimaria (talk) 03:08, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Funk Marking my spot. FunkMonk (talk) 17:45, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link more names and terms in image captions? Personally I consider image captions to be part of the article they are in, and so are liable to over-linking. Is there any policy which suggests they shouldn't be? I thought the guideline was clearer, but it just says "Generally, a link should appear only once in an article, but it may be repeated if helpful for readers, such as in infoboxes, tables, image captions, footnotes, hatnotes, and at the first occurrence after the lead."[5] To me, the image text is separate from the article body in the same way as the intro is, and I think it helps the reader to get the context of the images. But appears it is optionable. FunkMonk (talk) 02:45, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I wonder if a borderless (and textless) alternate version of the infobox image could be made? Looks a bit distracting, and borders are generally discouraged. Done. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:15, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link Rome and Carthage at first mention outside intro? Iberia? Oops. Done. Link Carthaginians? It just comes back to Ancient Carthage, which is already inked. Ah, I swore I saw a link to Punics from that somewhere. Oh, seems it's in Hannibal. FunkMonk (talk) 23:16, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same with Consul. Moved from second to first mention. "weakened by the mutiny of part of its army" I assume only one mutiny is needed? They were very mutinous. Done. "The contemporary historian Polybius considered this act of bad faith" State his ethnicity so we know he was of neither side? Done. "to expand Carthaginian holdings in south-east Iberia (modern Spain and Portugal)" Who controlled it prior to this? A rag bag of petty tribes, some loosely organised into unstable confederations. I am not sure that going into this would be helpful to a reader. "in 229 BC} and "What is that bracket for? A Typo, well spotted. The Hannibal caption could state his role, per "establishes the picture's relevance to the article" [6] He's Hannibal'! But done. Sure is, ever thought of doing biographies? FunkMonk (talk) 23:16, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Hannibal arrived with 20,000 infantry, 6,000 cavalry and 37 elephants" You say no elephants in the blurb here, so what happened to them? ORing, I would guess that Hannibal considered them unsuitable for a fast moving reconnaissance role. Excellent stuff FunkMonk. I am eagerly awaiting your next instalment. Gog the Mild (talk) 14:14, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Many were from North Africa, ans are usually" And? Link javelin in first instead of second mention in article body? Link and introduce Livy at first mention instead of second. "In the confusion Scipio's 16-year-old son, of the same name, leading a small group, cut his way through to his wounded father and escorted him away from the fight, saving him from being either captured or killed." Where is the movie about these wars? "In the confusion Scipio's 16-year-old son" and "In 204 BC Publius Cornelius Scipio, the same man who had fought as a youth at Ticinus" is confusing, as the first is an easter egg, and the reader doesn't know it's the same person from just reading the article. Add more of the name first mention and remove duplink? "the Carthaginians moved south into Roman Italy." A bit of a cliffhanger in the intro, should it be stated here that he campaigned in Italy for the next 12 years? Would perhaps round it off better. Thanks for that. I am on holiday for a few days. I'll look at them properly once I'm back. Gog the Mild (talk) 10:21, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] CPA Just a random comment here. The image File:218BCMAPMEDITERRANEAN (cropped).jpg is a bit blurry maybe replace it or try to make it less blurry? Cheers. CPA-5 (talk) 13:09, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It may just be me, but I don't find it blurry at all. Eg, compare the two maps at the top of this page where (to me) "File:218BCMAPMEDITERRANEAN (cropped).jpg" seems the crisper. As well as considerable other advantages. That said, if your map manipulation skills are better than my non-existent ones feel free to tweak the map in any way that you feel improves it. Gog the Mild (talk) 14:51, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Harrias The end of the second paragraph of Iberia gets a bit repetitive with how often the year is mentioned, particularly near the start of sentences. Could some of these be made relative? Also, it is a bit confusing that the last two sentences aren't in chronological order. "...who were finally defeated in 222. In 218 the..." Both BC I assume? "The Roman Senate detached one Roman and one allied legion from the force intended for Iberia to send to the region." It is probably just the disjointed way I'm reading this as part of a review, but I initially struggled to work out which region this referred to, as the last mentioned region was Iberia itself. Maybe just for me, but could you make it clear where they were sent in the prose here. "At the same time a Roman army.." Might "another" work better than "a" here? "..then taking an inland route.." "took", not "taking". ".Publius returned to Italy." With his scouts reporting.." Avoid the 'noun plus -ing' construction. "Next day each commander led out a strong force to personally reconnoitre the size and make up of the opposing army, things of which they would have been almost completely ignorant." I don't like much about this sentence! Personally, I'd prefer it to start with "The", and I find the "things of which they."? "...referred to by Livy as "steady"..." This is the first mention of Livy, introduce him please. "..and a 40 centimetres (1 ft 4 in) shield." This should be hyphenated and singular, surely? "..and a 40-centimetre (1 ft 4 in) shield." "..of the 4,500 or so available light infantry javelinmen." The velites? Reviewed to the end of the Opposing forces section. More to follow. Harrias (he/him) • talk 11:42, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Eadwig Nominator(s): Dudley Miles (talk) 07:06, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Mike Christie Support. This is up to Dudley's usual standard; I commented at the peer review and have nothing to add here. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 12:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review Pass. I made one formatting tweak. Sources are all reliable and consistently formatted. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 12:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review Pass. I made one formatting tweak. 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review File:Silver_penny_of_King_Eadwig_(YORYM_2013.1351.4)_reverse.jpg. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:10, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Nikkimaria. I have corrected typos in the tags. Are they OK now? Dudley Miles (talk) 09:56, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Not yet - the typo wasn't the issue here. Both images have a tag representing the copyright status of the photograph. However, they also need a tag representing the copyright status of the photograph. Nikkimaria (talk) 22:28, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, although I'd suggest labelling the tags to make clear what applies to what. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:49, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pone. Dudley Miles (talk) 07:17, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments "The following year, Oda, Archbishop of Canterbury, separated Eadwig from his wife Ælfgifu on the grounds (plural) OED has ground singular if there is only one grounds (plural) OED has ground singular if there is only one grounds (plural) OED has ground singular if there is only one ground singular if there is only) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 12:33, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "while others see his character and the events of this reign? Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 12:32, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "By 878, it had overrun" - for total clarity, I would say "the army had overrun" Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 12:32, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "By 878, it had overrun" - for total clarity, I would say "the army had overrun" Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 12:32, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "By 878, it had overrun" - for total clarity, I would say "the army had
overrun" - for total clarity, I would say "the army had overrun" - for total clarity, I

"he does not name the daughter in his account. [17]" - random gap before the ref Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 12:32, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's what I got as far as 955, I will endeavour to look at the rest tonight -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 12:03, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Chris. Dudley Miles (talk) 12:32, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Ælfsige, who he appointed" => "Ælfsige, whom he appointed" I wondered about this, but the wikilink goes to bookland, so it would read "allowing landholders to convert [[Bookland (law)|folkland]], which they already owned as hereditary family estates which owed food rent and services to the crown, into [[Bookland (law]bookland]]". What do you think? Dudley Miles (talk) 22:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think that would work nicely (although you need a comma between food and rent :-)) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:30, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks ChrisTheDude. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 09:34, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the kingdom was divided even though had been appointed by Eadwig" - think there's a word missing in there Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 22:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the kingdom was divided even though had been appointed by Eadwig" - think there's a word missing in there Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 22:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the kingdom was divided even though had been appointed by Eadwig" - think there's a word missing in there Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 22:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's the lot from me :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:26, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks ChrisTheDude. Replies and query above. Dudley Miles (talk) 22:20, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Z1720 I write historical biographies, but not in this time frame, so my expertise is limited. I started the comments at the comm couple hours ago, and did not realise that another editor would also comment, so I'm sorry if these comments overlap and will not be offended if you resolve Chris's comments first. "In the ninth century Anglo-Saxon England" suggest putting a comma after century Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "By 878, it had overrun", " By 883 Æthelred, Lord of the Mercians," The use of commas after years should be consistent. I recommend using the comma. I have mostly use the comma. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "He almost immediately lost control", "He almost immediately invaded" The duplicate sentence starters is jarring. I also don't understand how someone "almost immediately invaded". Did he invaded to "He then invaded Mercia". Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "According to Dunstan's earliest hagiographer," Suggest wikilinking to hagiography, as this is a specialised word. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Rory Naismith sees the story of Dunstan's intervention" Who is Rory Naismith and why should the reader care about their opinion? Since they do not have a wikilink, a job title might be appropriate to add here. Some editors would also suggest adding job titles or credentials for wikilinked experts, but I'm of the opinion that it isn't necessary. Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and it may have been seen as a threat by the circle around Edgar as it threatened to cut him out from the prospect of inheriting the crown." Two threats in quick succession. Maybe, "and it may have been seen as a threat by the circle around Edgar as it could have cut him out from the prospect of inheriting the crown." or something similar Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "but Keynes thinks that different around Edgar as it could have cut him out from the prospect of inheriting the crown." or something similar Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "but Keynes thinks that different around Edgar as it could have cut him out from the prospect of inheriting the crown." or something similar Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "but Keynes thinks that different around Edgar as it could have cut him out from the prospect of inheriting the crown." Two threats in quick succession. stories about Eadwig and his women may" Should it be "Eadwid and this woman"? If not, I don't think its appropriate to have women be phrased as a possession of Eadwig's romantic relationships may" or something similar. I don't think it is a problem. "romantic relationship" sounds coy to me and I think you can refer to a woman and her men and a man and his women. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and Eadwig was not even mentioned." I don't think even is necessary here and can be removed. Done. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "was appointed an ealdorman in Mercia in 956." Suggest wikilinking ealdorman Wikilinked on first mention above. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "According to Dunstan's biographer B," B has already been extensively talked about previously, so I don't think this author needs to eb reintroduced here. Done. I have kept it below in "such as Dunstan's biographer B and Byrhtferth" as "such as B and Byrhtferth" sounds odd to me but I am open to suggestions. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and Frederick Biggs comments that if Edgar' Who is this person and what are their credentials? Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "amounting in the view of Shashi Jayakumar to" Who is this person and what are their credentials? Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "was abandoned by the Mercians and the Northumbrians with contempt", " Is that comma supposed to be a period? Fixed. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "William Hamilton (see right)," I don't think "(see right)," I don't think "(see right)," I don't think the caption under the image is enough and "(see right)" it is unnecessary here. I think it is helpful to the reader to point to the picture so I have changed it to "see image". Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is not necessary for my support, but I suggest putting the "Sources" section into two columns to make the list shorter to scroll. never use columns for sources as I find that it is quicker to find a source in a list without columns. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:15, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Those are my thoughts. Please ping me when the above are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 15:25, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Those are my thoughts. Please ping me when the above are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 15:25, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Those are my thoughts. August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Tim riley I turned up too late for the peer review, but it looks as though I wouldn't have had much to contribute in any case. I have only four minor quibbles on the present text: Background "...thus became the first king of all England. He died in October 939 and was succeeded by his half-brother and Edmund, who was the first king to succeeded him, the latter must ipso facto have been the first king to succeed to the throne of all England. (As Eadwig had only one father I'd put a comma before "Edmund" if I were writing the sentence, but we won't fall out over the point.) Historians distinguish between the two cases. Æthelstan succeeded as king of the Anglo-Saxons and only became king of England when he conquered Northumbria. Edmund was the first to succeed as king of England. I am open to suggestions of how to express this more clearly. Added the comma before Edmund. Fine. I do not press the point. Tim riley talk 17:18, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Reign There are a lot of "Eadwig"s in the opening para of the section: perhaps a pronoun or two would make things smoother? Done.Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Early reign 955-957 His sixty odd gifts of land - you really need a hyphen here; otherwise, it's sixty strange gifts, rather than sixty-something unstrange ones. Done. This seems to me one of the few cases where adding in a hyphen helps the sense. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] around 5% - I believe the MoS favours "per cent" rather than % in the text (not in tables etc), and I'd also go for "five" rather than "5". (Not quite so sure about the percentages in the coinage section, though: I think they look all right as drawn, MoS notwithstanding.) Changed. I prefer 5%, but life is too short to argue with MoS.
Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's my lot. Nothing of enough importance to prevent my adding my support. - Tim riley talk 11:38, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks Tim. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:01, 13 August 2022 (UTC)R July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] If someone were to ask me to define "Lady Gaga", this song would be my answer. It has everything that made Gaga famous—catchy chorus, elaborate music video, outlandish costumes and nonsensical chanting. I have been working on this article intermittently for quite some years now. A few months ago, I digged deep for academic sources and found to my delight many of them. A song called the catchiest in the world by a prominent organization of psychology should have the highest-quality article on Wikipedia. Kinda reviewers, help me make that happen. FrB.TG (talk) 16:25, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Aoba47 For the RedOne and Francis Lawrence images, lawrence would include the year that the photos were taken to the caption to provide the full context to readers. I do not think File:Lady Gaga BR GMA.jpg is necessary. A performance image does not fit in the "Critical reception" section and the article already has two performance images. that this part was not really addressed, and I would appreciate your feedback about it. Thank you in advance. Aoba47 (talk) 04:11, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hey Aoba, I'd removed it as per your suggestion because it really does seem out of place there but I was reverted by another editor here. FrB.TG (talk) 04:11, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hey Aoba, I'd removed it as per your suggestion because it really does seem out of place there but I was reverted by another editor here. (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your response. I had removed the image and used a detailed edit summary to hopefully clear up this matter. Aoba47 (talk) 16:27, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I do not think the citation in the infobox is needed as this information should be present and cited in the article. I do not think it is controversial enough that a person is credited under their legal name for songwriting credit to require this citation. I would spell out extended play on its first use in the lead to help readers who are not 100% familiar with the concept. I'd look at "All About That Bass" as an example of what I mean. The body of the article should mention that The Fame Monster is an EP and a reissue, which it currently does not. I am uncertain about the "full-throated" quote. In the article, it is not given clear attribution in the prose, and I am not sure if a quote like that works in the lead. I think this part, attraction to individuals with whom romance never works, her preference for lonely relationships, reads a little awkwardly and would benefit from revision. This information could be conveyed more concisely and the phrase "lonely relationships" seems off in particular to me. I have tweaked it. I do not think the French bridge is notable enough to include in the lead. I also could not find this information in the article. This part, The recipient of a Grammy Award for Best Female Pop Vocal Performance, it was, is not grammatically correct. if read literally, it says that the song won the award, when the award was given to Gaga. For the Saturday Night Live bit, I'd clarify that Gaga performed the song to avoid any potential misinterpretation. The "released" is used twice in close succession in the first paragraph of the "Background and release" section. I do not think the caption is more focused on the lyrics, which could be illustrated through the prose alone, and I would instead do something about the song's genre or sound. I played around with it quite a bit and in every scenario, it fails WP:NFC. I have removed it. Is there a reason for using a university's sheet music over musicnotes.com? Musicnotes is generally badly received at FAC, and it is usually not known if the sheet posted there is in fact the original or just another version posted by the label/singer. I believe a university source than one which does not even have its own article on Wikipedia should be the better choice, no? I have seen the issues are not specific to that site, but they are more about sheet music in general. I could be wrong and it would likely be better to have a more experienced editor comment on this, but the university could have the same issue as the website. The university could have a specific arrangement, but there is not a clear guarantee that this is the case. Again, I'd go with a more experienced editor's opinion, but I think the issue is really with sheet music in general. Aoba47 (talk) 20:46, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Not to get super nitpick-y, but I'd revise this part, The song then plays keyboard sounds, as I do not think a song can play anything. For this part, a postdoctoral fellow in American studies at University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, I would remove the university as it is not necessary and it would benefit from revision. I would lead with how it connects to Gaga's LGBT audience since I was a little confused by this sentence until I got to the second half of it. The final two paragraphs of the "Music and lyrics" section has a lot of great content, but could you tell me how you organized it? I think it would benefit from a clearer structure. I have done quite some rearranging, with the third one being about unhealthy relationships and the title's meaning (which is the same thing: "bad romance"). The last one is entirely about Horn's analysis. Hopefully, the structure is more comprehensable. I hope this review is helpful. These are my comments up until the "Critical reception" section. I will continue my review once everything has been addressed. Best of luck with this FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 17:19, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There is not a clear citation supporting this part, with praise for its chorus, beat and hook. The citations are the follow-up reviews in that para. That was not immediately clear to me and I think it looks off when there is a citation in the middle of a sentence, but not at the end. Aoba47 (talk) 20:48, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd avoid one-word quotes like "relentlessly" as they are not particularly informative and they take away from the other quotes. This Rolling Stone citation has an author, but they are not included in the prose. I have noticed some other issues with how critics are attributed in the prose. While the article often includes critics' names, there are instances like "a critic from Rolling Stone" and "The Billboard review" where the name is not used so it is rather confusing, it is placed in a weird spot in this section. It is in a paragraph that is praising the song, and this does not fit that. It is also not really a review of the song. It is more of a study or analysis. I'd be careful with the following wording, "They felt it was not on par" and lacking the "instant catch" is a critique from both reviewers instead of the individuals. Apologies for jumping in with some additional comments already. I just noticed some issues I wanted to raise first. While I can tell a lot of great work has been put into the article, I am not sure if it is fully prepared for a FAC (but I will leave that up to other reviewers). Aoba47 (talk) 17:44, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No apologies needed. This was nominated just now after a PR so resolving the issues shouldn't be a major problem. I'll see if this can be done within the FAC scope. If not, well I'm sure we can figure something out. Cheers, FrB.TG (talk) 17:59, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you, Aoba47, for your comments. I have done quite some rearranging in "music and lyrics" and "critical reception" sections. See if you wish to continue your review or stand by your current viewpoint, either of which is perfectly fine. FrB.TG (talk) 20:03, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your responses and for being understanding about everything. I will continue my review later today or tomorrow if that is okay with you. Aoba47 (talk) 20:50, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I am guessing the music video synopsis is sourced with the video as the primary source; would
that be correct? The synopsis seems a little on the long side, and I am wondering it could be made a little more concise. That is correct, as per WP:FILMPLOT. I have trimmed the subsection a little. set-piece and costumes, does not have a citation. I am guessing that this part is supported by the citations later on in the middle and none at the end. For the "Reception and thematic analysis" subsection, would it be worthwhile to separate the reviews and the analysis? This subsection looks quite long and I think that further structure may make it looks less intimidating to readers. I am not convinced that File:Praying mantis india.jpg is necessary, especially since the "insect" dress is not being shown so there really is not much of a comparison being made. The university in this part, sociologist Mathieu Deflem of University of South Carolina, is not necessary so I would remove it. I am uncertain about the sentence starting with this part, Calling it a "culture-breaking moment". This sentence is pushing together two different writtens and it gives off the impression that they were either written by the same person or downplays the individual reviewers. This is more of a note than anything. I'd be cautious about the size of the "Live performances" section in the future. It is pretty much guaranteed that Gaga will continually perform this song throughout her career due to its popularity so I'd be wary of this section becoming too big or overly detailed. You are absolutely right. I did some trimming and removed some superfluous information and recording processes) should also be written out in the prose of the article, likely in the "Background and release" section. I am not sure what "actor" means in the "Personnel" section? Neither do I. It must have been vandalized at some point and nobody noticed. Both MTV or if MTV News are used in the citations 63, 64, 73, 107, 114 use MTV News. I would be consistent with one way or the other. I'd go with MTV News as it is more specific. Citation 140 is missing the author. Citation 229 should have its title translated to English. This is true of any citations with titles in a non-English language. Done wherever possible. In some places, the ref. is automatically generated as part of {{single chart}}, which cannot be modified. Simon Price should be linked in the article and in the citation. This should be all of my comments for my first read-through of the article. I hope that this review was helpful and not too nit-picky at all and was very helpful. The article has improved in leaps and bounds now. Hopefully, this is now enough to convince you of the article's quality at the FA level. FrB.TG (talk) 10:54, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your for addressing everything. I will read through the article's quality at the FA level. FrB.TG (talk) 10:54, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your patience with my review. I support this FAC for promotion based on the prose. I will leave the sheet music citation up to other reviewers. I am not fully convinced, but I understand if you do not have the time or interest. Best of luck with the FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 16:48, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments Support from ErnestKrause This article has been at GA level for some time now and has been at GA level for some time now and has been written at a high level of narrative quality and thoroughness. One comment I would add here is that I think this was used in the context of the very last show which Alexander McQueen did before the end of his life and that there are reliable sources for this. Since Lady Gaga was a follower of his designs, mentioning this as his very last full fashion show with reliable sources might be a good addition to the article. ErnestKrause (talk) 10:54 (talk) 10 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Also, there is a fine Covers section in this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with this article along with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with the well done Video section which accompanies the song article; I'm sufficiently familiar with the song article; I'm sufficiently from NØ I had a look at this article while it was at PR and it seemed to be in solid shape. I will give it the customary reread and then add some comments here. Btw, I would greatly appreciate if you could review my current FAC, although it is totally fine if you do not have the time or interest.--NØ 03:06, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] If the abbreviation "EP" is not used again, it does not need to be mentioned. In the lead, the infobox uses the abbr, and in the body, it is used in "Track listings" section. The oxford commas should be consistently used (or not); Not used: "chorus, beat and hook", Used: "award ceremonies, her concert tours and residency shows, and the Super Bowl LI halftime show" I generally try to do without it as I find it unnecessary but in places like "award ceremonies, her concert tours and residency shows, and the Super Bowl LI halftime show", I have intentionally used it to not confuse with the and's (and residency shows is used to not repeat while the second and is preceded by a comma to clarify that it's part of the main listing of places Gaga has performed the song at. "Gaga explained that she generally felt lonely in her relationships" - Perhaps there would be a way to avoid the repetition? Thanks for replacing Musicnotes. I'll leave it to the source reviewer to determine if the university source is okay Does its inclusion in the list of "Top 10 List of Songs with Unhealthy Relationship Ingredients" fit the "Music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found this placement kind of random I think so. It's more of a themes analysis (which is what the music and lyrics section? I found the music and lyrics section? I found or two about why it's in the list. "and Rolling Stone critic Jon Dolanfelt that song made her name a "Teutonic chant" - Space before "felt" and the word "the" should be there after "that" Do you think it would be beneficial to include the release years for "Tik Tok" and the word "the" should be there after "that" Do you think it would be beneficial to include the release years for "Tik Tok" and the word "the" should be there after "that" Do you think it would be beneficial to include the release years for "Tik Tok" and the word "the" should be there after "that" Do you think it would be beneficial to include the release years for "Tik Tok" and "Empire State of Mind"? sure if intentional Do we need a wikilink for rubles? Seems like a well-known term to me While I do think Elle is generally reliable, is its opinion reputed sources; it has been referenced in CBS News, NY Daily News and Time. The author herself has written for Chicago Tribune, the New York Times, Vogue, Esquire etc. As for the quote itself, I think it truly captures all the successful aspects of the song (genre combination, lyrics, music video and Gaga's voice), which no other source does. Love the inclusion of various research studies which truly gives the article a comprehensive feel. After these are addressed, I will go through the article once more to see if I got everything. Regards.--NØ 04:28, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank
you, Marano. I think I have addressed everything. Let me know if you are not satisfied with something. FrB.TG (talk) 17:58, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you, Marano. I think I have addressed everything. Let me know if you are not satisfied with something. FrB.TG (talk) 17:58, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you, Marano. I think I have addressed everything. Let me know if you are not satisfied with something. it totally looks like an FA to me. Great work!--NØ 03:01, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pseud 14 The article's prose has already been PR'd and reviewed by experienced editors on this topic of interest, I have very little to add. Here are a few suggestions that I hope will be helpful. "Bad Romance" was acclaimed by music critics -- suggest linking music critics -- suggest linking music critics to music journalism and was included in yearly is what's commonly used. perhaps it could be specified as first female act or artist, as woman is a bit vague. The song was certified quadruple platinum by the Australian Recording Industry Association for shipment of 280,000 copies of the single. -- I think we can omit "single" at the end as it is understood that the song shipped X copies. and 12 million in total, becoming one of the best-selling singles of all time -- I assume this figure is to date? If so, it would be worth clarifying. with more elaborate sets, including sets outdoors. -- perhaps a little tweaking, to remove mention of "sets" twice' Glee cast performed -- Although it's linked to the article, I think it's worth mentioning Glee as a musical tv series. That's all I have. Great work! --Pseud 14 (talk) 19:03, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sammi Brie - image review Please note this is my first FAC review Please note this place to the suggested. Let me know if I missed something. FrB.TG (talk) 12:55, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sammi Brie - image review Please note this is my first FAC review Please note this place to the suggested. Let me know if I missed something. FrB.TG (talk) 12:55, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sammi Brie - image review Please note this is my first FAC review Please note this place to the suggested. Let me know if I missed something. of any variety. I will be claiming this for WikiCup. Please tell me if I make any comments that are off track. This article has seven total images. One is in the infobox and is the album cover. It has an appropriate fair use rationale and alt text. There is also one other fair use image, which is a still from the music video showing the choreography of the song. I agree with its fair use as irreplaceable content discussed in a critical manner in the article. Of the other five images: One has a VRT ticket confirming approval for use under CC-BY-SA 4.0. The remainder were posted under various CC licenses (confirmed by Flickreview). Two images need alt text: File:The Monster Ball - Bad Romance revamped3.jpg and File; Glee-Born This Way.jpg. The latter should also be displayed on the right size as it currently bumps a section header. Its caption is a complete sentence and must end with a period. I intend to pass when the missing alt text is supplied and the one image is shifted from left-side to right-side display. Pinging the nominator, FrB.TG. Other reviewers are encouraged to leave me feedback on this review, Sammi Brie (she/her • t • c) 03:39, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks for the detailed image review, Sammi done as suggested. Let me know if I missed something. FrB.TG (talk) 08:40, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The issues I identified have been fixed, and the image review passes. Sammi Brie (she/her • t • c) 17:10, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The issues I identified have been fixed, and the image review passes. throated" is somehow POV in my opinion; can we reword it? I think if it sounds POV-y this way, it will sound POV-y any other way. I have simply removed it from the lead. "Lyrically, it explores ... the paranoia she experienced while on tour" I think the lyrics per-se don't explore this, but rather were inspired by this? "A 2017 journal, published by the American Psychological Association, called the song the catchiest in the world." interesting, but I'd also want to know on what metrics (is it song structure or melody or something else?) were used Background and release—Do we have the date the song was leaked? I am doubtful about the reliability of the sheet music provided; it is the sheet music to the drum arrangement of the song, and the personnel listed are not credited as producers/engineers of the song. Since two reviewers have objected to this source, I have restored the one from Musicnotes.com. Critical reception—"by Pitchfork—which called it "epic in construction"—MTV News" perhaps a comma after the quote "epic in construction"? I think the em-dash functions as a comma; the reason I used it instead of a comma was to clarify it is not one of the publications calling the song one of 2009's best. "Kaufman lauded the drastic transition into a bombastic "Erasure-esque throb" during the chorus, called catchy by Rolling Stone's Jody Rosen, one of Gaga's best by MusicOMH's Michael Hubbard" am I missing something or is the grammar a bit off here? It was supposed to be about the chorus, (which was) called xy: "..during the chorus, especially short ones, make it hard to read; I'd paraphrase some Commercial performance—"second artist to have three singles [...] each sell five million digital copies" also who is the first? Pipe Mainstream Top 40 (Pop Songs) Personally I don't think we need to mention which week the song reached number one (Austria Denmark et al) Music video—"hoping to work with her again" unless they did collaborate on some later projects, I'd leave this out because it comes off as trivia "Gaga created a pair of razor-blade sunglasses" did she really create them? Just to make sure... More to come... Ippantekina (talk) 10:43, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks, Inpantekina. Unless I have explicitly stated otherwise, I have implemented your suggestions. I look forward to the next batch of your reveiw. FrB.TG (talk) 13:54, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I love the depth of research in the Thematic analysis section, but am a bit lost on the organization because it reads a little staccato... like a simple displayed of the next batch of your reveiw. of disparate opinions without flow. Maybe a brief introduction sentence at the beginning of each paragraph, or grouping some similar opinions (for example, I see some opinions (for example, I see some opinions (for example, I see some opinions having the same concern regarding sexuality) would help? quotebox I don't know, I like it a lot. This touches on all the aspects of the song that made it so impactful. Do you think it should be removed altogether or just not the quotebox. If a quotebox should be useful, I'd go for an opinion from a reputed critic or academic, and the Elle journalist, while reliable, is just not the best option imo. "Gaga sang "Bad Romance" during her residency show, Lady Gaga Enigma + Jazz & Piano (2018-2022); on her Enigma and Jazz & Piano are two shows or one.. I am confused I think the Glee version entered some charts; should we mention them? For the track listings they say that it is not standard practice to include such a section unless the track listings received extensive commentary. Given that none of the remixes listed here are discussed in prose, I'd remove the section altogether. I don't know it's unusual for a song to have this many versions with different durations. It can be part of prose if necessary (in Background and release section for example). I personally don't find the track listings helpful, but I'd leave it to other editors. I think it's better to include table captions for separate years; i.e. one for 2009 year-end charts and one for 2010 year-end charts... I'm not sure that is necessary since it was released pretty late in 2009, and the song's peak was somewhere between 2009 and 2010. For 2017, for example, it makes sense since it and 2009 are several years apart. I do think it is helpful to include table captions for separate years. While it may be clunky, it helps with accessibility. This concludes my prose review. Apart from my comments I made some minor edits that are hopefully beneficial. A great read overall! Ippantekina (talk) 09:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you, Ippantekina. I think I have addressed the rest of your comments. Let me know if you disagree with something. FrB.TG (talk) 16:49, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support on prose. I have a few remaining concerns, but they are minuscule to the quality. Great work! Ippantekina (talk) 02:42, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] David (son of Heraclius) Nominator(s): Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 07:31, 30 July 2022 (UTC), User:HaukurthReply[reply] This article is about David, one of the co-emperors of the Byzantine Empire. Perhaps a perfect example of a victim of Byzantine Empire. Perhaps a perfect example of a victim of Byzantine Empire. was deposed, mutilated, and then ignored. While in some ways more a receiver of history than a mover of it, he still held the throne during a period of vast controversy in the empire. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 07:31, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest adding alt text Suggest scaling up the map and adding a legend, and see MOS:COLOUR File:David_plate.jpg needs a tag for the original work. Ditto File:Heraclius_with_sons.jpg. Nikkimaria (talk) 14:05, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The tag added indicates that a US tag is also needed, and COLOUR still seems to be outstanding? 23:44, 30 July 2022 (UTC) @Nikkimaria: Tag has been added to both; not sure exactly what you mean in terms of COLOUR; I've changed the caption of the map to "Map of the Byzantine Empire (orange, possessing Anatolia, North Africa, and much of Italy) in 650, showing the Rashidun
Caliphate (green, possessing Egypt, the Levant, and much of the Middle East), after the loss of Egypt and other territories to Muslim conquest.", which should allow any colorblind individual to understand it. Are you suggesting changing the map entirely? Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 15:01, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's certainly better. Nikkimaria (talk) 02:02, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Nikkimaria: Does the article now pass image review, or is more work needed? Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 17:55, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Good enough. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:47, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Good enough. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:47, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Z1720 I have written historical biographies, but I am unfamiliar with this person, time period or location. "November 7, 630," The other dates in the article have the day first, so this should be standardised. Done. "September 1, 641." Another date inconsistency. Done "But according to Theophanes they were ousted by the Senate" The reader already knows there are contradictory sources so it does not need to be emphasised at the beginning of this sentence. done. "Haldon, John (1990). Byzantium in the Seventh Century: The Transformation of a Culture. Cambridge: Cambridge have them, too, for consistency. done I checked the lede, no concerns. In the infobox, the reign says October-November, but the article says the co-orination took place in September or October. Perhaps this needs to be clarified. done Those are my comments. Please ping me when the above are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 17:34, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Z1720: All concerns addressed. Z1720 (talk) 19:58, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support. My concerns have been addressed. Z1720 (talk) 19:58, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments My only comments are these: The caption to the map is not a complete sentence so shouldn't have a full stop done "a military leader named Theodore led a rebellion and defeated first David, Martina's ally, and then marched against Constantinople where he overthrew Martina's regime" would (IMO) read better as "a military leader named Theodore led a rebellion and first defeated David, Martina's ally, and then marched against Constantinople where he overthrew Martina's regime" would (IMO) read better as "a military leader named Theodore led a rebellion and first defeated David, Martina's ally, and then marched against Constantinople where he overthrew Martina's regime" done That's all I got! -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 16:04, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 16:04, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 18:49, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link more names and terms in image captions? Done Link Byzantine at first mention in article body? Done Since there are no images of David, and the plates comes cloest to being tied to him, perhaps show more of them[7] by using the multiple image template (like in quagga)? Would also make the image smaller sc that it doesn't clash with the quote below. Done "literally "David Caesar, may you be victorious."" Shouldn't the period be placed after the quotation mark? Done (in the case of constantine the Great." How many siblings were there, and how many from the same mother? Done (in the case of constantine the Great." How many siblings were there, and how many from the same mother? Done (in the case of constantine the Great." How many siblings were there, and how many from the same mother? Done (in the case of constantine the Great." How many siblings were there, and how many from the same mother? first paragraph) "An agreement was reached, one part of which was to be crowned as a co-emperor." It seems unclear who he was to be co-emperor." It seems unclear who the article body to solve the above: "As part of a compromise, David was raised to co-emperor with the regnal name Tiberius, ruling with his brother Heraklonas and their nephew Constans II." Done. Likewise with: "All three emperors were children and the Empress Dowager Martina acted as regent." conquest would make more sense under Downfall, after it has been mentioned, than where it is now, Succession struggle, where this is not yet mentioned, and has little relevance? Done Should Blue faction, the general explanation of them at Chariot racing is the best we have. Link Bulgar? Done "and Marinus" Earlier you spell it Martinus. Done (result of page move, didn't catch it) Link Jerusalem in intro. Done "given the senior court title Caesar at the age of 7" His age only seems mentioned in the intro, which should not have unique info. I haven't been able to track down the source that gives him as 7 (although this is obviously correct), so I've changed it to "in 638" in the lede; strong possibility I did the math when crafting the lede in my userspace and assumed there would be a source to give the number in the body, and that never ended up being the case, good catch. "in an early example of Byzantine political mutilation" Only the intro states it is n early example. Done "and the noses of her sons were slit" Unclear what "slit" means, probably better to be as explicit as the article body, "cut off". done @FunkMonk: All issues dealt with or responded to. Iazyges Consermonor Opus meum 04:11, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support - everything addressed nicely. FunkMonk (talk) 11:34, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the 2015 video game Chibi-Robo! Zip Lash, which was developed by Skip Ltd. and published by Nintendo 3DS. The game departs from the series previous entries' 3D adventure genre roots by being a 2D sidescroller instead. The game was developed with the hope that it could save the series; however, fans often cited it as the cause for the death of the series after Zip Lash was a critical and financial failure. I created this article back in 2020 as I was surprised it didn't already have one and have since raised it to be a GA last year. Thanks to a peer review by Panini! and having a copy edit recently finished, now I hope to get it promoted to FA status; as well as get it to become my first article elevated to FA status; as well as get it to become my first article. In my spot check, I detected too many verification issues for this article to pass candidacy, along with at least three non-high-quality sources being used in the article. Not to mention, during my spot check, I also noticed other problems in this article such as the atypical first sentence (First sentences in video game articles are often formatted as either ' [TITLE] is a [YEAR] [GENRE] game developed by [DEVELOPER] and published by [DEVELOPER] and published by [DEVELOPER]."), instances of awkward prose (e.g. "Some reviews said the game has underused the levels' surroundings and has 'no real sense of scale' compared to Chibi Robo's height."), swapping between past and present tense in the reception section, a comma after a semicolon, inconsistency in linking works in citations, etc. This article cannot be considered a featured article by its current condition. Don't feel discouraged though. article. I might be willing to take another look at this article if you go through this article again and fix any issues I have raised or you notice. Lazman321 (talk) 20:09, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Mr. Bean, not that one, but one who was really good predicting elections, and was the "Lone Prophet" of Harry S. Truman's fantastic victory in the 1948 presidential election. We don't know much about him, and he would have been a normal office assistant like million others, had he not developed a passion in election. We don't know much about him, and he would have been a normal office assistant like million others, had he not developed a passion in election. life and career. The article was reviewed for GA by ExcellentWheatFarmer, and was copy-edited for FAC by Baffle gab1978. All constructive comments are more than welcome! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:09, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk)
03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Images are appropriately licensed. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:35, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Image Thanks for the review! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 07:05, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from EW Congrats on Abbott, which I never got around to review in the coming days. "born on April 15, 1896, in Lithuania" - can you mention that this was part of the Russian Empire at the time? Done. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:00, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Economical" in the infobox and short description was abolished. Added. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:00, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Economical" in the infobox and short description I think you mean economic; economical is a different word entirely. Fixed. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:00, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "He accurately predicted the results of all presidential election, when ... Truman defeated Dewey" cover all 4 election including 1940 and 1944. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:00, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oh, I see; I didn't realize that "He did not argue that the Republican Party's victory in the 1938 or 1942 congressional elections" meant that he actually predicted Roosevelt's victory. Could that be made clearer? (By the way, perhaps choose another word than "victory": the Republicans didn't take control of either chamber of Congress, although they did gain a number of seats.) Extraordinary Writ (talk) 20:22, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Extraordinary Writ, clarified! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:38, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Perhaps you could add a further reading section with some of Bean's works (e.g. How to Predict Elections and Ballot Behavior) Done! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:00, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In a letter on October 29, 1948—days before the election—Bean projected Truman's victory." - Rosenof (pg. 72) says this letter "quite explicitly stated his ambivalence and his ultimate expectations" of a Dewey win. Adjusted a bit. So, long story short, Bean analysed and predicted Truman to win. Then days before the election, got influenced by the Gallup poll and "hesitatingly" changed his mind. But Truman won, and people credited Bean for the correct prediction. Great! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:28, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "he published a book titled How To Predict Elections" - it's probably worth describing what this book said about the 1948 election: the NYT obit says "in that work...he correctly forecast that voters would return Truman...to the White House", although other sources are more skeptical. Added a bit. Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 20:28, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Extraordinary Writ (talk) 07:13, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "According to author Theodore Rosenof" - does this need in-text attribution? Seems like an uncontroversial factual statement to me. Removed. 1936 United States presidential election is linked twice. "accurately predicted Roosevelt to win both the presidential elections" - the source (Rosenof, pg. 68) doesn't really say that: it just says that "he did not argue" that the GOP would win in 1940 and that he thought their success in 1942 "by no means presaged" a 1944 victory. Well, I don't have a fundamentalist approach here. By saying that GOP would not win, he did mean that the Democrats would win. That is my interpretation, and this is more clear that the previous version. If you insist, I can change back to the previous version. If you insist, I can change back to the previous version. If you insist, I can change back to the previous version. If you insist, I can change back to the previous version. If you insist, I can change back to the previous version. mean that Bean didn't think the Republicans were guaranteed to win, which is different from saying that he thought the Republicans would lose. Do you have a source that explicitly says "He accurately predicted the results of all presidential elections from 1936 to 1948"? If you do, just cite that source; if you don't, the "he did not argue" version is probably best. Extraordinary Writ (talk) 20:57, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fixed. Remove the Roosevelt victory part. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 17:52, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In the book, Bean predicted Truman to win the election and the Democratic Party to gain majority in the Congress" - I'd be curious to hear your thoughts on due weight here since the sources are all over the map: the NYT says "he correctly forecast that voters would return Truman'; Campbell (pg. 69) strikes a middle ground by saying that he "suggested the likelihood of a Democratic victory" but also "set forth more pessimistic possibilities". My instinct is that we shouldn't be saying he predicted Truman's victory in wikivoice, but I'd be interested to hear your views. I remember thinking about this when I published the article the previous year, and yes this is a tricky part. Given the abundance of sources in favor of Bean, we can say that in Wikivoice. The recent Campbell source does says that the book which Bean authored in early 1948 (which would be before the election) contained "passages that suggested a Democratic victory in the presidential election". And in the article, we say that he predicted Truman to win in the book. This is supported by the say that he predicted Truman to win in the book. the NYT source as well. We also have the fact that, days before the election, he did change his stand. We cannot, for sure, in 2022, know what happened in '48, but almost all modern sources used in the article agree that he is most famous for predicting Truman to win, including NYT, The Washington Post, and partially even Rosenof. We also have in the article the viewpoint the Bean made no clear prediction. Here is where the criteria 1c applies, "thorough and representative survey of the relevant literature", and even perhaps WP:VNT. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 09:38, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I understand; it's just that the "If different reliable sources make conflicting assertions about a matter, treat these assertions as opinions rather than facts, and do not present them as direct statements" part of WP:NPOV gives me pause. Perhaps consider something like this: "In his book, Bean—unlike almost all other observers—argued that a Truman victory was possible; he cited the likelihood of high turnout and the unpopularity of the Republican Congress's policies to suggest that the political environment was favorable to Democrats. (Cite to pg. 23 of this book by Rosenof.)" Then keep the "On election day...'a major miracle'" sentence. "Bean's book earned him a reputation for successfully predicting Truman's victory (cite Rosenof's article, pg. 69): Life magazine referred to him as the "Lone Prophet" of Truman's victory, and the Alfred A. Knopf publishing company, which publicized Bean's book, began advertising: "Oh Mr. Gallup! Oh Mr. personal correspondence suggests that "in the end, however hesitantly", he "accepted the polls that consistently showed Dewey solidly ahead nationally". (cite pg. 72 of Rosenof's article)" The idea is that it describes what Bean said without taking a position on the disputed issue of whether he actually predicted Truman's victory, while still providing both the mainstream view (the book "earned him a reputation for successfully predicting Truman's victory") and an alternative perspective from Rosenof. Hopefully that's helpful; feel free to use as much or as little of it as you like. Extraordinary Writ (talk) 20:57, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Referred to the source and incorporated some parts of this in the article. Thanks a lot for being so helpful! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 18:35, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Alfred A. Knopf publishing company" - perhaps "The Alfred A. Knopf publishing company" this, as it is inside direct quotations, but I'll make the change anyways. "Pollster Elmo Roper's book. "later argued in the book How to Predict Elections, Bean made no clear prediction" - this sounds like that might be clearer. Done. More to come. Extraordinary Writ (talk) 08:25, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oh, by the way, I found this BusinessWeek article (cited by Rosenof) at the Internet Archive; perhaps it has something useful. Extraordinary Writ (talk) 20:57, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oh, by the way, I found this BusinessWeek article (cited by Rosenof) at the Internet Archive; perhaps it has something useful. Extraordinary Writ (talk) 20:57, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oh, by the way, I found this BusinessWeek article (cited by Rosenof) at the Internet Archive;
perhaps it has something useful. sources (generally those earlier than 1960s) unless they are primary sources. This one from '51 is pretty old, but can still be used for uncontroversial information. Have added a line from this in the article. Thanks! - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 18:35, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments and support from Gerda Going to make notes as I read, again about an unfamiliar subject, lead to be last. --Gerda Arendt (talk) 14:34, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Infobox and TOC look mostly good, I'd just not need four headers for the references, of nine altogether. Early ... I'd expect a link for Army, otherwise perhaps just army? To get married and have children isn't exactly what I'd call education ;) Economic ... vice president under President Franklin D. Roosevelt, - I'd assume of the before-mentioned agency, but that could be clearer. Political Something is wrong with the grammar of the sentence about publishing Ballot Behavior. That's it, short and sweet. Unusual! --Gerda Arendt (talk) 14:52, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Gerda addressed all comments except the Economic part, which I am not able to understand. Could you please clarify? - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 09:45, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] superficially reading, one might think served as vice president of the U.S., - while it's clear from the context that probably not, I think it would not hurt to clarify president of the U.S., - while it's clear from the context that probably not, I think it would not hurt to clarify president of the U.S., - while it's clear from the context that probably not, I think it would not hurt to clarify president of the U.S., - while it's clear from the context that probably not, I think it would not hurt to clarify president of the U.S., what. I forgot the lead: I'd like some hint in the first sentence how lonely he was with having that one right, for more prominence and the wish (in the reader) to find out more. I believe adding "President" to Wallace would not hurt, for us foreigners who don't know all U.S. presidents' names. Well, Wallace wan't the President. He was VP. I'm not happy with that sentence, "and ... and also", but don't know what to do. Attempted to fix. - Kavyansh.Singh (talk) 18:55, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] These are all minor points, and however you handle them, I support. --Gerda Arendt (talk) 09:52, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Title (album) Nominator(s): NØ and Lips are movin 03:21, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Meghan Trainor's debut major-label studio album Title, her breakthrough into superstardom and commercial success (which unfortunately didn't last long). Defying expectations of one-hit wonderdom, the album achieved an impressive three top-10 hits on the US Billboard Hot 100 and capped 2015 as one of the best-selling albums of the year. Critics were however proven right in doubting Trainor's overall commercial sustainability. This article is the centrepiece to several other FAs I have written in this topic. It's pretty lengthy so thanks a lot to everyone who will take the time to give their feedback here.--NØ 03:21, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Aoba47 I think there could be some minor sandwitching issues with File:Meghan Trainor (15812368967).jpg and File:Title.ogg. I am not sure if the image of Trainor performing. This is not a major issue in my opinion, but I still wanted to bring this to your attention. I have moved the up for the time being which fixes the sandwiching issue. I will remove it if multiple reviewers share this opinion, though. Thank you for addressing this for me. It looks better to me and I think it is best to leave the image up to other reviewers. Aoba47 (talk) 16:34, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I am leaving this up as a lacenolder. I do nave one comment, but I will post a full review sometime tomorrow. I am looking forward to reading the article tomorrow. Best of luck with this FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 22:45, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The lead only mentions negative reviews for the album. Are there positive reviews for the album. Are there positive reviews/trends worth mentioning there as well? Added. I'd change the ballad link to sentimental ballad as that is more reflective of this type of music. Done. For this part, shaming thin women, I think it may be beneficial to spelled out. Here are some further comments. I will do another read-through once the above comments have been addressed. Aoba47 (talk) 01:03, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I would like to massively thank you for providing a review to all FACs in this topic! These are addressed, excited for further comments from you Aoba47.--NØ 05:20, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for addressed, excited for further comments from you for background the article again later today if that is okay with you. Aoba47 (talk) 16:34, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] A track-by-track commentary edition of the album was released (which can be found on Spotify). Do you think that should be briefly mentioned in the article? I'm unsure how to work this into prose as it does not seem to have drawn commentary from any secondary sources; I don't recollect this having been released along with the album either so the given release date looks sketchy as well. I have seen this kind of thing for an album before, but this one in particular seems off. I only wanted to ask as I remember randomly finding on Spotify. Aoba47 (talk) 19:43, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is my last comment. Thank you for your patience with my review and I am glad that I could help with all of the different FACs. You have. put a lot of work into these articles and you should proud of that, and I am looking forward to whatever you work on in the future. Aoba47 (talk) 17:52, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for getting through this pretty big article so quickly and the kind words! I hope you are having a great week so far and I look forward to your future works as well.--NØ 18:28, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the kind words. I support this FAC for promotion based on the prose. If possible, I would greatly appreciate any feedback for my current peer review, but I completely understand if you do not have the time or interest. Best of luck with this FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 19:43, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pseud 14 They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated of "populated" - They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated the electronic dance music that populated contemporary hit radio -- suggestion instead of "populated" - They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated contemporary hit radio -- suggestion instead of "populated" - They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated contemporary hit radio -- suggestion instead of "populated" - They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated contemporary hit radio -- suggestion instead of "populated" - They were tired of the electronic dance music that populated contemporary hit radio -- suggestion instead of "populated" -- sugges dance music predominant in contemporary hit radio Accepted. While a teenager, Trainor's parents nudged -- As a teenager Amended. I would link eponymous to eponym Done. offered a full scholarship to the Berklee. Done. Thanks for catching this! According to Jim Farber of New York Daily News -- Jim Farber of the New York Daily News Done. She deleted her independent albums in the build-up to its release. -- did she delete/remove from her YouTube channels/social media accounts, etc? If so, perhaps you can specify where it was removed. I've reverted to the source wording here which hopefully gives more clarity. Unfortunately secondary sources weren't very specific with this. Revision looks good and clearly explained now. comprising music videos and behind-the-scenes footage -- comprising of Done. She performed at award shows -- perhaps we can omit "popular" as this can be perceived as subjective. Agreed, reworded. In the critical reception section, I think you've used the word "opined" four times, perhaps tweak a few of it to avoid repetition. (e.g. wrote, commented, said, etc) I cut this down to two usages. That's all I got. Article is well-written, detailed and has all the elements. Great work! --Pseud 14 (talk) 15:53, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you so much for the review and compliments, Pseud 14! Should be all addressed now. I hope you're having a great day!--NØ 16:50, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] If you have the time or inclination, I'd appreciate your feedback as well on a music related FLC. Comments by FrB.TG "The album was supported by four singles, "All About That Bass", which reached number one in 58 countries and became the best-selling song by a female artist in the 2010s, and the US Billboard Hot 100 top-15 singles "Lips Are Movin", "Dear Future Husband", and "Like I'm Gonna Lose You", the latter of which features John Legend and peaked at number one in Australia, New Zealand, and Poland." Too many and's artist herself; her father recalled: "She thought she was one of the chubby girls who would never be an artist".[2]" The source needs a |url-access=subscription parameter. If the quotation mark ("...artist.") per MOS:LQ. Good catch! From sentence "Trainor wrote songs she wished existed when she attended high school" in the lead, I got the impression that Trainor wished she had written it earlier. I have now made this consistent after consulting the source wording. Do we really need the ellipsis at the end of the quote ""I want to help myself"? Removed. "The standard edition of Title includes" - suggest replacing one include with contain or comprise to avoid repetition. Done. "present a list of the things a man needs to do in order to be Trainor's life partner" - this can be simplified to "lists the things a man needs to do to be Trainor's life partner". Simplified. "It was the best-selling song
by a female artist in the 2010s, selling 5.8 million digital downloads in the US" - repetition of "selling" within a close proximity. Fixed. "The former began in Vancouver, British Columbia, in February 2015, and concluded in Milan in June 2015. "February 2015 and June 2015 need NBSP per WP:NBSP. Down to the end of Release and promotion. More later. FrB.TG (talk) 19:38, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Added this. The critical reception section somewhat suffers from the "A said B, C said D" where one opinion is listed after another, making it repetitive at places. For example, the second section begins with some reviewers deeming the album repetitiveness ... critic A called it repetitiveness ... critic A called it repetitive". I intended "Title's repetitiveness drew criticism" as a summary statement for the second paragraph so the repetition here is intentional. It is written with WP:CRS in mind and each paragraph tries to drive home the point expressed in its first sentence. I understand your point about repeating the exact same wording, though, so I have rephrased this particular example. I get that and that's what I am trying to emphasize. The second paragraph introduces the repetition criticism. As such, the repetitiveness" is repetitive. I see that this part has already been removed, which is much better now. FrB.TG (talk) 18:58, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] We have four instances of "debuted at number one" in two paragraphs. Some variation. "Title received certifications, including 3× Platinum in the US,[128] Australia,[129] Canada,[130] 2× Platinum in Poland" - the way the certifications in Australia and Canada remain nameless and the Polish certification is mentioned, it sounds like the album was 3x Platinum certified in those two countries. FrB.TG (talk) 17:08, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It was! Let me know if there's anything else, FrB.TG.--NØ 18:04, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It was! Let me know if there's anything else, FrB.TG.--NØ 18:04, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It was! places like "Platinum in Denmark, [133] New Zealand, [134] Sweden, [135] and the UK". I would suggest separating them with a semi-colon: "Title received certifications, including 3× Platinum in the US, [128] Australia, [130] 2× Platinum in Poland; [131] Platinum in Denmark, [132] Platinum in Denmark, [133] New Zealand, [134] Sweden, [135] and the UK". I would suggest separating them with a semi-colon: "Title received certifications, including 3× Platinum in Poland; [130] 2× Platinum in Poland; [131] Platinum in Denmark, [133] New Zealand, [134] Sweden, [135] and the UK". I would suggest separating them with a semi-colon: "Title received certifications, including 3× Platinum in Poland; [137] Platinum in Poland; [138] New Zealand, [138] New Zealand, [138] New Zealand, [139] New Zealand, [139] New Zealand, [139] New Zealand, [139] New Zealand, [130] New Zeala Sweden,[135] and the UK;[136] and Gold in the Netherlands." FrB.TG (talk) 18:58, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done--NØ 19:08, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Media review (pass) File:Meghan Trainor - Title (Official Album Cover).png has an appropriate FUR. File:Meghan Trainor (15812368967).jpg is free of copyright. File:Meghan Trainor 2015 (cropped).jpg licensed to a public domain. Caption could use adding year/month-year of performance. File:Title.ogg for a song length of 2:55, believe the 17-second audio sample meets WP:SAMPLE duration threshold. That should complete my review of the article's relevant media usage. Only one qualm re updating caption which is reasonably fixable. But that won't hinder this from being passed. --Pseud 14 (talk) 13:34, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Interstate 205 (Oregon-Washington) Nominator(s): SounderBruce 06:13, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Portland, Oregon's bypass freeway, which took a quarter-century to build after many, many arguments over where it should do. Among its obstacles were rich homeowners, community activists, the city itself, and prisoners at a soon-to-be-closed jail. The article has been a GA for a while and recently went through a GOCE copyedit; it was written to the same standard as my other recent highway FAs, such as Interstate 182. SounderBruce 06:13, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review by Sammi Brie This article contains seven images, all of which have alt text and appropriate captions. All of the pictures are CC or CCO-licensed. This includes a 1980-81 photo of bridge construction. The creator of that image also uploaded two other images that have that metadata, so l will assume that the creator took those images and then scanned them for use. There is also a scan from a 1955 Bureau of Public Roads map, which is PD-govt. The image review passes. Sammi Brie (she/her • t • c) 02:33, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by ChrisTheDude Nothing to pick up at all on the lead and the route description. I'll look at the rest later -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 19:58, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "At the hearing, the cities Gresham and Camas, Washington" => "At the hearing, the cities of Gresham and Camas, Washington" Fixed. "The section also included the first rest area on I-205, which was built near West Linn, and was designated as a state scenic highway" - wording is slightly ambiguous and could be taken to mean that the rest area was so designated Switched order. "The interchange with OR 99E on the east approach of the bridge was built on fill" - what does "built on fill" mean? Added link to land reclamation "The study concluded an alternative alignment would be infeasible" => "The study concluded that an alternative alignment would be infeasible" Fixed. "it concluded constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that constructing I-205 as originally designed" => "it concluded that construc errors. I've fixed up all of them. SounderBruce 20:48, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:14, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by BennyOnTheLoose This is my first time reviewing an article of this type. Feel free to challenge any comments, or point me to precedent/consensus if my suggestions are offtrack. I ran a general formatting script after looking at the suggested changes - but you can revert this if it created any issues. Regards, BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 10:56, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Consider running IA Bot to avoid WP:LINKROT. Will run it when it does load. The tool seems to be slow at the moment. I'm seeing a "CS1 maint: url-status" message about ref 2 (Multimodal Planning Division (January 4, 2021)) but I think sometimes the scripts I use generate false positives. Not seeing it on my end. It's controlled by a custom template for the route logs, so I'll see if it's throwing up errors on other articles that use it. There are more than a dozen duplicate links (these can be identified with a tool - see MOS:DUPLINK) Removed all that I found were unnecessary. There are a few places where public opposition from the public"); I looked at some of the sources and the level opposition wasn't quantified, so I think the existing descriptions are OK as they reflect sources. For the most part, the opposition wasn't organized (and any groups are named), so I'm not sure if this can be fixed. Sorry, I should have made it clearer that this was an observation rather than something actionable. BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 08:36, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Route description Add that Interstate 205 is in the United States. Already mentioned in the lead, but I don't think readers would get this far down and not know that it's a highway in the US. I'm used to seeing all the info in the lead being in the body and cited, but happy to accept that this falls under the "basic facts" part of "Apart from basic facts, significant information should not appear in the lead if it is not covered in the remainder of the article" from MOS:LEAD. BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 08:36, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the busiest of the two main bridges" - not sure about in American English but in British English this should be something like "the busiest..." (AFAIK,
superlative adjectives are used when writing formally about at least three things .) Switched to "busier". "carries a daily average of 138,000 vehicles" - the other figures have a year attached, so I suggest mentioning that this is a 2020 figure. Added year and an additional source since the original doesn't state a year. "but the route was deemed a low priority" - by who? Looks like voters rejected a proposal; and "Oregon officials" seemed set on a different route. Looks more like a rejection than a low priority but I may be missing something. Reworded. History "In 1943, New York at the time as far as I can see - maybe reword to something including "...New York Public Works Director..."? I have no idea how long such a plan takes, but it might be safer to use something like "presented" (although that's the word from the source) or "produced" rather than "conceived". Switched to "authored"; his position makes it clear that he was based in New York at the time. "The proposed bridge was later shifted west" - optionally, consider rewording as there was no bridge to move. Reworded a bit, but it isn't that unclear. "In 1969, the federal government approved the Mount Hood Freeway as part of the relocation of I-80N (now I-84), which would be partially concurrent with I-205, from the existing Banfield Expressway until it was canceled entirely in 1974. - doesn't quite read right to me, maybe make the "until it was canceled entirely in 1974" into a new sentence? Split. "the cities of Gresham and Camas, Washington, joined the Multnomah County Commissioners"- expand on "cities" per MOS:INSTITUTIONS Fixed. "braided interchange" - the phrase may be familiar to many readers, but it wasn't to me. Could be wikilinked to Interchange (road) or Interchange. Linked. "which approved despite opposition" - "which was approved despite opposition" - I think would read better as "development on Mill Plain imposed by the City of Vancouver due to traffic congestion" Reworded. Future plans to" - suggest futureproofing this a bit by rewording to something like "In 2021, ODOT announced plans to" - suggest future plans to" - suggest future plans to" - suggest future plans to "announced plans to "announced plans to" - suggest future plans to "announced plans to" - suggest future plans to "announced plans to" - suggest future plans to "announced plans to" - suggest futu Seismic retrofit (I think). Linked. Exit list MOS:COLOR says "do not use colored text or background unless its status is also indicated using another method" - I'm not sure if the templates used do this; if not, then you could use one of the other method. - I'm not sure if the templates used do this; if not, then you could use one of the other method.

column is sufficient. Are the blanks in the location column intentional? Yes, those are for unincorporated areas with no official boundary. Looks a little odd to have no state/county against the Columbia River section - is this the way such places are represented in Wikipedia (rather than e.g. multiple states and counties)? It's common for routes that change states at a water body, e.g. Interstate 82. External links What makes the angelfire.com link suitable? The author is therefore a subject expert. That website is usually included in Washington road articles. That's fine, then. BennyOnTheLoose (talk) That is not a correct read of WP:SPS, which states: Self-published expert sources may be considered reliable when produced by an established subject-matter expert, whose work in the relevant field has previously been published for inclusion, someone else will probably have published it in independent, reliable sources. If you want to use an SPS you need to show they have published by RS, and you need to show they have published it in independent, reliable sources. If you want to use an SPS you need to show they have published by RS, and you ne is mostly there to balance out the Oregon road site), but it's been used in other Washington FAs. Should it be removed from those ones as well? SounderBruce. I'll have another read later, but this is all I have for now. Regards, BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 14:55 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @BennyOnTheLoose: Thanks for the review. I had to revert your general fixes script edit due to the change in citation titles (which I prefer to match the original headlines). I have responded to your other queries above. SounderBruce 01:11, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support - I'm happy with the responses and didn't notice anything else in a further read. Regards, BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 08:36, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support by ZKang123 Decided to check through this highway article. At first glance, this article is pretty comprehensive. Especially in the planning phases, when various alignments were proposed. "In 2000, the Oregon portion was designated as the War Veterans Memorial Highway, and has since been used for an annual vehicle convoy to mark Veterans Day." Question: shouldn't it be "is designated" instead of "was designated", since the name is still being used? Feels natural to me. As far as I understand it, past tense is acceptable here due to its relationship with the present perfect tense used later in the sentence. Hmm alright.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Side note, who actually designated the labels? (passive vs active voice) Might suggest mentioning the agencies earlier somewhere in the first paragraph. Added "state legislature". Mentioning ODOT and WSDOT early would confuse readers, I feel, since we're also talking about the state governments in the first paragraph. Noted.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the freeway has a scenic overlook of the falls for northbound traffic" Wondering if this section is relevant. Sounds like promotional stuff to me. The scenic overlook is signed from the freeway, so I don't think it qualifies as anything more than the bare minimum description. Hmm alright.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The freeway passes Oregon City's train station, which is served by Amtrak's Cascades route". I might suggest the segment "served by Amtrak's Cascades route" to be written as a footnote Dropped Cascades, as it doesn't really have much to do with the highway in general. Similarly for "on the west side for the TriMet". Alternatively "on the west side for the TriMet". Alternatively "on the west side for the MAX Green Line, a light rail service operated by TriMet". could be turned into a parenthetical note instead if it's still disrupting the sentence's flow. I do probably suggest putting "a light rail service operated by TriMet" as a footnote then.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The incomplete interchange is located southwest of Washington State University Vancouver and requires some movements from I-5 towards Vancouver to be made via two half-diamond interchanges on Northeast 134th Street." This sentence is a bit confusing to me; what sort of movements? Switched towards to "to and from"; basically, the south half of the interchange requires using a local street to complete the connection. Ah looking at the map I understand So basically trying to explain how to go from the I-205 to Vancouver southwards and vice versa? Quite understand then.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For the Planning and routing debate, I would have overlaid all the possible alignments differentiated by colour on one map (sketching on OSM)... though that might be a little confusing. Actually, I wonder, can there be some sort of gif showing the progression of the highway alignment over time, like it was done for a couple of metro articles? Or at least a map of the expressway with the segments built by year. progression map, but I'll look into it. I don't think I'd be able to produce an alternate alignments map as many of them were not republished in easy-to-access places, so much of it would be guesswork on my part. Not to worry. I just feel a map might add some further clarity and illustrate the history better.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (talk) 08:04, (UTC)Reply[reply] "In late 1972, the freeway was extended northeast from Oregon City to Gladstone". Suggest removing "was" As the freeway was extended, "was" is necessary; otherwise, it would read as if the freeway was extended northeast from Oregon City to Gladstone". The history section is pretty interesting to read through, on all various parties involved in the alignment. The public seemed to be very involved. Indeed, that's how things go in the US (and maybe too much because of the NIMBYs). "The FHWA, which had initially opposed the busway but later withdrew their complaints following further design changes, endorsed the third concept.". Might also further design changes of the busways [in December 1975]." Might also further add that they initially opposed due to "safety concerns" as according to the source, and also why the other two (Portland City Council and Multnomah County Commissioners) eventually approved with the mention of integrated transit component in the highway plans. Added a bit more, but further refinement will need to wait until I have access to the newspaper article that is being cited by Kramer. Hmm alright. Not to worry.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Just curious, do you know of the construction firms contracted to build the expressway? They're only occasionally named, but never prominent unless there's an issue or incident during construction. Normally, they are local firms or joint ventures that form solely for the project's few years of existence. Ah, in Singapore we normally hand out contracts to established construction firms. Plenty of local and foreign firms. I think for an upcoming highway, segments are being contracted out to a few companies.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No other issues pertaining to other parts of the article. ZKang123 (talk) 07:03, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Thanks for the review. I've left my answers to your comments above and will get working on the remaining items. SounderBruce 09:20, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've made a small change to look up the newspaper article.--ZKang123 (talk) 08:04, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123:
Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns with the partial interchanges (and lost motorists), rather than the busway. SounderBruce 17:17, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ZKang123: Added the newspaper citation, which only seems to talk about concerns 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Corinna Nominator(s): Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 20:36, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Corinna is, after Sappho, the ancient Greek woman poet with the most surviving fragments, so we know almost nothing about her, rather than absolutely nothing. Three fairly substantial fragments of her poetry survive, and her works preserve versions of Greek myths not otherwise attested. Despite this, the main scholarly interest in Corinna over the past century has been the surprisingly contentious debate about when she actually lived - despite this being the one fact about her life which is unanimously agreed upon by the ancient sources! I brought Corinna up to GA back in 2019; this year I submitted it for peer review and got helpful comments from Mujinga, SusunW, Kaiser matias, and Tim riley. As far as I can tell, I have read nearly every piece of English-language scholarship about Corinna written in the past century, and I think the article is now ready to be examined at FAC. Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 20:36, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] image review File:Frederic_Leighton_- Corinna_of_Tanagra.jpg: what is the author's date of death? Ditto File:Statue_of_Corinna_(Revue_archéologique_1898_32,V).jpg Frederick Leighton died 1896; I've added that to the license tag. Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 11:12, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Re. the Compiègne statuette, the photographs are credited in Revue Archéologique as being by "Berthaud, Paris". Commons says the author died 1912, which would make the photographer Michel Berthaud, who did indeed have a photographic studio. However, he ran the studio with his brothers, and I can't work out on what basis the photo was credited to Michel rather than one of the others. Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 11:12, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've asked the original uploader on Commons if they can shed any light. I will also try to find the dates of the others - if one was born in 1845, it seems likely that the others were both dead by 1952 which as I understand it is the important cutoff here as France is a Life+70 copyright country? Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 11:43, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Looking further into this, it looks like one brother was Michel Gabriel's father was called Jean unclear if the possible brother is just confusion with the father). Commons: Template: PD-old-assumed; is that plus Commons: T was this first published? Hmm, I can't immediately find when this was first published. It apparently is (or was?) owned by Kunsthaus Zurich, but it's not on their online collection catalogue; I will contact them and see if they can point me in the right direction. I've also left a comment on Wikipedia talk:WikiProject Visual arts asking for assistance. Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 11:12, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File: "CORINNA_(THE_LYRIC_MUSE)" "WILLIAM_BRODIE" from -Sculptures of Andromeda, the Toilet of Atalanta, Corinna, and a Naiad-MET_DP323119 (cropped).jpg needs a tag for the original work. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:04, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The sculpture was by William Brodie, who died in 1881 (and it was published no later than 1859, when the photograph in question was published); I've added PD-old-auto-expired to this image which I believe is the correct tag? Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 11:12, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Doing some further research, if I do have to remove the Stuckelberg and Compiègne images, there's this Blake drawing, but it's also missing a US public domain tag. It's likely that either PD-US-expired or PD-1996 apply, but I haven't yet been able to demonstrate either. There's also this, which doesn't have a tag for the artists who painted it died before 1927. Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 09:20, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ah, I've managed to find a 1901 publication with an illustration of the Stückelberg painting! That one is safe, I think. I think the only remaining question is about the Complexity of the Stückelberg painting for the Stückelberg painting Berthaud rather than one of his brothers was the photographer, and the uploader is now inactive and has not responded to my talkpage enquiry. I have replaced the Commons: Template: PD-old-auto-expired with Commons: Template: PD-old-auto-expir en.wiki; if this is not an acceptable license here then unfortunately I think the photo will have to be removed. Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 16:06, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from ChrisTheDude Suggest wikilinking orthography on first usage as I, for one, don't know what it is "Corinna: The Lyric Muse, c.1855. William Brodie." - this caption of the captio is not a complete sentence, so should not have a full stop Note h also doesn't need a full stop That's all I got on a first pass.... -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 11:55, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ah, caught out by MOS:CAPTIONS! Changed all following your suggestions. Caeciliusinhorto-public (talk) 13:01, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support --ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:27, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Tim riley I had my say at the peer review, and I'm not going to make a song and dance about the spellings "romanized" and "archeologists" (I understand the reason for the former, and the latter has the merit of being one letter shorter than the spelling I favour.) "Set forth" strikes a slightly archaic note, but that's hardly a bad thing in an archaic subject. The only thing I knew about Corinna was the line about not sowing with the whole sack - still good advice for all of us - and I have much enjoyed meeting her again here. I can't begin to judge the content or the comprehensiveness of the article, beyond recording that to my eye it looks authoritative, and it is clearly well and widely sourced. Splendid illustrations, and highly readable prose. As far as I can see it meets the FA criteria in every respect, and I am happy to support its elevation to FA. - Tim riley talk 20:01, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks, and thanks again for your useful comments at peer review, Tim! Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 20:57, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] CommentsSupport by Kaiser matias I did go through pretty thoroughly during the Peer Review, but I'll give it another read in the next day or so, see if anything else should be edited. Kaiser matias I did go through pretty thoroughly luring the Peer Review, but I'll give it another read in the next day or so, see if anything else should be edited. nothing stands out to stop me from supporting it here. Kaiser matias (talk) 17:26, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Aiship Should papyrus be linked at all? "mythological innovations which are often unique to Corinna" seems awkward. "often-unique mythological innovations" or something? Note C has just Suda, whereas all other uses include the article: the Suda. Any reason? "now in the collection of the Berlin State Museums" is repeated, albeit once is in a note. That's pretty much it. Well done. ~~ AirshipJungleman29 (talk) 22:07, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. Changed the easy things ("Suda" without the article is a dated use an should probably be avoided; linked papyri in the lead). I agree that "mythological innovations which are often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique mythological innovations which are often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique mythological innovations which are often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is
clunky, but I'm not sure "often unique to Corinna" is cluaky to cluster to clu 11:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @AirshipJungleman29: I've had a go at rewriting the two points you raised - how does it look now? Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 12:17, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review A minor suggestion which can be ignored: I would link the publishers as I generally find it to be helpful. Does MA in Cambridge, MA refer to Massachusetts? If so, I would write out the full form. People not familiar with the city/state might not know the abbreviation. Otherwise sources are of appropriate guality and formatted correctly/consistently. Spot-checks not included. Version reviewed. FrB.TG (talk) 18:32, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for taking a look. I've expanded "MA" to Massachusetts, wikilinked the publishers and made a slight tweak for more consistent ISBN formatting. Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 17:36, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for taking a look. I've expanded "MA" to Massachusetts, wikilinked the publishers and made a slight tweak for more consistent ISBN formatting. Caeciliusinhorto (talk) 17:36, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for taking a look. I've expanded "MA" to Massachusetts, wikilinked the publishers and made a slight tweak for more consistent ISBN formatting. 11:22, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] So, this was already at FAC but failed b/c of lack of supports and some prose concerns so it went through a second peer review during which is noted for the presence of seven planets in a harmonic chain, and some of these planets may even be habitable. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 11:22, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Several of the diagrams would benefit from being scaled up, but this should be done using |upright= rather than fixed px size It looks like several already have that parameter. Any that would benefit from being scaled up, but this should be done using |upright= rather than fixed px size It looks like several already have that parameter. Any that would benefit from being scaled up, but this should be done using |upright= rather than fixed px size It looks like several already have that parameter. Possible interiors and the following animation, relative sizes, habitable zone. Nikkimaria (talk) 01:26, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did this for the non-animated images; one would need to click on the animation anyway to get useful information. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:59, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did this? Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 12:31, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Jo-Jo Eumerus: There is no alt text for the infobox image, File:The ultracool dwarf star TRAPPIST-1 in the constellation of Aquarius.tif. For this, a short sentence describing the position of TRAPPIST-1 in the constellation of Aquarius.tif. For this, a short sentence describing the position of TRAPPIST-1 within Aquarius (similar to the article text) should suffice. All the remaining images are good. (I don't think the lack of alt text in the navboxes at the bottom is an urgent issue.) Complex/Rational 16:28, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:TRAPPIST-1_system to_scale.svg should be cited to a non-circular source I think Agol 2021, Grimm 2018 and Delrez 2018 are, but I'll ask Cmglee for confirmation. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 12:31, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] is another source, though its values are slightly different. cmgleetalk 00:52, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] cmglee, can you add this source information to File:TRAPPIST-1_system_to_scale.svg? Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:36, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Though I can do that, it might cause confusion, as the sources don't agree with one another, and thus the values in the diagram don't correspond to those in . I could put it a note that that source has slightly different values. cmcleetalk 22:15, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] All what's needed here is a citation on the file page, rather than "data taken from Wikipedia", I think. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:33, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Cmglee: Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:TRAPPIST-1e_Const_CMYK_Print.png: source link is dead Resolved. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 12:31, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Eso1733s_Artist's_impression_of_merging_neutron_stars.jpg appears not to be an ESO artwork - are we certain it would be covered by ESO's blanket license? * Um, that image doesn't appear on the page? Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 12:31, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is - in one of the navboxes. Nikkimaria (talk) 01:26, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The wonders of transclusion ... that said, the EXIF claims it's CC-BY-SA 4.0 Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:59, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Nikkimaria (talk) 03:02, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Artem As many as four of the planets (d, e, f, g) are hypothesised to be orbiting within the habitable zone[c][12] - do you really need this ref 12 in the lead? everything is referenced in the body. No; removed it. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TRAPPIST-1 is in the constellation Aquarius,[13] only[14] five degrees south of the celestial equator.[d][1] - why does 'only' need a separate source? Is it that important? Yes, there was a discussion on the peer review about this sentence. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In 2016, TRAPPIST-1's rotational period was first measured as 1.40±0.05 Earth days,[31] a typical period for M dwarfs.[43] 2017 measurements showed that the star actually rotates about every 3.295±0.003 Earth days,[8][44] though that may constitute the rotation period of active regions rather than stellar rotation according to a 2019 paper.[40] As of 2020, discrepancies between rotational data obtained by the Spitzer Space Telescope and Kepler satellite remain unexplained.[45] - I think it would be better if 2016, data from the Spitzer show ... In 2017, Kepler measurements show ... As of 2020, discrepancies between those two remain unexplained." I think the 2016 observations were from more than one source, but I added the Kepler thing in 2017. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Based on a combination of techniques, an age of about 7.6±2.2 billion years has been established for TRAPPIST-1,[46] - maybe these combination can be added? (if it's not very important, maybe a note would work?) It'd be pretty difficult to make it comprehensible without making it overly long, I am afraid. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] while the Sun will leave the main sequence (run out of hydrogen[i]) - maybe just a link - run out of hydrogen - will work? Eh, I don't think it's common knowledge what the "main sequence" is. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I suggested this construct during the peer review, and I feel that such a link might be a WP:SURPRISE. At that point in the text, if we're to add a link, hydrogen burning or similar feels more appropriate. ComplexRational (talk) 23:49, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Possible faculae (bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves that faculae are bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves that faculae are bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves that faculae are bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves that faculae (bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves (bright spots[52]) - is this ref proves that facu when there is a translation of 'faculae' or 'albedo' (especially for 'albedo' as a common word in astronomy), and there are no explanation of stuff like Alfvén surface, planet's Hill radius, global Rossby number, etc. Some of these are explained in text, I think. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] As of 2017, this is the largest known number of planets within the habitable zone of a star or star system.[135] - what about 2022? It is not feasible to keep an article - even at FA standards - up to date on a monthly basis. Thus I only do it once per year during Christmas, hence any 2022 publication isn't used yet. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] making TRAPPIST-1b a candidate magma ocean (it was linked before, but would be useful here) Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Models of tidal effects on TRAPPIST-1e have been created. [242] - maybe any details about these models are worthy and can be included? I'd prefer to leave them to TRAPPIST-1e. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:08, 26 July 2022
(UTC)Reply[reply] More later. Artem.G (talk) 14:50, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For each section about a planet you have {Main article} and then start sentence with the planet's name. Maybe it can be simplified - insert links into first sentences and remove {Main}? (just a thought, I have no hard feelings of either variant) I think the current form makes it clearer that detailed information on each planet is found elsewhere. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:07, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The discovery of the TRAPPIST-1 planets is often incorrectly attributed to NASA - 'often' is referenced by one source, maybe 'sometimes attributed to NASA'? This was a tough one. It certainly seems to me like NASA is frequently (not just "sometimes") mentioned as the discoverer. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:07, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The speculative Breakthrough Starshot proposal for sending small laser-accelerated unmanned probes would require around two centuries to reach TRAPPIST-1.[305] link is dead Changed. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:07, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Besides these comments, I can't find any serious flaws - as the article, I support it being promoted to FA status. Artem.G (talk) 06:42, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Edwininlondon I'm glad to see this back here again. I reviewed it last time around. The prose flows much better now. My comments: telescope at La Silla Observatory --> perhaps add which country this is in Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] have precise numerical ratios of 8:5 --> it is not obvious what the ratio is of, so perhaps add "of neighboring planets have precise.." Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the formation of the planetary system Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16: 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] whether planets could retain --> whether the planets could retain Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] exoplanets should be linked Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Dwarf stars like TRAPPIST-1 --> this seems out of order, as not until the next paragraph are we told it is a red dwarf. Yes, but I'd like to first introduce general information before specific one. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] a typical period for M dwarfs --> I thought it was a red dwarf? somewhere earlier it needs to state it is an M dwarf, with explanation or at least a link Per our article, they are (mostly) synonyms. I don't have a source for its definition. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I see. But we have to say this somewhere, perhaps right after where you talk about its temperature. Something like "TRAPPIST-1 has an effective temperature[h] of 2,566 K (2,293 °C; 4,159 °F), making it fall within the definition of an M dwarf." AFAIK the definition is mostly a matter of spectrum, only indirectly of temperature. Added a note for red dwarf to explain that. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 21:41, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sorry to be a pain, but I'm not convinced adding a note is good enough. I don't think we can rely on users reading the note. As it stands now "typical period for M dwarfs" is puzzling because the reader has not been told whether Trappist-1 is an M dwarf? Recast this. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the Kepler space telescop > link here, delink in the next sentence Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the star actually rotates about every 3.295±0.003 Earth days,[8][44] though that may constitute the rotation period of active regions rather than stellar rotation period of active regions rather than stellar rotates about every 3.295±0.003 Earth days,[8][44] though that may constitute the rotation period of active regions rather than stellar rotation period of active regions rather than stellar rotates about every 3.295±0.003 Earth days,[8][44] though that may constitute the rotation period of active regions rather than stellar ro Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] making it almost twice as old as the Solar System --> I don't think we should say this. Not with that 2.2 error range. Just say older, like you have in the lead Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] about 700 times[48] longer than the present age of the Universe --> I don't think this is helping. It interrupts the main point about the sun comparison. I would delete it. Well, this paragraph discusses both the past and the future of the star sequentially, so I wouldn't agree. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, if you want to hang on to it, then make it at least easier for the reader to understand your point by not intertwining two comparisons. So something like "The life expectancy of a small, faint star like TRAPPIST-1 is hundreds to thousands of times longer than that of stars like the Sun:[27] TRAPPIST-1 is expected to shine for ten trillion years, while the Sun will leave the main sequence (run out of hydrogen[i]) in a few billion years. TRAPPIST-1 1's life expectancy is about 700 times[48] longer than the present age of the Universe." I am kind of concerned about inserting the solar lifespan in the middle of the sentence as that proposal does. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 21:41, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sorry for being so astronomically clueless, but the way I understand what you have now is as follows: 1) "The life expectancy of a small, faint star like TRAPPIST-1 is hundreds to thousands of times longer than that of stars like the Sun:" == comparison to Sun lifespan. 2) "TRAPPIST-1. 3) "about 700 times[49] longer than the present age of the Universe" = comparison to Universe. 4) "while the Sun will leave the main sequence (run out of hydrogen[j]) in a few billion years" = absolute Sun. I had a hard time understanding 1) because 3) is in the middle of 2) and 4). To me as a layman person 2) and 4). To me as a layman person 2) and 4) should be next to each other in order to make sense of 1), which has a colon to tell me what is coming. Attempted a rewrite. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 20:24 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to put this after the next sentence. I don't think that would be clearer. Jo-Io Eumerus (talk) 08:24, 1 August 20:24 (UTC)Reply[reply] between Mars-sized to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows the size of Mars. Better to slightly larger than Earth --> this assumes the reader knows
the size of Mars. Be Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] requiring lower-density molecular species to be present --> is this species the right word? Smells of life ... At least in the jargon, it is. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Is there a way to avoid the jargon as per MOS:JARGON? Yes, and that's in. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 21:41, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] it would causing --> ? Corrected. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history, when radiation was --> present tense and past mixed Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is particularly important early in the star's history important early important early important early important early important early important ear (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] existing telescopes and observations cannot infer --> inanimate objects indeed can not infer Specified. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] including oceans over hundred times larger than Earth's --> this puzzles me: the biggest planet is estimated to be 150% size of Earth. the Pacific covers a third, so how do we get to 100? The vertical dimension also counts. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres --> delink atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres ->> delink atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] additional hurdle for atmospheres Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] M dwarfs have intense flares. TRAPPIST-1 has about 0.38 flares per day[12] and about 4 to 6 superflares[z] per year. --> should this info not live in the Star section? This is more important here. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Samara, Patsourakos and Georgoulis 2021 --> this format differs from the X et al. (2019) you used before Matched. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The stellar wind from TRAPPIST-1 has a pressure a thousand times larger --> this seems to be at odds with "The (UTC)Reply[reply] Stellar wind-driven escape in the Solar System is largely independent on planetary properties such as mass[219] and could remove the atmospheres of TRAPPIST-1 planets --> mixing Solar System with Trappist Recast. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] may have lasted for hundreds of millions[204] and up to two billion years --> may have lasted for between hundreds of millions[204] or up to two billion years Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Am I dunno how to make that work. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Am I missing something? 1b "orbits its star in 1.5 Earth days". 1d "has an orbital period of 4 Earth days". Is it not possible to express 1c's orbital period in Earth days". Is it not possible to express 1c's orbital period of 4 Earth days. It would be really good to have consistency. Yes, the formatting template. I don't see how to make it pronounce the units. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 21:41, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Can you not use what worked for Trappist-1b? "and orbits its star in 2.42 Earth days" Or am I missing something obvious again? That's done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 21:41, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TRAPPIST-1e is the TRAPPIST-1 planet most likely to have water --> this was just said in the previous paragraph Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TRAPPIST-1f could have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have
kept up to several Earth ocean masses of water[12] that could make up as much as 50% of the planet's mass --> again, is "several times" not a bit much, given that have been to be be added to be the biggest planet is only 150% size of Earth? Or are the oceans on 1e to be expected much much deeper, yes. Water/planet mass ratios are not at all conserved. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Large quantities of carbon dioxide, as well as hydrogen or methane[245] --> delink Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the star is considered a promising site --> the star's planets I assume Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> again, different formatting from X et al. (2019) you used before Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mullan and Bais 2018 ... Lingam and Loeb 2019 --> 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The outer planets in the TRAPPIST-1 system could feature subsurface oceans --> should this not be mentioned in the sections about the outer planets? No, because the statement pertains to more than one planet. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (from the viewpoint of TRAPPIST-1) --> I feel this is in the wrong position. It should be after "the Sun" Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] close-by ultra-cold dwarf stars --> is there a reason you use cold and not cool? Matched. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Its planetary system was discovered by --> delink here and link first use of planetary system in body article Done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] with their orbits constrained by the Spitzer and Kepler telescopes constrain an orbit? Corrected. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The movement of a stellar body with respect to the sky, rather than the movement of the body itself --> The other footnotes seem to include what it is they are explaining, but this one does not Corrected. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:50, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's it from me. Edwininlondon (talk) 10:5 (talk) 16:15, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Indeed. Just a few points left. Edwininlondon (talk) 21:08, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments (support) from ComplexRational As I noted at the close of the peer review, I'm quite pleased with the improvements to this article since FAC1. I just gave it another quick review (having done the bulk of reviewing during the PR) and pending a few minor formatting fixes, removed a couple of duplinks, and changed a second occurrence of magma ocean (in the section TRAPPIST-1b) to the more specific lava planet. See my comment above re alt text - the infobox image does not have an option for ALT text, it seems like. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:39, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Would it work to specify |alt= in the file link itself? I don't see anything suggestive of the contrary. Complex/Rational 22:38, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Indeed it does in the alt text viewer (toolbox). I might also suggest mentioning that TRAPPIST-1 is located very close to the ecliptic Complex/Rational 16:21, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I already noted this in one case at the PR - sources published by exactly the same authors in the same year should be distinguished, e.g., 2014a, 2014b, per this and similar guidelines. This is nicely done in some cases, though I've noticed a few instances of broken numbering (e.g., there's a Gillon 2020b but no Gillon 2020a) as well as slightly different author listings. I propose standardizing the authors (even with et al.) and numbering sequence. I think I got these? Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 17:39, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Still needs a bit of work. In the list of sources, months and days are also provided in some cases, which serve to distinguish the sources there. I'm not sure if style guidelines permit, e.g., "April 2018a" and "July 2018b" unless there are multiple papers from either month with exactly the same authors. In these cases, it should suffice to leave them without letters in the list (using letters only as a "last resort" when authors and dates are not sufficient), but rather distinguish them using WP:CITEREF; thus, the list would include April 2018 and July 2018 but the footnotes would use 2011a - there's only one paper by Prantzos on the list. Complex/Rational 22:38, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Good work! Complex/Rational 16:58, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did that one, I don't know about CITEREF enough to make a change on the April and July things. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 10:41, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's similar to { { harvid} }, which is already used and I hadn't noticed. I made the change here and it seems to work. Complex/Rational 16:21, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Sportsfan77777 I'll review the article. Sportsfan77777 (talk) 21:54, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lead What's rationale for calling TRAPPIST-1 just a star as opposed to a "planetary system" or a "star with a planetary system" or a "stellar system")? The website calls it a "planetary system" and I would think that when most people say TRAPPIST-1 they are referring to the planetary system, not specifically the star. (i.e. The equivalent article is the solar system, not specifically the star.) The article covers both, unlike Sun-Solar System, but added planetary system. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:43, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Related to that, I would suggest mentioning TRAPPIST-1 has seven known planets in the first paragraph to give that more emphasis. The current placement of that makes it a bit buried. Also done. Jo-Jo Eumerus (talk) 16:43, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with the shortest period being" I would remove this to keep first sentence of the lead to the point someone earlier said I should add that in Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] My interpretation of MOS:FIRST is different. I agree it is good to have this detail in the lead, just not in the first sentence. Edwininlondon (talk) 13:37, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] hence, the name --> comma really needed? "hence" is a dependent clause so yeah Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] formally described --> may I suggest you include formally in the link? Makes it a bit more obvious where the link points to done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] modern humans --> link done Dunkleosteus77 (talk)
04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] formally in the link? August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the more conventional H. heidelbergensis --> I wonder if the lead would be more accessible if H. was simply spelled out that's pretty unconventional Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] H. antecessor has since been reinterpreted as an offshoot, although probably one branching off just before the modern human-Neanderthal split. --> a bit cryptic for me this offshoot. Of what? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This industry has some similarities with the more complex Acheulean industry, an industry --> repetition done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] archaeologist Francisco Jordá Cerdá --> link done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] archaeologist Francisco Jordá Cerdá --> link done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] field seasons from 2003 to 2007 --> plural here seems fine but why singular season for "first field season, 1994-1996"? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Additionally, the season for "first field seasons from 2003 to 2007 --> plural here seems fine but why singular season for "first field season, 1994-1996"? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Additionally, the season for "first field seasons from 2003 to 2007 --> plural here seems fine but why singular season for "first field season for "first field season, 1994-1996"? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Additionally, the season for "first field season fo stone tool --> Additionally can be removed done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the only species identified during that time --> the only homo species? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The dating attempt of H. antecessor remains are --> attempts? done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Repl 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Age and taphonomy --> taphonomy only appears as section header, which is fine normally but I have no idea what it means. Could it be used somewhere in line and then linked? Electron spin resonance dating (ESR) --> Electron Spin Resonance dating (ESR) why caps? Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sorry, I was under the impression that we handled acronyms with caps, but a little search led to MOS:CAPSACRS which says what you have is fine and what I wanted is wrong. Edwininlondon (talk) 13:37, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] usually classified as Homo ergaster [=? Homo ergas there? it looks odd it's supposed to be there, as in, "maybe equals?" Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:26, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] modern human/Neanderthal split --> why use a /? it's a split and a slash is used to divide, as opposed to a hyphen in the lead for some reason Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "...because it suggests that the words are related without specifying how" and then it gives an example which isn't relevant to here Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] the lingual, or tongue, side --> do we lose anything if we just say "the tongue side"? it's nice to have the actual term there Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 04:24, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] first use of palaeoanthropologist should be linked done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible ATE9-1 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Excavation of the Gran Dolina in 2012 --> link mandible done Dunkleosteus7 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Chris Stringer Caption --> what I don't get is where H. ergaster is in the diagram. Is it just below the erectus on the right? it would be the erectus at the bottom as opposed to the two erectus branches which are supposed to the two erectus branches where H. ergaster is in the diagram. Is it just below the erectus branches which are supposed to the two erectus branches which are supposed to the tw Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption Map of Gran Dolina and Western European sites --> link Acheulean done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Caption H. antecessor may have moved along the Ebro river highlighted above (the Sierra de Atapuerca --> link Sierra de Atapuerca done Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image Trinchera Atapuerca2.jpg does not really work without the user clicking on it to enlarge as none of the labels 1 2 3 4 are readable. I don't know what I can do about that. The image would have to be ridiculously big to see the tiny white numbers Dunkleosteus77 (talk) 17:05, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I could find. Interesting read. Thank you for bringing it here at FAC. Edwininlondon (talk) 16:27, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Described as a "roller coaster" and a "Scalextric" by sources over the years, the Coventry ring road is either a marvel of engineering or the world's worst-designed road and a source of urban decay, depending on your point of view. Its multiple lanes, slip roads and short weaving distances make it a bit of a nightmare for drivers new to the area, something I've witnessed first-hand a few times over the years! The article goes into some detail, chronicling the history of the project from its early conception to completion, a mid-project redesign and later remodelling of one of the junctions and the road's reputation. All comments and feedback welcome. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 16:27, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Don't use fixed px size Suggest adding alt text. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:06, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Drive by comment The article seems somewhat under-illustrated, though the pickings on Commons are surprisingly slim. Nick-D (talk) 11:16, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Another drive by comment The "Junctions" section lists the junctions by number and states the roads they intersect with and the names of the following section of the ring road. On the source given I cannot see the junction numbers. Am I missing something? Or is there another source which could give these? If not, it may be better to replace the numbers with bullet points. Could it be stated somewhere that the "Beginning[s]" mentioned are clockwise from that junctiion? Gog the Mild (talk) 11:51, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Gog the Mild: per the
request below, I have now overhauled the junction numbers, and clarified for each whether the "Ringway Swanswell" etc. names refer to the clockwise or anticlockwise section from that junction. — Amakuru (talk) 11:55, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Any reason why the coordinates are not just in the Junction number, rather than as a big block of footnotes within the article? Which is a little unusual. And if Notes has nothing in it it should be removed. And why the "0.0"s at the bottom of the "mi" and "km" columns? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:29, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Gog the Mild: I initially put them in their own column, per other UK road articles, but Imzadi1979 then refactored it. I personally did prefer it the way I had written it earlier today, which also had the detail about what the name of the road is at each stage, but I'm happy to go with the consensus on what's best. — Amakuru (talk) 18:17, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I much prefer it the way you had it before, that looks much more accessible to the uninitiated. Gog the Mild (talk) 18:27, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, since I also agree with that, I have restored the original layout for now. While the general principles are there, it seems to me that on the detail, MOS:RJL doesn't enjoy consensus for UK roads anyway, as most of them that I can see don't follow its suggested layout. Even M5 motorway#Junctions, which is the actual example cited at MOS:RJL, it is formatted completely differently from the recommendation. The layout should be appropriate for the road in question IMHO. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 19:58, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] MOS:RJL is part of the Manual of Style, and the FA criteria require compliance with the MOS. At a bare minimum, the first two columns need to be removed for compliance. The repeat of J1 should actually repeat it at a minimum per how it's done at M-185 (Michigan highway), or the milepost should have been repeated as I did per Interstate 275 (Ohio-Indiana-Kentucky). Imzadi 1979 \rightarrow 22:30, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Gog the Mild: what are your thoughts on this? It seems like the name of the road between each junction is a useful piece of information to have here, and roughly corresponds to the "Location" column called for by MOS:RJL, so I'm not sure the benefit to readers of removing it. As for the loop returning to the first junction, I followed the format used at M60 motorway, which avoids listing the same junction twice in a similar way. Happy to be guided by consensus though on both these points. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 09:52, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I am inclined to agree with you, but I confess to having skimmed the relevant policy rather than read it in detail. I am hoping to recuse and do a full review of the article, which will give me context to offer an informed opinion. RL and other Wikipedia are currently conspiring against me, but if I don't start within 5 or 6 days, please give me a nudge. Courtesy ping to Imzadi1979: yeah fair enough, looking forward to that as and when you have the time. I'm away myself over the weekend, so won't be able to get back to this seriously until next week anyway. As for the above, I'm confident we can come to a suitable consensus over it. It's good to use the guidelines where they make sense, which may or may not be the case here, but also WP:5P5 does urge us to use common sense over these things. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 12:30, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] As it is right now, I am not sure I understand the purpose of the sections column. The footer might violate MOSITALICS but it has been a while since I reviewed that. Also - the miles and km should follow MOS:DTT. --Rschen7754 03:05, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Rschen7754: OK that's fine, if there's a feeling that the sections column. The footer might violate MOSITALICS but it has been a while since I reviewed that. columns aren't useful then no biggy, I've removed them. I've also changed the final row to be non-italic. Interested to know what you mean by miles and km columns following MOS:DTT, I can't see anything related to distances in there? I have put a hover-over to clarify what "mi" and "km" mean in that context anyway. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 14:27 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] See the bottom of MOS:RJL#Standard columns - it has to do with how the mi and km columns are formatted for accessibility. --Rschen7754 01:23, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Rschen7754: Oh I see, thanks. I've formatted the mi and km columns as suggested then, per the I-275 example. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 09:33, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Imzadi1979 The junction list should be redone as a table per MOS:RJL. Done. — Amakuru (talk) 11:45, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OpenStreetMap is user-editable content. It is a mapping service in wiki form, so it fails as a reliable source. There are better source options to use. OpenStreetMap refs have been replaced. — Amakuru (talk) 11:45, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Footnote 6 has the editor name is First Last order, while the other footnotes consistently use Last, First order. Done. — Amakuru (talk) 11:45, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Footnote 6 has the editor name is First Last order, while the other footnotes consistently use Last, First order. 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] A KML would be a good addition, and then could be converted easily into a GeoJSON file so that the infobox could have an interactive map. Done. — Amakuru (talk) 18:43, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Inzadi 1979 \rightarrow 19:22, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There is an inconsistency in units of measurement. The length is always given in kilometers first, but any other mention of distance is given in miles first. My understanding is that the UK has only partially metricated, and road distances are one of the exceptions, so it would seem to follow that the length of this roadway should be given in miles first as well. Thoughts? Imzadi 1979 \rightarrow 15:07, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Imzadi1979: Yes, that makes sense. I have amended to make it imperial first throughout, which seems the most consistent approach (much as I'd personally prefer it if all measurements went to metric for simplicity!) — Amakuru (talk) 18:15, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from ChrisTheDude "The road's circuit encompasses the old and new Coventry Cathedrals, much of Coventry University and the city's shopping areas" - does it encompass all of the shopping areas? If so, I would put a comma after university to make it clear that "much of" only relates to the uni That's all I got as far as the end of the Route description section - back for more later! --ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:53, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ChrisTheDude: thanks for the beginning to ask if you would be able to do one already, so definitely much appreciated. Re the point above, I was a bit worried that if I add an Oxford comma in the location you mention, I'd probably have to go through and add one everywhere else. SO I have instead reordered the sentence to make it clear that the "much of" applies only to the university. — Amakuru (talk) 18:23, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "as immigrants from across the country? Reworded. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The council increased its lobbying of the government for permission and funding to the construct" - there's a stray "the" in there Fixed. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "cutting the ribbon at a ceremonial ceremony" - last two words are a bit repetitive...... Reworded. — Amakuru providing a grant of £232,000 (equivalent to £7,700,000 in 2021) as part of total costs of £310,000 (equivalent to £7,700,000 in 2021)" - both 1958 values can't equate to the same 2021 value, surely? Fixed. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "After compulsory were issued by late. talk) 20:14. 6 August 2022 (UTC) Reply reply 1959" - missing word? Fixed. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "totalling £4.7 million (equivalent to £86,700,000 in 2021)." - inflated value of the larger value is lower than that of the smaller value.....? Well the first figure is inflated from 1968, while the latter is from 1971. Presumably the inflation-adjusted estimate was therefore lower in real terms than it had been earlier. I've removed the 1968 sentence altogether as it doesn't seem like this is a very significant matter overall. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "but as of 2020 it is a full-time public car park" - either change to 2022 if this is still the case or change to past tense Actually it has now been removed, as part of the work mentioned by Harry below. I've reworded. — Amakuru (talk) 20:14, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's what I got! :-) -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:54, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Harry I lived in a Coventry for a while and still have friends and family there. I've long been interested in its post-war reconstruction and I like articles on transport infrastructure in general so this ticks several of my boxes! It's a very well put-together article. A few thoughts: The Butts/Skydome roundabout is currently being redeveloped, I think to relieve traffic into the city centre from the Holyhead Road: I'm sure this has been extensively covered in the local press. @HI Mitchell: I have added some detail on this. — Amakuru (talk) 12:29. 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Is there anything in the sources about the unpopularity of the pedestrian subways? The council seem to be closing them or opening them up at every opportunity (eg the network under the Butts roundabout and the walking route to the railway station). There's some detail on this in Gould (p. 59); it also mentions the impact on Lady Herbert's Garden. @HJ Mitchell: I have added some detail on this. — Amakuru (talk) 12:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Although havne't mentioned Lady
Herbert's garden yet. Will do that anon. — Amakuru (talk) 12:31, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is hinted at but I wonder if you could source a statement that the narrow radius of the ring road has hampered economic development in areas just outside it? @HJ Mitchell: I can't find any direct reference to this from looking through sources and news, other than the existing statements that it acts as a "barrier" between the city and the outside. The only direct reference to stifling economic development seems to relate to Birmingham (where they've since removed much of the inner ring), so don't know if we can directly apply that to Coventry. — Amakuru (talk) 12:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The culverting of the Sherbourne at the other end is mentioned, but is the culvert that starts just before the ring road at Spon End, although some culverting of the river in other areas is mentioned starting as early as 1949. The best information I have is from comparing two OS maps from 1946 and 1954 (at SABRE maps), and in the former the Sherbourne is clearly visible between the now defunct Albion Street and Queen Victoria Road, while in the latter it is not depicted at all despite being shown further upstream and downstream. (The exact location of the culvert appears to be obscured by the "Tech Coll" label for the nearby Coventry technical college in the 1954 map unfortunately). But in any case, all this suggests the culvert was build long before the ring road in that area was built, and it isn't really of much relevance. I have added some detail to the line you mention above stating that most of the river had already been culverted in the 50s and 60s. Let me know if anything further is needed. I'll get on to your other points, particularly tightening and copyediting, shortly. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 12:29, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Some of the route description is a bit complex and difficult to follow, and I know the area. Photos and diagrams with good captions would help. Commons can be a treasure trove for photos but they might not be well described or categorised; perhaps try searching for local landmarks/buildings? @HJ Mitchell: I have had a look at this, and I'm slightly unsure what I need to improve here. The section has an outline map showing the structure and location of the 9 junctions on the right, which was added during the GA review and is intended to provide context for the descriptions given in the section. particularly not for nine separate diagrams. Obviously I could reword the section if that's desirable, with less or more detail depending, but not entirely sure what's needed. Pinging other reviewers @The Rambling Man, Gog the Mild, and ChrisTheDude: in case they also have a suggestion or view on how to improve the prose or imagery in that section? Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 22:15, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I see you have some books referenced in full in footnotes and others using sfns and a bibliography. Is there a reason for that? I feel there's some repetition of the route description in the history and that the word count could be brought down by eliminating some of this. The prose could be tighter in places; there's redundancy in places where the same information could be conveyed with fewer words and the prose would flow better, see this edit for an example. The prose critiques aren't anything too concerning. They're the same sorts of things I pick up in most FACs I review. I'm happy to discuss anything further, or I'm sure I'll be back to support after just a little bit more polish. HJ Mitchell | Penny for your thoughts? 21:25, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Note Just to note that I'm on vacation this week if there's time, or next week when I'm back home. Cheers — Amakuru (talk) 14:53, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Placeholder forComments from TRM "The city architect began work" seems a bit odd to not name him. "after large areas" maybe "in which" rather than "after"? "configuration with at such" not guite right. "driving on it has been likened to both a Scalextric track and a roller coaster." pedant warning, "driving on it" is an "experience" while "Scalextric track" is an object, as is a roller coaster. Tweak perhaps? Any idea of the cost of it all for the lead? "southern by-pass" is by-pass" is by-pass really hyphenated? "young team of architects"? "architects"? "architects"? "architects"? "architects"? "architects"? "architects" or do you mean "a team of young architects"? "architects"? after the first series of bombings..." you just said the Coventry Blitz, how many "series of bombings" were there? "east of the Council House" could add an image of this, big section with no pictures. "construction on the road itself began" maybe just reinforce "the Coventry ring road" here? Consistency with "mediaeval" please. Is there a link for Minister of Transport? "use of grade-separated junctions to replace" didn't you already link this? "firm G. R. Yeomans" any reason you think they need a redlink? "which opened in July 1965 and carries traffic through to the inner-circulatory" maybe needs some anchoring to today, maybe "and, as of 2022, carries"? I dunno, just reads a bit odd with the history/present. Would tensioning benefit from a link to reinforced concrete? "by mid-1965.[103] By November" By By boring. Just suddenly realised no link to flyover. "concrete.[119][107]" ref order. "than £4 million was " inflate? Is there a link for the Lord Mayor of Cov? "In his speech Berry congratulated", he congratulated, no need to repeat Berry, and I'd put a comma after "speech". "between Coventry railway station to the" overlinked. "generally regard the road" regarded? Do they *keep* considering it a success? "He also commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road" regarded? Do they a commented that driving on the road was reminiscent of a Scalextric slot-car toy. all I have. The Rambling Man (Keep wearing the mask...) 18:50, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleed American Nominator(s): MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:24, 5 July 2022 (UTC); DannyMusicEditor (talk) 20:24, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hello everyone. This article is about the fourth album from alt rock act Jimmy Eat World, released in mid 2001. After nearly becoming a casualty of the major label system following their third album, the band bounced back with their most commercially successful release to date. It was certified platinum in the US, gold in Canada and silver in the UK. Sometime before this, the album's title was changed to Jimmy Eat World following the 9/11 attacks. Its second single "The Middle" was a top five hit in the US, becoming a staple of the pop punk genre, and is the band's signature song. While I initially did some expansion to the article a few years ago, DannyMusicEditor (talk · contribs) did further work on it and took this to GA status in 2016. After I did some expansion in 2021, ahead of the album's 20th anniversary, Danny and I talked about bringing this to FA status. In the interim, we brought Tell All Your Friends to FA earlier this year and have decided to do the same for Bleed American. We had previously taken this to FAC, but the nomination stalled after only receiving one support, so this is the second attempt. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:24, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For any passer-bys, I can't seem to remove the error message with ref #116, even though it is defined. MusicforthePeople (talk) 09:33, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Wehwalt Very familiar with the album, one of my favorites. I am somewhat surprised not to see Andy Greenwald's book, Nothing Feels Good: Punk Rock, Teenagers, and Emo, used as a source, since it includes discussion of Jimmy Eat World and Bleed American. You should be able to get the relevant parts from Google Books preview. Sellout: The Major Label Feeding Frenzy That Swept Punk by Dan Ozzi may also have something. Ping me when you're ready for me to continue.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:54, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Added.[29][30] MusicforthePeople (talk) 08:39, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Trombino offered to work for free during the recording sessions, confident he would be reimbursed by the album's predicted commercial success.[13] " If I read this right, he wasn't working for free, he was just deferring payment until the band had cash. ""various popular songs". The source does not say popular. The music video for "Sweetness" shows the band in stationary? "The band supported on Blink-182 and Green Day" strike "on" ""the Promise Ring" is double-linked. Greenwald's comments about "music, any music, equals salvation" as a theme of the record (page 107) seems worth including. "Greenwald said Bleed American going platinum was one factor in emo reaching mainstream media attention in mid-2002, alongside Vagrant Records have significant sales figures on its releases and Dashboard Confessional appearing on MTV Unplugged.[141] " Should "have" be "having"? That's what I got.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July
2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Wehwalt: Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:15, 6 July 20:1 Image review: Images given are appropriately licensed, but could a sample be included under a FUR? Nikkimaria (talk) 00:51, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Nikkimaria: Danny and myself were wondering, does the sample have to be of a certain section? For example, we were thinking the intro/first verse would be a better selection instead of, say, the chorus. MusicforthePeople (talk) 19:06, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] To clarify, we think the song's opening riff may be its biggest defining part. Unusual, for sure, but we firmly believe this kept the song memorable over the years. dannymusiceditor oops 19:09, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Generally you're going to want to pick something that is the subject of sourced commentary. See WP:SAMPLE for additional guidance. Nikkimaria (talk) 00:44, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Ippantekina Support I reviewed this article in the previous FAC and I am still happy with the prose. Great work. Ippantekina (talk) 10:27, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Passed my source review - pass Although Guerillero has passed the source review, I see that a formal SR was still requested at WT:FACSR. I have some concerns, What makes Punknews.org a high-guality reliable source that it's been used so prominently throughout the article? First and foremost, co-founder Scott Heisel, has worked for Alternative Press for a decade, as well as editor for Substream Magazine: wrote for The A.V. Club. Consequence of Sound, Paste, Uproxx (source: [31]) Aubin Paul (co-founder) has worked for Exclaim! (source: [32]) Kip Doyle (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (editor) has worked for Vice, The A.V. Club and Substream Magazine (source: [34]) John Gentile (source: [34]) John G for Rolling Stone, Bandcamp Daily, The Mercury News (source: [35]) Ben Conoley (editor) has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Orlando Sentinel (source: [39]) John Flynn has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Daily (source: [37] / [38]) Adam Eisenberg has worked for Alternative Press, Bandcamp Alternative Press, Bandca Yahoo! News, NorthJersey.com, Consequence of Sound, Sacramento News & Review, several others (source: [40]) Chris Moran has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for The Guardian, The Telegraph, Yahoo!, a few others (source: [41]) Renaldo Matadeen has worked for Trinidad and Tobago Renaldo Matadeen has worked for Trinidad and Renaldo Matadeen has worked for Trinid Philadelphia City Paper (source: [43]) Xan Mandell has worked for AMP (source: [44]) William Jones has worked for AMP and Skratch Magazine (source: [45]) Gen Handley has worked for Vue Weekly, Metro International, Noisey, Alternative Press, Spin (source: [45]) Eric Rosso has worked for AMP (source: [45]) Kan Mandell has worked for AMP (source [47]) Churchill Downs has worked for Lexington Herald-Leader, The Blood-Horse, News and Tribune, a lot of radio stations as well (source: [48]) Brian Cogan has worked for The New York Post, Chunklet; written or co-wrote the books The Punk Rock Encylopedia, The Encylopedia of Popular Culture, Media and Politics, and coedited Mosh the Polls: Youth Voters, Popular Culture, and Democratic Engagement. (source: [49]) Used as a source in Punk Rock is My Religion by Francis Stewart, Punks: A Guide to an American Subculture by Sharon M. Hannon, Writing Queer Women of Color by Monalesia Earle, Listen to Punk Rock! Exploring a Musical Genre by June Michele Pulliam, Globalizing Knowledge by Michael D. Kennedy, Punk Record Labels and the Struggle for Autonomy by Alan O'Connor (for some reason it says no results, even though the mention is 2/3s down the page), Contemporary Punk Rock Communities by Ellen M. Bernhard, Women Drummers: A History from Rock and Jazz to Blues and Country by Angela Smith, Screaming for Change: Articulating a Unifying Philosophy of Punk Rock by Lars J. Kristiansen (ed.), Discourses on Violence and Punishment by Kent A. Ono and Vincent N. Pham, The Politics of Post-9/11 Music: Sound, Trauma, and the Music Industry in the Time of Terror by Brian Flota, The Philosophy Student Writer's Manual and Reader's Guide by Anthony J. Graybosch, Gregory M. Scott, and Stephen M. Garrison, Damaged: Musicality and Race in Early American Punk by Evan Rapport, Women's Rights: Reflections in Popular Culture by Ann M. Savage, Superheroes and Critical Animal Studies by J. L. Schatz and Sean Parson (both ed.), Metallica - The Early Years And The Rise Of Metal by Neil Daniels, and Sellout by Dan Ozzi (doesn't show up in search but is cited according to the works cited section in the book). Some editions of their Vinyl File column was reprinted in the AMP Magazine. Similarly, various news posts would also be reprinted in the same magazine. After the Public Turn: Composition, Counterpublics, and the Citizen Bricoleur by Frank Farmer calls it "clearinghouses for all things punk", alongside AbsolutePunk. In Everybody Hurts: An Essential Guide to Emo Culture, Punknews.org is referred to as "often the first, authoritative word on scene happenings". All Time Low - Don't Panic. Let's Party: The Biography by Joe Shooman mentions the site a few times when discussing All Time Low's releases. Lastly, the site is used for albums on Metacritc. MusicforthePeople (talk) 14:45, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 44 - what makes Alternative Press a high-quality reliable source? Scott Heisel - served as

an editor for Alt Press (for a decade), Punknews.org and Substream Magazine; wrote for The A.V. Club, Consequence of Sound, Paste, Uproxx (source: [50]) Jason Pettigrew - served as the editor for 30 years; wrote for Rapid City Journal, Spin, Ultimate Classic Rock, Yahoo! Entertainment, various radio stations (source: [51][52]) Sam Coare - wrote for Kerrang! for a few years before becoming the editor, and then the editor of Alt Press in 2021 (source: [53]) Jake Richardson - wrote for Kerrang! and was profiled in Music Week (source: [54]) Ben Conoley - another Punknews.org editor, also wrote for Exclaim! (source: [55]) [mentioned as editor Bryne Yancey - wrote for Bandcamp Daily and Punknews.org (source: [56]) Gen Handley - wrote for Vue Weekly, Metro International, Noisey, Punknews.org, Spin (source: [57]) James Shotwell - wrote for Loudwire, HM Magazine (source: [57]) James Shotwell - wrote for Classic Rock, The Line of Best Fit, Metal Hammer, Rock Sound, few others (source: [60]) Ilana Kaplan - wrote for Business Insider, Chicago Tribune, The New York Times, NME, Yahoo! Entertainment, The Washington Post, many others (source: [61]) Ryan Piers - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Business Insider, Chicago Tribune, The New York Times, NME, Yahoo! Entertainment, The Washington Post, many others (source: [61]) Ryan Piers - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Business Insider, Chicago Tribune, The New York Times, NME, Yahoo! Entertainment, The Washington Post, many others (source: [61]) Ryan Piers - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Business Insider, Chicago Tribune, The New York Times, NME, Yahoo! Entertainment, The Washington Post, many others (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [62]) Alyssa Quiles - wrote for Gray Television, NBC News (source: [63]) Angie Piccirillo wrote for Connecticut Post, HuffPost, Los Angeles Times, Nylon, few others (source: [64]) Linda Gyulai - wrote for Daily Star (United Kingdom), Kerrang! (source: [67]) Emma Wilkes - wrote for DIY, The Face, Guitar.com, Rolling Stone, several others (source: [68]) Cassie Whitt - wrote for Cleveland Magazine, Loudwire, Noisecreep, various radio stations (source: [70]) Beth Casteel - wrote for Cleveland Jewish News, The News-Herald (Ohio), The Morning Journal, Substream Magazine (source: [71]) Maria Serra - wrote for Cleveland Magazine, La Nueva España, La Opinión, Levante-EMV, several other Spanish publications (source: [72]) Mark Hassenfratz - wrote for Cleveland Scene, Stereogum, The Wire (source: [74]) idobi Radio reported on an Alt Press exhibition that was held at Rock and Roll Hall of Fame MTV reported on the 2016 Alt Press Awards show. Metal Insider, who are owned by The Syndicate, similarly reported on the 2016 Alt Press Awards show. Metal Insider, who are owned by The Syndicate, similarly reported on the 2016 Alt Press Awards show. use the website as a reference, but "alternative press" is unfortunately a generic term. In Everybody Hurts: An Essential Guide to Emo Culture, Alt Press is given a brief rundown of its history and is referred to as "pretty much the emo scene bible". The book goes on to say: "...in the last five years, it's been the only music magazine that emo fans read on a regular basis". Lastly, the site is used for albums on Metacritic MusicforthePeople (talk) 17:14, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 53 - the title is América Sangra not America Sangra. Plus, it needs translation using |trans-title=. Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:47, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 131 - Official Charts Company needs linking. Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:47, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 135 - a recent a discussion at WT:CHARTS found that Irish-charts is not a reliable source (at least not up to FA standards). Done. MusicforthePeople (talk) 20:47, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 159 - Billboard should be de-linked FrB.TG (talk) 19:53, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Can't change this one as its the result of the Album chart template. MusicforthePeople (talk) 17:17, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the detailed response to my questioning of the reliability of some sources. It's a pass from me. I'll probably try to conduct a general review sometime later, should this fail to get enough attention. FrB.TG (talk) 17:26, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about a short (about 6 miles in length) industrial railroad in Connecticut with a surprisingly long and storied history. The Branford Steam Railroad started operations in 1903 to carry passengers to a trotting park for horses. Within a decade, it transformed into an industrial shortline hauling trap rock from the company has hauled trap rock from the company has have been have same quarry since 1914 to today, and plans are that it will continue this task for at least the next 200 years. The "Steam Railroad" has not used steam locomotives since 1960, but the seemingly absurd name is necessary since the Branford Electric Railway also exists to this day as a museum preserving streetcars. I completely rewrote this article in October 2021, and have made a few further improvements since then. Following the promotion of my first FA last month, I would like to see this little known railroad become a featured article as well. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 17:26, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comment: Performing source spot-check at FAC's talk page, for this article version. CactiStaccingCrane (talk) 04:24, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Round-up: Spotted some cases of original synthesis, but nothing too serious. Please give page numbers to newspaper source, as finding the passage can be pretty difficult without it. Will check one or two more frequently cited source later. CactiStaccingCrane (talk) 04:48, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Gog the Mild Recusing to review. What does "exchanges freight" mean? Ah, that's one of the sentences I didn't rewrite when I redid the article last year. This is referring to Interchange (freight rail), where rail cars are transferred from one railroad company to another for continued transport. BSRR rail cars are transferred to the Providence and Worcester Railroad which runs dedicated trains to Fresh Pond Junction near New York City. At the docks, the BSRR transfers much of the trap rock from the quarry to barges. I have revised the article to state this information. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:25, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It seems a little strange that the lead states neither the length nor the gauge of the railway. It may also be helpful to overtly state that it is still running. I can specify standard gauge, it's usually assumed. I note that AirTrain JFK, a FA, does not mention the gauge in the lead, likely for this reason. The gauge is listed in the infobox. I have added the length to the lead section. That the line is still running is established by the use of "is" rather than "was" and the lead being in present tense. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:03, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The infobox should only contain information already in the main article. It states that the track is standard gauge and gives the measurements for this, but I can't see this in the article. Have I read past it? To me, this is akin to saying in every FA on a railroad or rail line I can find does things the same way I have here. Consider the featured articles City and South London Railway, Eastern Suburbs & Illawarra Line, South Lake Union Streetcar, MAX Orange Line, MAX Red Line, Brill Transit), Manila Light Rail Transit System, Downtown Seattle Transit Tunnel, and Great North of Scotland Railway, all of which follow the same practice as I have here. To explicitly state the gauge in the article's prose would be going against best practice for articles on railroads, and indeed you often won't really find sources explicitly stating the line is standard gauge because all commercial railroads in the United States and Canada are standard gauge. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:50, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You give the precise dimensions of the track in the infobox. This is not something you can expect a normal reader to know, it is not BLUESKY. It needs to be in the article. So you think all of those FAs are wrong then? It's standard gauge. Every single common carrier railroad in the U.S. is standard gauge. Again, I unfortunately cannot give you a source that says "the Branford Steam Railroad in the U.S., the tracks are standard gauge. I've checked through all the sources on the company's founding and construction and opening, and none of them mention the gauge. If I put the gauge in the body, then I'd, technically speaking, be violating the FA criteria for it not having a citation. I don't know what you want me to do here. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:01, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There is nothing technical about it. Leaving aside the fact that there should be nothing in the infobox that isn't in the article, you are telling me that "4 ft 8+1/2 in (1,435 mm) standard gauge" is in the article because you assume that this is the case. It's a nice article and I really don't want to oppose so please find a way round this OR. If "all commercial railroads in the United States and Canada are standard gauge" then source that and I'm happy. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:15, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Butting in a bit - I have this on my watchlist intending to review but have just been lazy about it. This source covers the standardization across North America, which happened during the 1800s and has remained consistent ever since. Per Track gauge in the United States, all commercial railroads in the US converted to standard gauge following the Pacific Railroad Acts of the 1860s, and per Track gauge in Canada and the report "The Rise and Fall of the Provincial Gauge" (not linked because the URL is like forty miles long, but first result on Google), basically every Canadian railway had converted by 1881. Given the level of standardization, I have to agree with TAOT here that detailing the dimensions of the gauge is unnecessary and I think calling it original research is unfair. APMC (talk) 19:37, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] As PMC (talk) prerogative, but I'd be seriously disappointed in you. I'm not going to remove the gauge information, that only makes the article worse for the reader. Hell, I'll say I'm invoking WP:IAR here - it's blatantly obvious what the gauge of the line is, and opposing over me not having a source that explicitly states the gauge, even when it's extremely obvious, that only makes the article worse for the reader. seems spurious to me. In my view, there's a clear WP:EDITCONSENSUS that gauge information doesn't need to be cited when the railroad in question is in a country that has one gauge near universally, based on what I've listed previously. But again, you're well within your rights to oppose if that's how you feel about the situation. I believe I have done my best to respond to and address your concerns, even when I've personally disagreed with them. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:08, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Please drop the stick, AGF and work with me here. The information in question is not the slightest bit obvious to me, nor I am sure to the vast majority of readers. You can't have information stated as fact in an FA which is not cited. PMC seems to have supplied sources which will address my concern - note my comment in my last post "If "all commercial railroads in the United States and Canada are standard gauge" then source that and I'm happy." And, frankly, I refuse to believe that there is no HQ RS in existence which gives the dimensions of US standard gauge - are you telling me that that is the case? Gog the Mild (talk) 12:30, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gog, I'm not sure what you mean with your last sentence. The source I provided gives the dimensions for standard gauge on its first page in both metric and imperial.
APMC (talk) 14:34, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fine. I don't have access to it, so didn't know. Assuming that it also clearly states that all US commercial tracks are of this gauge then there is no sourcing problem. A sentence or two in the main article, citing this, will resolve the first page of most things even if you're not logged in, if you're concerned about checking the sources and are supported by inline citations are verifiable against high-quality reliable sources and are supported by inline citations. where appropriate" is not being met. Gog the Mild (talk) 18:13, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] My grandmother died, excuse me for not editing for a few days. I will look into the rest of this throughout the remainder of this weekend. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 00:22, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Against my better judgement, I have added a sentence about track gauge with citations. I actually did this on August 4th but forgot to mention it here. As if this week weren't bad enough I also caught Covid... Trainsandotherthings, you are having a rough time lately. Happy to support, a fine article. Gog the Mild (talk) 13:18, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "built an extension from the BSRR's northern terminus". "BSRR" - see MOS:ACRO1STUSE. Abbreviation now introduced at the first mention of Branford Steam Railroad in the body. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:25, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Events outside of the area set in motion the line's conversion to an industrial railroad hauling rock." I don't see that this adds anything, and suggest deletion. Removed. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Fisk initially responded to this demand by opening a quarry at Pine Orchard in January 1902." How is this connected to the BSRR? That really belongs in an article about Fisk (which I plan to write one day), not this article. I've removed it. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "another railroad doing the building. Suggest rephrasing. I don't see an issue with this sentence. The railroad did indeed build the extension. It seems pointless in my opinion to instead say "the workers of the Damascus Railroad built an extension". This type of wording, saying X was built by a railroad company, is pretty standard for rail articles. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 21:06, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Indeed. As you say "X was built by a railroad company". If by Damascus Railroad you mean a company called this, say so. Perhaps 'another company, known as the Damascus Railroad'? Wording is now "On July 18, 1905, Fisk received a charter for another railroad company, known as the Damascus Railroad, which built an extension from the BSRR's northern terminus to North Branford." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:50, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fine. "a modification to the Damascus Railroad's charter allowing it to expand further into North Branford". "expand" seems an odd thing for a railroad to do. Perhaps 'extend? No objection to changing to extend. Done. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 21:06, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "where he planned to open a quarry." Suggest "a" - 'the'. Good catch, changed. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:24, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and advocated for support". "... support ... support". Perhaps a bit of variation? Wording changed. In order, I have now used "support", "in favor", and "local enthusiasm". Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:24, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "to exercise eminent domain". Could we have an in line explanation per "Do not unnecessarily make a reader chase links: if a highly technical term can be simply explained with very few words, do so" in MOS:LINKSTYLE. I thought the concept of eminent domain was a fairly well known thing, but I've added an inline description regardless. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:38, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the US I imagine it is reasonably broadly understood. Outside perhaps mostly by lawyers. "pronounced the bill as legal". This may be a USEng thing, but in BritEng this would read better without the "as". I think your suggested wording is better, actually. Changed accordingly. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:38, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "allowing the modified charter to take effect." What modification? This is discussed in the previous paragraph. "In March 1907, Fisk applied for a modification to the Damascus Railroad's charter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing it to expand further into North Branford, where he planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing the planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While the Damascus Railroad" -> Conter allowing the planned to open a quarry." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 15:53, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[re 'new charter'? Changed to "While the modified Damascus Railroad charter allowed Fisk to expand rail operations northward". Trainsandotherthings (talk) 00:45, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Fisk applied for a modification to the Damascus Railroad's charter"; "the Branford Steam Railroad would apply for an amendment to its charter". Exactly which body was chartered? Both were chartered. In the earlier days of railroads in the U.S., railroad companies were required to obtain a charter from the legislature(s) of the state(s) they served before they could start construction or operation. The BSRR was chartered first, on March 19, 1903. The Damascus Railroad, a separate company, was chartered on July 18, 1905. Fisk was heavily involved with both companies, and in 1909 the Damascus Railroad came under the control of the Branford Steam Railroad. While nominally independent, the Damascus Railroad was always directly dependent on the Branford Steam Railroad. While nominally independent on the Companies, and in 1909 the Damascus Railroad was always directly dependent on the Branford Steam Railroad. out what each company could and could not do (the Branford Steam Railroad's charter authorized it to haul both passengers and freight). Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:19, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "along with improved interchange facilities with the New Haven Railroad." This is the first mention of the New Haven Railroad and of interchange facilities. Perhaps they could be explained earlier? Ie, prior to improvement. The New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad; the name "The New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad; the name "The New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, New Haven Railroad; the name "The New Haven Railroad is the same as the New York, N first mention of the company's full name in the body. "allow the Branford Steam Railroad to assume control of the Damascus Railroad by purchasing its stock." Could you clarify throughout the article when you are using a term to describe a physical structure, eg a railroad, and when an incorporated body, eg a company. I'm not really seeing any issue here. In the sentence you've quoted here, it's pretty clear at least to me that the Branford Steam Railroad (the company) would be taking control of the Damascus Railroad (the company). Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:26, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I found myself repeatedly having to reread sentences or paragraphs to work out what was being referred to. Using the same term to describe different things and expecting a reader to work it out from context is confusing. I have modified a few sentences in question directly. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:01, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "By April 29, 1909, the General Assembly approved". "By" - is the precise date not known? I checked the 1909 edition of Special Acts and Resolutions Passed by the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut, which confirms the precise date is April 29, 1909. Not sure why I said "by" but I have modified the text accordingly Trainsandotherthings (talk) 00:45, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the General Assembly approved", 1. The general assembly of what? 2. What is a General Assembly is Connecticut's state legislature. The upper case letters are necessary as it is a proper noun. I've linked it, and specifically said "but in December 1902, Fisk petitioned the Connecticut General Assembly, the state's legislative branch, for permission to convert the railroad to steam power." now so it's clear what is being referred to. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:19, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "by crossing most streets at grade". Possibly this is clear to US readers. It isn't elsewhere. What does "at grade" mean? This wording is used extensively in the sources I am using. In the U.S., we use the term grade crossing, which in British English is known as a level crossing. The phrase "at grade" is apparently specific to North America, and is defined as "on the same level". Trainsandotherthings (talk) 01:19, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This needs explaining in the article. I don't really think it's necessary, but I've added it since you insist. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:01, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "intersect with a diamond crossing". Is it possible t explain what a diamond crossing is in line? Realizing now that link is a redirect to double junction and doesn't do a good job explaining what a diamond crossing is. Definition added, though it's a commonly understood term as far as railroads go. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 02:02, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "something the Branford Steam Railroad was strongly opposed to." Why? The source says it "would involve serious complications unnecessary inconvenience and expense." That was the ruling of the Connecticut Railroad Commission. I know the BSRR was also opposed to this happening, for similar reasons (pretty obvious considering Fisk repeatedly fought the Shore Line Railroad). There was also a law on the books in Connecticut (for all I know, it might still be in effect) prohibiting any crossings between steam railroads, for safety reasons. I've changed the wording a bit here. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 16:00, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and again obtained an injunction forcing the Shore Line to cease construction". Is it known when? The article in The Day says that the injunction was prepared on a hurry call from Fisk and was served around 4 AM on February 5. I've added detail on this to the article. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:04, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply] "construction, which had begun in earnest on the night of February 5. I've added detail on this to the article. may fit more naturally into the previous sentence. Agreed, done as part of my remedy to your previous comment. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:04, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "As such, the Connecticut Superior Court ordered". I am not sure what "As such" adds - or even means. The Superior Court was enforcing the ruling of the Supreme Court. Open to a different way to word this. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 21:06, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wording here now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the wordin Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "for the constructed so that a lake could be built? Yes. Lake Gaillard." Just checking that the spur was constructed so that a lake could be built? Yes. Lake Gaillard." Just checking that the spur was constructed so that a lake could be built? mile and a half long. It took 7 years of construction to be completed. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 16:00, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "A number of locomotives were used within the 300-acre (120 ha) quarry complex." What/which quarry complex say in the body, "The quarry quickly grew, soon becoming the primary customer of the Branford Steam Railroad." Indeed, it is now the one and only customer of the railroad. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 21:06, 10 July 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Ok. I think it was the plural in "committed \$750,000 to develop quarries" which threw me. "with fronting 1.25 miles (2.01 km) in length." What is fronting? I haven't been able to find a good definition of this. I was using the term used in the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed it as I can't clearly explain what the source here. I've removed here. I've remove skeptical of the source, so I've decided it's best not to include the information at all. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:01, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Ownership of the company changed several times". Which company came about. Today, the Branford Steam Railroad and the quarry changed several times..." Trainsandotherthings (talk) 21:06, 10 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There seem to be an excessive number of very short paragraphs. I've combined as many as I could. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:21, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gog the Mild (talk) 14:02, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gog the Mild: I've responded to every comment now, let me know your thoughts when you get a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 13:26, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for that. I have come back on some of your responses above. If I haven't commented, I am happy with your response or change. Gog the Mild (talk) 12:04, 24 July 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] David Fuchs talk 21:14, 21 July 2022 (UTC) Sorry for the delays, ended up starting the review on another machine. Some initial thoughts: Reply[reply] Prose/General: I went through and performed some line edits, mostly focusing on reducing some redundancies and improving flow. One part I found myself wondering that isn't addressed by the article—why was there a change in the Branford railroad's use, and why did he create two separate railroads and then sell one to the other? It's a matter of charters. The Branford Steam Railroad was chartered to be built on a certain route and carry certain traffic. Strictly speaking, Fisk could have sought to modify the BSRR's charter to get authorization to extend the line instead of chartering a new company; as far as I can tell the reason he chose the latter is lost to history. The change in use is because of the increased demand for Connecticut traprock, and the region. The passenger service was a short-lived thing, it ended within a decade after the BSRR's founding. I'm hoping to ography of Fisk at some point which might fill in some of these gaps. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:29, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Agree with the above that stuff not in the article body definitely needs to be referenced in the infobox; railroad gauges are not always the same and are otherwise not general knowled think BLUESKY applies. Also unreferenced in the length. I don't have a source specifically stating "the Branford Steam Railroad is standard gauge" for reasons I've gone into previously. The length however I will find a citation for. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:29, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Length is now cited (and it turns out I had the number off by a mile, at least according to the CT State Rail Plan). Trainsandotherthings (talk) 00:09, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Media: Images would be more helpful to vision-impaired readers with alt text (MOS:ACCIM) I don't think I'm great at writing alt text, but I have given it my best attempt. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:32, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Images appropriately licensed and verified, no fair-use or non-free imagery. As a matter of aesthetic taste, and not policy, is there a better image of the railroad than File:Branford Steam over CT 80 085. JPG? It's oddly framed so that the edge of a parking lot is super-prominent, and you barely see the tracks themselves. What I have to work with is here: [75]. There aren't really any great photos of the railroad that are freely licensed, but if something in the Commons cat strikes you as a better choice I'm all ears. If we wanted a photo of a BSRR train, I'd have to go take a photo of the railroad myself, which isn't easy as I now live in Rhode Island, not Connecticut. Most railfans hate releasing images with compatible licensing. Believe me, I checked high and wide to try and find better photos. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:29, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Surprised there's not a map of the route. Unless you're a New Englander you probably don't know where Branford actually is. I could do a pushpin map like the one on Cedar Hill Yard, though this is a six mile long rail line, not a fixed point. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 23:29, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] References: Checklinks shows two references: Checklinks shows two references: Checklinks shows two references: Checklinks shows two references need checking for consistency in formatting and fields (for example some places the dates are written out, elsewhere they're YYY-MM-DD.) I added the "use dmy dates" template which should fix this, but I'll take a closer look as well. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 00:09, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Will be doing a source check. Overall, I think the sourcing is fine; a lot of material is to smaller newspapers of the day but I don't see evidence there's better sourcing available in my own search. One source I do have more qualms with is Ref 24[77]— it's basically an editorial in a local newsletter, and doesn't feel like it's strong enough to be used. Otherwise spot-checked statements attributed to current refs 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 20, 28, 31. 28 is used to source "Ownership of both the Branford Steam Railroad and the quarry changed several times, without much effect on operations. In 1984, it was acquired by Tilcon Inc., which renamed itself Tilcon Connecticut in 1970s (1984's entry is just "Sold to British Tire and Rubber Co.".) Otherwise did not spot issues. Der Wohltemperierte Fuchs talk 16:00, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the source review. As you said above, there's not really much source review. As you said above, there's not really much source review. because it's rather obscure, there isn't much coverage of the railroad in books. My copy of The Rail Lines of Southern New England, basically my bible for most train articles in the region, sources its coverage on the BSRR partially to this very Wikipedia article, unlike most of the book which cites reliable sources. I have removed 28, which unfortunately means I had to remove everything it supported as well. I was a little leery of the source's reliability myself but couldn't find anything better. Regarding ownership, what I've pieced together is that Ashland purchased NHTRC in 1968, purchased NHTRC in 1968, purchased NHTRC in 1972, and then formed "NHTR Tomasso" as a combination of the two companies. Thomas Tilling Ltd. purchased Ashland's operations in 1984. CHR bought Tilcon (renamed from Angelo Tomasso in 1990) in 1996, and is the current owner of Tilcon and by extension the BSRR. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 17:12, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] --Der Wohltemperierte Fuchs talk 23:03, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know your thoughts when you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 20:42 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me know you have a chance. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:44, 8 August 20:42 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @David Fuchs: I believe I've responded to all your initial comments, let me kno you have any comments you feel are not resolved? Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:26, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from PMC (talk) 19:38, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Founding Not a hill I'll die on, but the thing about Fisk's middle initial feels like it should be a footnote rather than a comment in a reference. Honestly since a few references use his full name (such as ref 18) I'm not sure this is even needed. Yeah, that's something which was present before I started working on the article. Agree it's not really necessary, removed. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:25, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply]. Any utility in the photos of the driving park and some random train from here, or the photos here? I only ask since the first half of the article is a bit bare, photo-wise. I'm impressed you were able to find a photo of the driving park with a train. I've added that to the article. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:25, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I enjoy digging up obscure things. Glad it was of use! APMC (talk) 01:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I redirected horse-powered railroad to horsecar and linked it in-text since it's a curiosity worth clicking on. Sounds good to me. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:25, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Damascus Railroad & Expansion Why is Damascus Railroad to horsecar and linked it in-text since it's a curiosity worth clicking on. Railroad bolded here? In my head the reason I bolded it was because, technically, this article is about both the Branford Steam Railroad and the Damascus Railroad. The latter is a subtopic of the former. I don't feel strongly about this and can remove it if you'd believe it would be better that way. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 22:11, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's just odd to have it bolded in the lead. APMC (talk) 17:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is now bold in the lead. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 17:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is now bold in the lead. sure how I feel. I added it to show that the subsection is distinct from what's discussed previously. But I know editors have different practices as to how much they utilize subheaders, I find I often lean towards using them more often than some do. Don't feel super strongly about it but I'd say I lean towards keeping it as it. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:24, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Works for me APMC (talk) 01:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That whole second to last paragraph under Expansion cracks me up, it's so 1900s. A mustache-twirling captain of industry marshalling a small army of dudes to fuck over another captain of industry, suits flying back and forth, an entire police force getting involved... it's fun. Yeah, it was quite something to read about when I was doing research. Though it's still quite silly. And while the Shore Line Electric Railway has been gone for a very long time, the Branford Steam Railroad persists doing the exact same thing today as it did 100 years ago. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:24, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] New Haven By 1917, Fisk had divested his share of the quarry...: was NHTRC operating the BSRR at that time? Did he also divest his interest in the railroad? (This may be answered later, idk) This is an area that's somewhat unclear, when exactly the transition in ownership happened. I have not located an exact source documenting Fisk leaving the company, and the Steamtown ref says as much, that this is only inferred by him eventually no longer being listed as involved with the company. I did find that his name came up under a new electric railroad at roughly the same time his name disappeared from the BSRR, so added that to the article at the urging of the reviewer back when this article was at GAN. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:24, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I see the updates to the article today! Nice find. ♦ PMC ♦ (talk) 18:49, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I noticed that although the lead mentions it, the article doesn't never explicitly says the NHTRC started operating the railroad was and is its own company, but today it's a subsidiary of Tilcon, and is its own company, but today it's a subsidiary of Tilcon, and is its own company. it was at some point a NHTRC subsidiary. Some of these details we may never get concrete answers for. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:25, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The lead explicitly says "New Haven Trap Rock Company, which became operator of both the quarry and the Branford Steam Railroad". Even if we can't be specific with dates etc in the body due to source limitations, we should still echo the lead in saying that the Trap Rock company started operating the railroad at some point. PMC (talk) 18:49, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Per above, this has been resolved by way of those changes. out Fisk actually sold the railroad and quarry in 1914, and the New Haven Trap Rock Company became the owner. This is now specified in both, but that's what the sources say. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:51, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Further to this, if the NHTRC is operating the BSRR, is the BSRR properly a customer, or is it more like a subsidiary? I guess it's kind of semantics here. I use the term customer' in this sense is of course the quarry. But yes, at this point the BSRR was indeed a subsidiary of the NHTRC. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:24, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I wasn't sure if it was a railroad-specific jargony usage of customer. I see what you mean though. APMC (talk) 01:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "was instead the president" sounds odd. "Had become president of," maybe, or "had assumed the presidency of"? Even "was now the president of"? Changed to "and had become president of". Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:24, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Dieselization & rest of article Can this section be merged into the following stubby section to reduce headers? Call it "Post-World War II modernization" or something? Done, I called it "Dieselization and ownership changes". Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:56, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did you put that photo on the left breaking two section headers just to torture me? I've taken it upon myself to move it if you don't like where it landed) Can't say that I did it specifically to bother you, I added it before I really knew you or your editing preferences. It looks fine where it is now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 19:56, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] : P & PMC (talk) 01:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Turned "as of 2012" into { August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Turned "as of 2012" into { August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] : P & PMC (talk) 01:39, 12 Au rest of the refs as I believe is common practice. MOS doesn't give specific direction but I think it's more typical. Not gonna fight if you dislike it. Seems fine to me. The article was previously a copy-paste job from the NPS article, but I've rewritten almost all the relevant text. There's maybe a sentence or two that are directly copied now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:25, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] As always, I'm generally willing to negotiate if you explain why you disagree with changes. The header-breaking pic is a hill I will die on though, that stays on the right side >:c & PMC & (talk) 18:12, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Responses left on anything that I feel merited one anything I didn't respond to is fine.
PMC (talk) 01:39, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] All solid now - happy to support this FAC!
PMC (talk) 18:49, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Dudley Trotting Park. Is this term UsEng? Can it be explained or linked? It essentially means a horse racing track. I used the term "trotting park" simply because that's what the sources use. I've linked the first mention in both the lead and the body to Horse racing for lack of an article on horse racing for lack of an article on horse racing for lack of an article on horse racing tracks specifically. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:03, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "built an extension from the BSRR's northern terminus to North Branford." Presumably this is the location of the quarries, but you should say so. I do say so, in the same paragraph: "Instead, the railroad served Branford quarries" Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:03, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the railroad served Braford quarries" Braford is a typo? Indeed it is. David Fuchs did some copyedits on July 27, and introduced a typo. I have corrected it. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:05, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "initially passed the state house and senate". You say above that the state house and senate". bicameral house and senate. I don't follow. "The Connecticut General Assembly (CGA) is the state legislature of the U.S. state of Connecticut. It is a bicameral body. We often refer to bills "passing Congress" or "being stalled in Congress", because that's the name of the legislative branch is called the Connecticut General Assembly, and it includes the State House of Representatives and the State Senate Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:05, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is obviously my ignorance. I assumed that "Assembly" for clarity for foreigners? I suppose I could say so, but I already think there's too much detail being given to the specifics of the legislature. have already added "the state's legislature is bordering on undue weight for this article. If you hover over the link, it comes right up that the legislature is bicameral. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:03, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Litigation over the issue continued for two years, until the Connecticut Supreme Court ruled in Fisk's favor and ordered the Shore Line to allow the Branford Steam Railroad to build its proposed railroad line on February 6, 1914." The ruling not the building was on that date. Connecticut Supreme Court ruled in Fisk's favor on February 6, 1914 and ordered the Shore Line to allow the Branford Steam Railroad to build its proposed railroad to build its proposed railroad line." Changed. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:03, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "switching duties". Can this term be explained or linked? Linked. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:05 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Ownership of both the Branford Steam Railroad and the quarry changed several times, without much effect on operations." I think that for compehensiveness you should give details and dates of the changes in ownership. I believe I have this all in order now. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 18:04, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In the 2020s, the Branford Steam Railroad continues to serve the Tilcon Connecticut quarry in North Branford". This should be "As of date". You cannot predict the rest of the 2020s. Dudley Miles (talk) 17:49, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In the 2020s, the Branford Steam Railroad continues to serve the Tilcon Connecticut quarry in North Branford". operating for at least the next 100 years based on how much rock is left in the quarry. Trainsandotherthings (talk) 17:36, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wizards of Waverly Place Nominator(s): SatDis (talk) 04:05, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the American teen sitcom Wizards of Waverly Place, which aired on Disney Channel and starred Selena Gomez. This TV series was a hit for Disney and launched the career of Gomez. This article became a Good Article just over a year ago in March 2021 and has since been copy-edited. The article is classed as "High-importance" in the Disney WikiProject. I had a great time researching and writing this, so am keen to revisit with any feedback welcomed. Thanks in advance. SatDis (talk) 04:05, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pinging previous collaborators: @JAYFAX: @Heartfox: @Some Dude From North Carolina: @SandyGeorgia: @ImaginesTigers: @Casliber: @Allied45: Pinging editors who provided a review on the Hannah Montana FA nomination: @TheDoctorWho: @Aoba47: @Panini!: @Panizeis: @TheJoebro64: @FrB.TG: @TheSandDoctor: Pinging the reviewer of the Wizards GA nomination: @LM150: I would appreciate any comments, but understand if you are unable to. Thank you all! SatDis (talk) 04:12, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] My apologies, but IRL issues have been unkind, and I can't keep up. SandyGeorgia (Talk) 18:39, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review - passed Almost all of the images look good, but I am concerned about File:Selena Gomez 2009.jpg; the permission field has me confused as it appears to be copyrighted, yet that is contradicted by the section below, which states that it is Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported. Something doesn't seem right here? --TheSandDoctor Talk 04:46, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Creative Commons licensed it to be used under those terms. TheSandDoctor Talk 04:46, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Creative Commons licensed doesn't mean not copyrighted - it means the copyright holder has licensed it to be used under those terms. permission field you reference confirms this, providing the preferred means of attribution. Nikkimaria (talk) 14:32, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for clarifying, Nikkimaria. I simulated making my screen smaller and didn't notice any sandwiching. Given the clarification, I'd say that this passes image review. --TheSandDoctor Talk 16:28, 2 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Aoba47 These two terms, wizard (from the lead) and wizards-in-training (from the article), link to different articles and I would be consistent with one or the other. Changed both to Magician (fantasy). Did any scholarly sources discuss the competition aspect of the series or Max being temporarily turned into a woman? have added a couple of line from scholarly sources about the competition and its impact. I'd revise this part, such as the Quinceañera, into something like such as the Quinceañera. I think the use of the determiner (i.e. the) to be a little awkward, and I'm not sure the italics are necessary. Even though it is a foreign language word, I think it has a foreign language word, I think the use of the determiner (i.e. the) to be a little awkward, and I'm not sure the italics are necessary. passed into the English lexicon to the point that it is not entirely necessary. I'm uncertain about "claimed" in Murrieta claimed he changed the family's surname. Unless this claim is more contentious, I'd use something more neutral like "said". Done both above. I'd avoid one-word quotes as they are not particularly beneficial in my opinion. This comment is tied specifically to "edgy", "dumb", "weird", and "heartbroken". I think it would be better to paraphrase these and focus on more impactful quotes, and I'd encourage you to look throughout the article to see if I had missed any others. There are a few spots where the quotes need clearer attribution in the prose. This is in reference to "slightly goofy", "comic relief", "sweet and sassy", and "absurdly hilarious". As with my above point, I'd look throughout the article to see if there. are any other quotes and attributed to those listed above. Let me know if there are any further quotes that should be changed. I would reexamine this part, Reviewers like Garron described the central characters, as this claim is not supported in the citation. This part claims that multiple reviewers described the characters in this way when it is only Garron doing this. Aoba47 (talk) 20:26, 3 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have a question about this part, after Murrieta left the program in April. Is there any information on why he left the show? I have added a brief explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really seen an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation for this in the prose. It now says he left prior the renewal, but that's not really an explanation (and it is okay if one is not available as some people just do not share this information publicly). 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have a comment about this part, with the episode depicting the family's wizard competition. I have received and seen the following note in the FAC space a fair bit. I would avoid the sentence structure "with X verb-ing" as I have been told that is not appropriate for FA writing. I'd look throughout the article for any other instances of this and revise where necessary. Fixed a couple of these I think. I have a few comments for this part, while reviewing the video game, Jack DeVries said that the series was not as much of a rip-off criticism would benefit from further expansion because it seems more like a brief mention at the moment. I am not sure of the value of this sentence, The show was also compared to Bewitched. It does not really convey that much information so I'd either remove it or go into more detail. I've tried to clarify both of the above and remove the mention of the vide game as it might confuse things. I hate to be this person, but what makes Plugged In a high-quality source? I also found it a little jarring to have a single, more religious citation used in the article. I would suggest the religious citation used in the article. sources/Perennial sources list. That is understandable. I will leave this for whoever does the source review. Aoba47 (talk) 20:23, 3 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the following two sentences could be combined to be more concise: Disney Interactive Studios released two video games based on the series for the Nintendo DS. They released Wizards of Waverly Place in August 2009, and Wizards of Waverly Place: Spellbound in November 2010, respectively. The sentences are also somewhat repetitious, specifically the repetitious, specifically the repetitious, specifically the repetition of "released". Fixed. I will go through the article a few more times to make sure I do my due diligence as a reviewer. Please let me know if you looked over the new additions I have made. Thanks again! SatDis (talk) 05:04, 3 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for addressing everything. The article looks great so far. I have left some responses above, and I will read through everything again tomorrow morning (as I have the day off work). Apologies for the delay, and thank you for your patience. Aoba47 (talk) 20:30, 3 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I support this FAC based on the prose. Wonderful work with everything and best of luck with this FAC! Aoba47 (talk) 09:58, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Aoba47: Thank you for the support from LM150 Thanks for bringing this article to my attention again! Just some initial comments after a quick scan.. There are some short sentences which could probably be combined. Examples: The program last aired on January 6, 2012. The Russo family is depicted as working class. Special effects were typically used in the series. I have fixed these three examples. Is this the right wording? made the children mixed-race. At first, it sounded odd as I was expecting something like "wrote the children as mixed-race". But maybe it's okay. I've decided to go with "wrote as". The series ended to allow its actors to pursue more mature roles[42] - I don't doubt this, but I couldn't find this TV series in the source. -- LM150 20:24, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the comments @LM150: it turns out the website actually updated that source with different shows; I added the archived url. SatDis (talk) 02:53, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Great @SatDis:, mostly happy to support, some suggestions - In the Development and Casting section, is it necessary to state that Gomez "moved to Los Angeles" twice? This sentence should be split.. it's quite wordy: Vince Cheung and Ben Montanio became the new showrunners and executive producers alongside Greenwald and Gomez revealed in July that it would be the final season of the program. Also, there might be a better word that "revealed". Maybe announced? "The children attempt to live normal lives" "During the airing of the fourth season" - may sound better as "While the fourth season was on air" LM150 22:13, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @LM150: I have addressed the above. SatDis (talk) 02:02, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] 10 12:27, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I don't think you need "portrayed by" in the parenthesis, just (Selena Gomez) should for consistency Fixed both above. ... hones her supernatural abilities while doing so I think this is a little unclear. Maybe change to develops her supernatural abilities over the course of the series? While the series contains fantasy elements, the main themes depicted include the focus on family, friendship, and adolescence. I'm a little confused by this—I'm not sure how it being a fantasy series contrasts with its themes. Addressed both above. I've noticed the article's a tad inconsistent regarding the use of the serial comma. I'd just do a read-through to address it based on your personal preference. McNamara noted the show did not rely on shtick. WP:SAID: noted implies something is a statement of fact, so it's a word you want to avoid when writing a reception section. Not to mention—was McNamara writing this as a positive or a negative? such as the lackluster computer animation of a griffin Likewise, we can't call something "lackluster" in Wikivoice, per WP:NPOV. Nothing else to say. Good job! JOEBRO64 18:52, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done. Thank you @TheJoebro64: I believe I have addressed all of the above. SatDis (talk) 05:04, 13 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You have my support JOEBRO64 13:17, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @TheJoebro64: Thanks for taking the time to review! SatDis (talk) 14:18, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review Footnote numbers refer to this version. See this discussion re the reliability of IB Times, which you use in a couple of places. The New York Post is also a generally unreliable source. Mashable is also a dubious source, but I think you need to replace it anyway as you have it citing a release was planned for that date. Releases can get delayed, after all. I'm also concerned about PopSugar -- this makes it sound as if it accepts pieces by non-staff writers, and searching the RS noticeboard comes up with negative opinions. I see some inconsistencies in the cite formatting. For example, [96] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has both the website and publisher parameters, [68] has neither, [29] has neither, [29] has neither, [20] has neith when to use each parameter is fine, but I can't see what your rule might be here. Pausing there for now. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 13:12, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] SatDis, I just realized you might have interpreting my comment as meaning I was coming back to this review shortly; in fact I'm pausing because I want to wait till you've responded above before I continue with the review -- I can't tell which citations, if any, are formatted wrongly till I understand how you're intending to format them. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:58, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: Thank you, I will address these within the next few days. SatDis (talk) 11:22, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: I have removed sources from New York Post (and a lot of info that went with it), Mashable, PopSugar and IBT (one was an interview with the show's creator). I have removed [68], [76] and [77] as they are unreliable sources. [29] has been fixed: each source should have a website and publisher, if it doesn't, it means I am unsure as to who the publisher is or there is none. I also only wikilink the first appearance of each source. SatDis (talk) 03:26, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Another pass through; here are some more questions. More reliable source questions: what makes the following sites reliable? MarkRobinsonWrites.com -- looks like a blog. Isn't a blog acceptable in the context of a reviewer? Can remove if needed. TVshowsonDVD.com These are press releases about the DVDs. Can remove if needed. TVshowsonDVD.com These are press releases about the Imagen.org -- looks like a one-person operation. It looks to me like this is from the Imagen.org -- looks like a blog. Isn't a blog acceptable in the context of a reviewer? Can remove if needed. Foundation which runs a legitimate awards ceremony. If you are saying this is unreliable, I will need to remove all of the Imagen Awards listings in the article. Can remove if needed. For the cites without published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Gannett CommonSenseMedia is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star Media Group Berhad USA Today is published by Star CommonSenseMedia MTV News is published by MTV LA Times is published by Los Angeles Times Communications Some nominators skip publishers where they're identical to or easily determined from the website/work title, and if you want to do that that's fine, but I would say USA Today and The Star would not be covered by that exception. You have cite web citations that have no website/work parameter; for example the Nickelodeon Kids' Choice Awards, the TV Tonight awards, the Artios aw those three sources (MarkRobinsonWrites.com, TVshowsonDVD.com and Imagen.org) but just thought I'd let you know their purpose. SatDis (talk) 10:42, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I will look at the formatting again in a moment, but re the reliability, it's not always necessary to remove a source that is guestioned. If you believe that the source is reliable, you can make the case -- often I will ask because I'm unfamiliar with the source and can't find evidence of reliability; if you can supply that evidence of relia established subject-matter expert, whose work in the relevant field has previously been published by reliable, independent publications". Is he a well-known reviewer with other publications who covers this genre? If so it might be OK to use this source. For TVshowsonDVD, those look like press releases to me, but is TVshowsonDVD a reliable source for reproducing them? If you can show the site was owned by a corporate entity with editorial control that would probably be enough; or if you can find evidence that it is treated as a reliable source by other sources that are themselves reliable. of the woman who founded it; and anyone can create a website and start handing out awards. Are the awards treated as significant by other media sources? Is there an industry group that supports the site? I suspect this probably is a reliable source but I couldn't find proof. We have an article on the awards, but again it only talks about Helen Hernandez as the founder. Perhaps one of the sources at that article will provide enough background to prove it's reliable. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 12:54, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Re the formatting, I see there are still some missing publishers -- e.g. the Kid's Choice Awards, TVShowsOnDVD, WEBN-TV, Mark Robinson, and there are still missing work/website parameters: Young Artist awards, Imagen Awards, the Emmys, Australian Nickelodeon. Mike Christie: Fixed all of the above mentioned. TV Tonight is the website and there is no publisher; same for Mark Robinson. Kids' Choice Awards is the website and I have listed Nickelodeon as the publisher. SatDis (talk) 09:31, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For Mark Robinson, if you read his bio here [78], it appears he has authored several books on TV and is a featured writer for reliable websites such as Playbill. I think this may qualify as evidence. I can see that TVShowsonDVD was owned by CBS Interactive and incorporated into TV Guide until the website shut down. After digging into Imagen, I found an article from The Hollywood Reporter (here [79]) which seems to support the awards. It appears here [80] to be a legitimate awards ceremony. Variety covered the 2021 awards here [81]. Please let me know if I can make any further changes. Thank you. SatDis (talk) 09:31, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'm OK with Mark Robinson and Imagen based on what you found. For TVShowsonDVD, this page makes it seem a one man operation in 2011, which is when your cites date from. What did you find that convinced you it was owned by CBS? Mike Christie (talk contribs - library) 10:34, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: Ah, I see. This source [82] is from 2013 which means the 2011 source sources? SatDis (talk) 11:28, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Proving it's reliable after 2011 certainly doesn't help, though I don't see how you make the connection even in that article -- was TVShowsonDVD owned by TVGN? If you want to keep it we would need evidence that it was reliable in 2011. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 11:52, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie : All I could find was this post about integration with TV Guide here [83]. If this isn't enough for reliability, I think I will remove the sources as I'm not sure what else to look for. Thanks. SatDis (talk) 21:39, 2 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I would suggest removing it unless we can come up with better proof. Once you've done that I'll go back over the article and check for formatting consistency again, and then check links. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:55, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks @Mike Christie: I have removed the source. 12:46, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Another pass for formatting. The TV Tonight cites have no publisher but no website/work. Fixed. That looks like it for formatting. I'll check links next. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 21:50, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Going through the links and checking reliability for sites I haven't looked at yet. What makes the following reliable sources? TV by the Numbers -- looks like it's a hobby site run by two friends, per this page. Removed. Futon Critic -- looks like a personal site again, though it seems the owner may be a professional in the field? Links look good, and other than the items above I see no more issues with reliability or formatting. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 22:27, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: Thanks, I have addressed all of the above. I have left The Futon Critic as ves, the owner is Brian Ford Sullivan, a professional in the field. The two sources used from this site are press releases. SatDis (talk) 09:32, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pass. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 11:04, 4 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Mike Christie Not a full review, but I think the critical reception needs work. See WP:RECEPTION; you have the "A said B" problem. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 13:12, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: I have addressed this and happy to fix anything more. SatDis (talk) 03:26, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think more is needed. You've tied some sentences together, which does improve the flow, but it's still essentially a listing of one opinion after another, without much structure. Paragraph 1 (First half): Positive reception - ensemble cast and their delivery of humor Paragraph 1 (Second half): Positive reception - concept and themes Paragraph 2: Negative recept and themes Paragra (UTC)Reply[reply] That's an improvement. If I get time for a full review I'll come back and comment again, but the changes you've made are enough for me not to oppose. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 12:44, 1 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] More comments: "and gave rise to the development of": a little long-winded. How about "Tie-ins included merchandise..."? Done. "when not running the family business with his wife, Theresa, a sandwich shop designed to look like a subway station, on the ground level of their apartment building": needs rewording; as written it's too easy to read this as saying that Theresa is a sandwich shop. Fixed. "Heidi Denzel de Tirado wrote in a journal article": how it was published is less important than what de Tirado's background is. I would suggest making this "Academic [or whatever the right description is] Heidi Denzel de Tirado argued that". Fixed. "and while they celebrate traditions such as having a guinceañera, their culture is not prominently featured in most episodes": suggest "but their culture is not prominently featured in most episodes, with occasional exceptions such as Alex's quinceañera". Used this. "Denzel de Tirado analyzed that the cultural representation is conservative as it takes place within a surreal, magical setting": "analyzed" is not the right verb; it takes a direct object. However I don't understand the point she's making. Why would the setting mean that the cultural representation is conservative? Upon revisiting this, I don't believe it is a strong point and have removed it. "The series' setting is inspired by, it's actually set there, isn't it? Yes The source also states it is a version of that setting, so I have changed to "the series is set in a fictionalized version of Waverly Place" "Disney executives discovered Selena Gomez at an open casting call in Austin, Texas, at age twelve": this makes it sounds as though she had no prior acting experience, but in fact her first TV appearance was at age ten. I have reworded to make it appear more like she was noticed by Disney at this point in her career. Can we clarify the timeline at the end of the "Development" section? You use TVTickets.com as a source for Gomez being attached to the show in February. This interview was published in July but took place that February, and says shooting was about to start in two weeks. But in March the names were still not settled on? It's hard to believe they could shoot much footage without finalizing the names. Is there anything more concrete about the shooting schedule? She appeared in several Hannah Montana episodes, not just one, and the source you cite doesn't say it was after she was cast in Waverly Place -- the air date (July 2007) of the first episode was certainly after she was cast, but it was probably shot before the EW interview both mention filming commencing in February. Okay. Yes, the TV Tickets source and EW interview both mention filming commencing in February so I think that is a given. It is possible that the March 2007 Investor newsletter hadn't been updated with the correct names. As the series didn't air until October, that's over six months of filming, so it is possible that elements were reworked (or the February filming was just a pilot with different names). As for Hannah Montana, I have moved the sentence and reworded so that it fits in with the timeline and can be supported by the source. "Her affiliation with the network led to the formation of the band Selena Gomez & the Scene who Disney signed to their label, Hollywood Records, and subsequently, a prominent solo music career." Why would the affiliation lead to the formation of the band? Do you mean that because she was working with Disney, Disney suggested that she form a band which they could then sign to their label? Absolutely, it was standard for Disney to sign their actors to their label. But I understand the source doesn't say this, so I have reworded. Just checking: Bailee Madison would have been sixteen? I don't doubt the actress played the role, but just wanted to check that she was really meant to be the same age as Jake's character, as the article implies. You are correct, I have changed the sentence to "transformed into a younger female". "Special effects were typically used in the series to create the magic spells": this tells us almost nothing except that they didn't use real magic. If we can't be more specific I would cut this. Removed. Any reason you mention the renewals for the second? I cannot find any source for the second? I cannot find any source for the second? I cannot find any source for the second? race": this is sourced to "my agent called and said, "They want to buy that pilot you pitched them. Something about your dad and family. You've got to call them back.' It was very lucky." The show, revolving around a mixed-race family much like Murrieta's, ran for one season on the WB. When he took on executive-producing, it was called "The Amazing O'Malleys." Murrieta renamed them the Russos and made the family — two brothers and a sister with wizardly powers — mixed race as well." This doesn't support what you have in the article; yes, he was the one who changed the family to be mixed-race, but it doesn't support what you have a just a sister with wizardly powers — mixed race as well." because he thought it worked for the previous show he wrote. Understood, have reworded. "the contentious relationships between the siblings was reminiscent": this is a direct quote from the source; this needs to be attributed or paraphrased. Reworded. I'd like to read the Ackerman article, which you cite quite a bit, but I only have access to a couple of pages on Google Books. Can you send me the whole article, or link to somewhere I can read it? See below. "The series depicts stories about family, friends and growing up": why does this belong in the writing section? It seems to be a restatement of what's been said earlier in the article. Removed. "Greenwald explained that since the unaired pilot, the brother-sister dynamic was the heart of the show; Murrieta explained he enjoyed allowing the characters to age, referencing Justin graduating from high school." I'm not sure why these two sentences are connected; they seem unrelated. I also don't understand what Greenwald is saying -- the brother-sister dynamic was also in the unaired pilot? This whole section seems a bit like factoids strung together. I know that unfortunately that's sometimes all you have to work with, but it's a bit too fragmented at the moment. I have removed the connection and disregarded the second "factoid" (you are right), and clarified Greenwald's comment. I said above I would not oppose based on the critical reception section, and I'll try to stick to that, but I do think it can still be improved. For example, "has received positive reviews for its use of an ensemble cast" seems odd -- some reviewer actually said "it's a good show because it

has an ensemble cast", or words to that effect? Have changed to "for its actors" "delivery of humor" also seems an unnatural phrase -- does the reviews for its actors? Yes. I have changed to "for its actors" "delivery of humor" also seems an unnatural phrase -- does the review just mean they were good comic actors? Yes. I have changed to "for its actors" "delivery of humor" also seems an unnatural phrase -- does the review just mean they were good comic actors? Yes. I have changed to "for its actors" "delivery of humor" also seems an unnatural phrase -- does the review just mean they were good comic actors? Yes. I have changed to "for its actors" actors and their comedic skills" "McNamara believed that Justin and Max did not serve as comic relief, but appear as often as Alex does": I understand what you're getting it, but saying a reviewer "believes" that an actor appears as often as the star isn't a good way to argue that they are "not just comic relief", but presumably costars in McNamara's eyes. In that case, I've removed the "but appear as often as Alex does" fragment. "Reviewers" criticized the characters in the series": this could be phrased less generally -- it sounds as though every character came in for general criticism. Have reworded to "Some characters were criticized by reviewers" "Alex was viewed as an ineffective role model because of her rebellious nature": "ineffective" implies the intention is for her to be a role model, but she (or the script) is failing; is that really what is meant? Yes, I believe so - the expectation with a Disney series aimed at children is that the protagonist will be a responsible role model. However, I see what you're saying about the word "ineffective" (of a desired purpose) - so I have reworded to "Paul Asay of Plugged In did not view Alex as a role model because of her rebellious nature" - this is now attributed to that specific reviewer. "the role of the parents was analyzed as them both being too foolish": this is clumsy and needs rephrasing. Reworded. 'Paul Asay of Plugged In referred to the program's depiction of angels as "spiritually misleading": I think for context we might say that Plugged In is a Christian site; the comment would seem odd to someone who didn't know that. I have added, though it now appears earlier in the paragraph. Oppose. Overall I think there's some work to do to get this to FAC standards. I'm a bit concerned that the Ackerman article has not been used as much as it might be; a 12-page academic article about the show ought to yield more than supporting citations for the basic themes and a sentence or two more, but I'm not opposing over that since I have not yet read it. The "Writing and filming" and "Critical reception" sections are the weakest, both for the writing and the sense they give of having been assembled from fragments, but some of the earlier sections are weak in spots as well. I am also concerned that a spot-check might be in order -- I've pointed out two places above where the sources that's a high percentage. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:24, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi @Mike Christie: I have addressed all of the above and am prepared to make any further changes on Google Books, but still able to use heavily for the amount. As it is not freely licensed on the internet, I don't think it can be used any further. As you have said, some of the sections have been assembled from fragments due to a lack of sources - in terms of the "Writing and filming" section, if it currently isn't acceptable, I believe most of that section can be combined elsewhere and ultimately removed. I am happy to work through any other sections. Thank you. SatDis (talk) 10:26, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for addressing the points above. I am going to leave my oppose in place, I'm afraid. For one thing I would like another reviewer to go through and support before I revisit, to get a second opinion on whether the issues have been addressed. I'm also concerned that the article was written without reference to Ackerman's paper. It's OK in some cases to not review a source -- even in specialized areas like Anglo-Saxon history there can be hundreds or thousands of articles about an obscure topic, and nominators are expected to know the field well enough to be able to identify the important sources. Here there's only one academic source that focuses specifically on the topic, and I think without consulting it we can't say that the article is comprehensive, which is an FA requirement. I recommend that you withdraw the nomination and request the paper at WP:RX. It might not add much to the article, but we won't know that till you've read it. I don't think this is the sort of work that should be done at FAC. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 12:54, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for your time @Mike Christie: respectfully, I won't be withdrawing the nomination, as I have had several supports so far. If the nomination fails, I will seek your continued suggestions within a peer review. SatDis (talk) 13:05, 13 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have now requested the paper at WP:RX. SatDis (talk) 02:09, 14 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Yugoslav acquisition of this class of two British-made submarines in the late 1920s marked the beginning of the junction of the second se Yugoslav submarine service, something that has been celebrated as recently as 2013 in the Yugoslav successor state of Montenegro. The subs were built using parts assembled for British L-class subs that were cancelled with the end of World War I. They had an uncommon offensive set-up, with six bow-mounted torpedo tubes and two deck guns. When they were acquired, they sported the largest guns in the Yugoslav Royal Navy. One was captured by the Italians during the April 1941 Axis invasion and was used for training purposes until returned to the navy-in-exile towards the end of the war. Transferred to the new navy of post-war socialist Yugoslavia, it served a static classroom until it was disposed of in the mid-50s. This article passed Milhist A-Class years ago, and has recently been updated with a comprehensive new source. The two individual sub articles are FAs, so the promotion of this article will mean all articles in the featured topic will be also be featured. Have at it. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 05:10, 28 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] HF Will review over the next couple days. Hog Farm Talk 15:01, 28 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] HF Will review over the next couple days. Hog Farm Talk 15:01, 28 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] HF Will review over the next couple days. or one of those post-WWI international navy regulations "En route one of the boats suffered from me. Hog Farm Talk 23:35, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks HF! All done I reckon. Here are my edits. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 06:50, 6 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support, sorry I forgot about this one. Hog Farm Talk 20:00, 20 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Gog the Mild Recusing to review. "Their maximum diving depth was restricted to 55 metres (180 ft) by regulations." Which/whose regulations." Which/whose regulations." Which/whose regulations." Which/whose regulations? (Also in the main body.) I think this fixed now. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] (UTC)Reply[reply] "Hrabri was captured by the Italians at the surrender". Could we have a little elaboration on what "the surrender" was? expanded to "at time of the Yugoslav surrender". Thoughts? Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a revolt by Yugoslav surrender". see it red linked in the body. Definitely article-worthy, but there isn't one yet. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "they were deployed them around the world". ? Whoops. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "they were deployed them around the world". ? Whoops. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "they were deployed them around the world". ? Whoops. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link "aft" at first mention. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk t to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No link for Austro-Hungarian Navy? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "10 kn (19 km/h; 12.1 mph)" and "10.5 kn (19.4 km/h; 12.1 mph)." Does 0.5 kn really equal 0.1 mph? No. Good grief, default rounding... single figure rounding takes it to 0.6 mph, which is far closer. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click
to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The crews of all four vessels were commended for their good behaviour on the cruise." Optional: "on" - 'during'. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "was captured there by the Italians after the Yugoslav surrender. In the interim, the commanding officer of Sitnica had been willing to take command of Hrabri and captain the boat to Greece". The break in the chronological flow jars a little. Fair enough. Re-ordered. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the pending surrender". As with the lead, what is/was "the surrender". British warship and escorted towards Alexandria. At 12:20 on 27 April Nebojša's ... "Personally I don't like (or even understand) commas after dates, but you should be consistent. OK. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:44, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The apparently random sprinkling of commas around dates in some articles is well beyond my comprehension or understanding; but seeing "In January 1943 comma Nebojša was" starting a paragraph while the next one commences with "In August 1945 no comma Nebojša was" does nothing to convince me that whatever convention is being followed is even internally consistent. The good news is, that as I don't understand it, or am even convinced that it is understandable, I am not going to let it get in the way of my support. Gog the Mild (talk) 11:13, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Funk Looks like this is missing one review, will have a look soonish. FunkMonk (talk) 11:16, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Belgrade is duplinked under Legacy. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:00, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No more images of these ships, or other relevant images to spice up the article a bit? Relevant places, people, or events? Not of the boats themselves, unfortunately, although I have a 2021 book with a dozen or so, in each case it was the first first of the boats themselves. publication I'm aware of. I've added a pic of a gyrocompass, the original AA gun, the tower Nebojša was (partly) named after, and a map of Nebojša's escape. Thanks for the suggestion. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:00, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done so far, FunkMonk Cheers, Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:00, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Shouldn't the Background section mention how many ships were part of this class and what their names were? Good point, done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "One source indicates that on one 15-day Mediterranean cruise, Nebojša needed" Per above, by this point you haven't presented or linked the individual ships, and the link for this one only comes all the way down in the Service history section. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Like the names themselves, I would expect to see the meaning of the names mentioned already under background. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Nebojša was also named after the eponymous medieval tower in the caption. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Nebojša was also named after the eponymous medieval tower near the Yugoslav capital Belgrade which had played a prominent part in battles between Serb and Ottoman Empire campaigns against the Kingdom of Serbia in World War I.[3]" All seems like background rather than service history to me. Moved up. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link Gibraltar. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "After immediate repairs her forward gun was replaced by a wooden replica" Funny, why, to act as a decoy? A couple of reasons I can think of (although the source doesn't specify), silhouette recognition for friendly ships to avoid being attacked and/or to bluff an enemy merchant ship when surfaced. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer" Do we know his name? Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 20:53, 9 Au talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Could the meaning of "KM" be explained in the article body too? Sure, done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "used as a moored classroom or hulk." I doubt that's the link you want... LOL, no. Fixed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] All done I reckon, FunkMonk. Thanks for your review. Let me know what you think? Cheers, Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 19:53, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to me now. FunkMonk (talk) 21:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments By Pendright Lead: Prior to World War II both submarinessify to War II bot participated in cruises to Mediterranean ports, and in 1929 the class was joined by the two smaller French-built Osvetnik-class submarines to complete the Yugoslav submarines to complete the Yugoslav submarines to complete the Yugoslav submarine flotilla. Add a comma after World War II. Done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In 1933–1934 both boats were refitted, their superstructure was extensively modified and the 2-pounder gun on each submarine was replaced with a single 13.2 mm (0.52 in) Hotchkiss M1929 anti-aircraft machine gun. Why is it not superstructures were? superstructure of a ship is the part of it that is above its main deck) is a countable noun, but is usually rendered as singular when referring to a single ship. "Superstructures" is usually only used to refer to multiple ships. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your explanation, however, I should think that "the superstructure of each was" would be clearer and unambigious. (BTW, I spent several years as a member of the US Navy and served aboard two different destroyers so I am familiar with the term superstructure.) Pendright (talk) 21:08, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Immediately prior to the April 1941 German-led Axis invasion of Yugoslavia the two boats conducted patrols in the Adriatic alongside the Osvetnik-class boats. Add a comma after Yugoslavia, the two boats... Adriatic [Sea] Both done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hrabri was captured by the Italians at time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time
of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. at [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. At [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequently scrapped. At [the] time of the Yugoslav surrender in mid-April, and after inspection they decided not to commission her and she was subsequal to the Yugoslav after [an] inspection Both done. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] She was overhauled and initially served with British submarine forces in the Mediterranean as an anti-submarine warfare training boat. Mediterranean [Sea] OK. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] At the end of 1941 she was prohibited from diving and was employed as a battery charging station for other submarines. Add a comma after 1941 Not sure why this is necessary, I wouldn't pause there when reading it and there aren't clauses that need separating. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] At the end of 1941 is a introductry phrase and is followed by a comma. It's no different from similar intriductiry phrases punctuated in such sections as the Interwar and WWII? It's your call.Pendright (talk) 21:29, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] she was prohibited -> by whom? By the Royal Navy. Added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In May 1942 her crew were removed and placed in a British military camp in the aftermath of a revolt by Yugoslav generals based in Egypt. Add a comma after 1942 Not sure why this is necessary, I wouldn't pause there when reading it and there aren't clauses that need separating. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Introcuctory phrase - same as above Pendright (talk) 21:29, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The word "in" is used four times in this sentence? Trimmed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Another extensive overhaul was conducted, after which Nebojša was briefly utilised for training in Beirut until she was formally handed back to the KM-in-exile in mid-1943, after which she underwent a further extensive refit. Suggest reworking this sentence: Another extensive overhaul was conduclted -> on or for whom? extensive is used twice? after which is used twice? handed back - returned? I think I've improved this now. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 20:14, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Background: The naval policy of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes (the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes) lacked direction until the mid-1920s,[1] although it was generally accepted that the Adriatic coastline was effectively a sea frontier that the naval arm was responsible for securing with the limited resources made available to it.[2] generally accepted -> by whom? the armed forces, added. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the same year, a modest ten-year construction program was [finally] initiated to build up [the] a force of submarines, coastal torpedo boats torpedo bombers and conventional bomber aircraft for coastal defence. See above suggestions Adopted the first, but for the second, I don't think the definite article is what is needed. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Their design was based on that of the L class, and they were built using parts originally assembled for the Group III boats HMS L-67 and HMS L-68, which were not completed due to the end of World War I.[5] Add a comma after boats Why? "Group III boats" is a descriptor of the two named subs. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's called an appositive (an American version): A noun or phrase that renames or describes the noun that it is next to is set off by commas. Use the appositive (an Australian version), which is a grammatical construction in which two elements, normally noun phrases, are placed side by side, with one element serving to define or modify the other. If this is not the case, then the suggestion is scratched. Pendright (talk) 23:16, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The British Royal Navy cancelled the order for the two submarines in March 1919, and [but[the hulls [erected] were being built. See above suggestions Why "but"? They had been cancelled. Have slightly adjusted this sentence though. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] To show contrast - Pendright (talk) 23:21, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In November the hulls were sold by the Royal Navy to the shipyard, and once the contract with the Yugoslavs was signed they were brought back onto the slipways and completed to a modified design. No, I don't think that is best. "to a modified design" is completely fine and a commonly-used phrase. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Okay - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] They were an improved version of the British E class, and achieved a better relationship between displacement, propulsion, speed and armament than their predecessors, including a powerful armament of both torpedoes and guns. Drop the comma after E Class or add a subject to the 2nd clause Modified the sentence. Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The class was designed for operations in the North Sea in World War I, but due to their considerable range they were deployed around the world during the interwar period by the Royal Navy, including in the Mediterranean.[5] and three were still in service at the outbreak of World War II.[3] Drop the comma after Mediterranean. was taught that you should use a comma before "including" if the sentence would be complete without the part that follows". Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 21:55, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) 23:31, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Peacemaker67: Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the end of Background - Pendright (talk) Pause at the 03:29, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Continue: Pendright (talk) 03:21, 2 August 2022 (UTC) General:Reply[reply] The keel was straight until it inclined upwards as part of the pointed stern, and detachable ballast keel was straight until it inclined upwards as part of the pointed stern, and detachable ballast keel was straight until it inclined upwards as part of the pointed stern, and detachable ballast keel was also fitted. propeller, and the boat direction was controlled using a semi-balanced rudder. How about -> They had two... The final difference was that one [of] the three periscopes was modified to enable observation of the skies to warn of impending air attack[s].[8] See above suggestions Note: Many sentences in this section begin with "the" - might consider subhstituting some of them. Propulsion: The screws were subject to great stress during navigation in rough weather, and [they] often cracked. See the above suggestion One source -> why not name the source? There was also a small 20 bhp (15 kW) electric motor for silent underwater running. They also had a... The battery storage consisted of three hundred and thirty-six 3820 LS Exide cells, [and it had] with a combined weight of 138 t (136 long tons; 152 short tons).[12] See the above suggest Service history: Nebojša was also named after the eponymous medieval tower[,] near the Yugoslav capital [of] Belgrade[,] which had played a prominent part in battles between Serb and Ottoman Empire forces during the Kingdom of Serbia in World War I.[3] See above suggestions Interwar
period: The two boats left the Tyne on 11 March 1928 in company with Hvar.[18] in "the" company "of" Hvar (with means acompanied by) Torpedo exercises [for the boats] followed, [and] then a cruise along the Adriatic coast. See the above suggestions There were no injuries [to its crew].[21] See above suggestions World War II: A few days before the invasion commenced, Nebojša and Osvetnik had conducted night patrols, mainly on the surface, on rotation with Hrabri and Smeli. "in" rotation? Nebojša was forced to conduct an emergency dive to avoid being hit, and [she] almost rammed the bottom. See above suggestion The commanding officer of Sitnica was willing to take command of Hrabri and captain the boat to Greece, but the crew were opposed to this action, and Hrabri was captured at the Bay of Kotor by the Italians after the Yugoslav surrender came into effect on 18 April 194? On 9 April Nebojša and Smeli were sent to the southern Adriatic to attack Italian maritime traffic between Bari in Italy and the Italians after the Yugoslav surrender came into effect on 18 April 194? On 9 April Nebojša and Smeli were sent to the southern Adriatic to attack Italian maritime traffic between Bari in Italy and the Italians after the Yugoslav surrender came into effect on 18 April 194? of Albania. Add a comma after April She returned to the Bay of Kotor on 10 or 11 April, where the fleet had begun to break up, with [and] the crew[s] of some ships deserting. See the above suggestions On 14 April the pending Yugoslav unconditional surrender was announced[,] and two days later the crew were advised by their commanding officer that they would be surrendering the following day. See above suggestion While he was absent ashore at a conference, his second-in-command, Dorde Mitrović, and offered him the command of the submarine if he would lead the crew that wished to escape to Greece. Is the word "absent" nnecessary? One or two days later she resumed her voyage to Egypt accompanied by the Orjen-class torpedo boats Durmitor and Kajmakčalan. See above suggestion Having had several breakdowns since [arrivinbg] her arrival in Egypt and due to her age, in November Nebojša was prohibited from diving [in November]. See above suggestions Her diesel engines were overhauled and after [having] she had undergone further repairs[,] she was employed as a charging station for other submarines. See above suggestions Her diesel engines were overhauled and after [having] she had undergone further repairs[,] she was employed as a charging station for other submarines. transfer both her air compressors failed and the port electric motor burned out. The word "her" is used three times in this short sentence? Finished - @Peacemaker67: Source review Pass. No concerns. I think the newspaper sources would be unreliable for some material, but for what they're used for here they're fine. Mike Christie (talk - contribs library) 18:41, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Mike! Peacemaker67 (click to talk to me) 07:59, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review File:Kalemegdan 03.jpg: CC0 - created by Wikipedian - okay File:QF2 MkVIII CWM 2.jpg - CC3.0 - created by Wikipedian - okay Pass Hawkeye7 (discuss) 06:56, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Hawkeye? (discuss) 06:56, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks Hawkeye? Yugoslav surrender"? "KM-in-exile". This term needs explaining/linking in the lead and body. "battles between Serb and Ottoman Empire forces during the wars of Serbian independence. "The final difference was that one of the three periscopes". I see that another editor suggested this. "The commanding officer of Sitnica was willing to take command of Hrabri? Why did the crew oppose the action? Did they want to surrender? Looks fine. Just a few minor queries Dudley Miles (talk) 07:56, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from The ed17 Mostly minor comments and questions for ya, Peacemaker67. This isn't a dealbreaker, but: the lead isn't the easiest thing to read, in part because it's rather stuffed with information. I've made a couple edits to simplify things, and you might consider going through it with a fine-tooth comb to remove information that isn't critical to a reader's overall understanding of the submarines. "were manufactured in six parts each, linked into a single cylinder by those screws? "Between 1933 and 1934 ..." - was this a Nebojša that it began sinking bow-first? "When diving on another occasion, Hrabri listed sharply to starboard and the bulwark around the bridge was damaged by waves." - was this also during the sea trials? The wording here suggests that it was not. "A few days before the invasion commenced, Nebojša and Osvetnik had conducted night patrols, mainly on the surface, on rotation with Hrabri and Smeli." - read literally in my mind, this wording would suggest there were patrols on multiple alternating nights? Perhaps the sentence should read something like this: "Starting X days before the invasion, the Yugoslav Navy deployed two submarines each night to patrol the coastline, primarily on the surface. They deployed Nebojša and Osvetnik together, and rotated them on each succeeding night with Hrabri and Smeli." "The transfer of the former German Type VII U-boat U-570 - HMS Graph - was considered, ... - who considered this? The Royal Navy, government, otherwise? "She remained unable to dive and was used as a moored classroom or hulk." - emphasis mine. Did the "extensive overhaul and repairs" in Port Said still not allow the submarine to dive in the last couple years of the war? It seems unlikely that it would have been sent to Malta without that capability. Ed [talk] [majestic titan] 20:27, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gurl.com Nominator(s): lullabying (talk) 19:36, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about Gurl.com, a website aimed at female teenagers and young adults that was prominent in the 1990s and 2000s. Gurl.com was an influential part of 1990s Internet culture as one of the first online media and communities aimed at young girls. It was mostly known for being a peer resource for teen advice, containing honest discussions about sexuality, body positivity, and adolescence, back when female-oriented media, such as magazines, hardly addressed those issues. In addition, Gurl.com is also credited for Internet activity in girls from generation Y and has been a point of reference in academia regarding behavior of teenage girls on the Internet in the 2000s, such as the topics they discussed and the websites they would create. I started and brought this article to Good status in the past year. Particularly where Internet culture and technology is involved, media and communities aimed at women don't get discussed that often, especially since now most people have moved towards social media. lullabying (talk) 19:36, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review Suggest adding alt text File:Gurl 2011 logo.png: FUR is incomplete - since the article includes two non-free logos there needs to be strong justification. Nikkimaria (talk) 03:32, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Alt text added in the infobox. Justification for the 2011 logo is added; please let me know if there is anything I need to fix. lullabying (talk) 03:39, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Prose review by Anarchyte Lead female sexuality - link to Human female sexuality (also link first instance in #Zine). teen magazine - link to Teen magazines of the 1990s? Just an idea. Alternatively, given the article has already established the 1990s, Unlike the teen magazines of the era/decade? unconventional approach to teenrelated topics compared to mainstream media, no? Could consider cutting the second half. contributions? Perhaps provide an example. anti-pornography advocates - link to Opposition to pornography (also link first instance in #Critical reception). History as the Internet lacked communities for girls in the 1990s - as the Internet lacked such communities for any of the other sales? Out of curiosity more than anything, but it might be useful to include, especially for the initial Delia's purchase. Content the website allows contributions. The site is defunct. One of Gurl.com's notable contributions was its comics section - Not sure "contributions" is the correct word. My first instinct was that this is supposed to say "section" or something like that. Try the Prom Dress Selector - this sounds consumerist to an onlooker. Maybe cut and only have the other two examples. It also had personality quizzes, with a welldocumented one being. During Delia's acquisition - During Delia's ownership. Many users used Gurlpages to host zines, one example being about female sexuality. Consider moving and incorporating the final paragraph of #Zine into #Features. Registration is more of a feature than commentary on the zine portion of the site. Will look over the rest later. Anarchyte (talk) 10:26, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Added further comments. Anarchyte (talk) 10:26, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Added further comments. contributions was its comics section", I changed it to "One of Gurl.com's notable contributions from its readers was its comics section. Regarding the line about zines, the sources state that Gurlpages were used to host zines but the only topic that was mentioned in detail was about female sexuality. lullabying (talk) 21:08, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for taking care of those. The only other concern I have is that in the Analysis section, the article has quite a few single-word quotations. Phrases like "shaming" can be paraphrased. Anarchyte (talk) 12:45, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I edited parts of the critical reception and condensed some of the feedback while paraphrasing some others. lullabying (talk) 21:45, 3 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Coordinator comment as this nomination has been open for almost a month and has yet to attract a general support, it is liable to be archived within the next couple days if
considerable movement towards a consensus to promote does not occur. Hog Farm Talk 18:51, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the warning. I'll try to get more comments on this. lullabying (talk) 20:58, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Mike Christie "as the Internet lacked such communities in the 1990s": This is said in Wikipedia's voice, which means we would need good sourcing for it. It may well be true but I think the intention is to say that this was the opinion of the three women, so perhaps this should read "as they felt the Internet lacked such communities in the 1990s" or something similar. 'The name of the website combined the "q" with the acronym "URL." I'm not sure what we are trying to communicate with this sentence. The website name is a pun that refers to both "URL" and "girl"; I think you've phrased it this way because all readers will understand the "girl" but some might not know the "URL" acronym. I can't see the source you're using, but if it will support it I'd suggest phrasing it like this: 'The name of the website combined "girl" with the internet acronym [or just acronym] "URL".' The "G" at the start isn't the point. "Odes, Drill, and McDonald continued to work on the website with Delia's": The sources may not specify, but do we know if they were taken on as employees of Delia's, or if Gurl.com continued to exist as a corporate entity, as a subsidiary, with the three women continuing to work for it? "Gurl.com was included as property in Delia's online subsidiary, iTurf, in an attempt to launch an e-commerce market targeting Generation Y": what's the significance of "as property"? Was iTurf a subsidiary, iTurf, in an attempt to launch an e-commerce market targeting Generation Y": what's the significance of "as property"? Was iTurf a subsidiary, iTurf, in an attempt to launch an e-commerce market targeting Generation Y": what's the significance of "as property"? Was iTurf a subsidiary, iTurf, in an attempt to launch and e-commerce market targeting Generation Y company that owned Gurl.com? Or was Gurl.com? Or was Gurl.com? Or was Gurl.com? Or was Gurl.com? (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "topics such as female sexuality, which was often overlooked in traditional media aimed at teenagers in the 1990s": This is sourced to The Cut, which I don't think is good enough to have this in Wikipedia's voice. As above I suggest either finding another source for "was often overlooked", or changing this to assign it as an opinion. "as the website was intended to be a counterpoint against, or a "counterpoint to", but I don't think it can be a "counterpoint against". "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this lose anything if it were shortened to "Content on the website was organized based on topics, with regular sections named": would this l say how many issues there were? This, which is a bit of a surprise as the third was apparently up by January 1997 -- see here. (And any idea why they were hosted at NYU? Not important if the sources don't cover it; hosting was a bit Wild-West-ish back then.) No coverage on Gurl.com as a zine can be found as far as I can see, though it was part of online zine culture aimed at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the content section needs at girls. lullabying (talk) 18:51, 7 August 20:52, 7 August 20:52, 7 August 20:52, 7 August 20:52, 7 Augu few dates. For example, from checking a few archive.org pages, it appears the presentation as a zine lasted twenty-two years, and changed dramatically in that time, but the Content section speaks about the zine topics, features, games, and comics without making it clear what time period these apply to. Gurlmail.com and Gurlpages.com are given a date range, which is what I'm looking for. The sources may not let you be very specific, but we should convey whatever we can. Unfortunately digging through archive.org would be primary research so we can't do that. There isn't a specific date range found for the content in the sources. lullabying (talk) 19:05, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Struck. I'll read through again and see if I can think of a way to address this. It's a pity the sources are so unspecific because the site changed a lot in those 22 years. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 22:04, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "While Gurl.com could be accessed without an account, registration was required in order to submit content and participate in the chat room and message board, enforced in order to protect its community": The last clause is a bit disconnected from the rest of the sentence. And if the source permits, can we be less vague than "protect"? E.g. from harassment, trolls, online predators? 'Early game content satirized beauty standards, such as "Hairy Gurl." Is "Hairy Gurl." Is "Hairy Gurl." Is "Hairy Gurl." Is that really the case? "some critics advised the book should be read by older audiences": surely what they meant is that it was not suitable for younger readers, or that it should not be read by younger readers, not that it should be read by older readers? The critical reception section; please review. lullabying (talk) 22:13, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think that's a big improvement. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:54, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In 2005, scholar Sharon Mazzarella noted that Gurl.com was among the websites that helped girls be creative and empowered, though it was later overshadowed by moral panic surrounding their vulnerability online": what was overshadowed? As written it says that Gurl.com was overshadowed, but I think Mazzarella is probably saying something more general about how websites that encourage girls to interact online were affected by the moral panic, and not talking only about Gurl.com. Done I reworded the section; please review. lullabying (talk) 22:13, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's clearer. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:51, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "creating a paradox on constructed norms and downplaying individual sexual agency": I think this is a little too academic in its phrasing. Done I reworded it. lullabying (talk) 19:05, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "It was named as one of the websites associated with the growth of websites owned by teenage girls, creating a potential advertising market worth \$150 billion USD in 2000": I don't follow this. It was never owned by teenage girls, was it? "Duncan
and Leander discovered that Gurl.com created spaces of both "resistance and conformity", as people who had websites on Gurlpages both expressed themselves in creative writing yet also listed personal information identifying their demographics and consumer habits": I think "discovered" is the wrong verb; it makes it sound as if their analysis is undoubtedly correct. Suggest rephrasing to use a verb like "described" or "considered". That's it for a first pass. Mike Christie (talk - contribs library) 12:12, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I've made a few grammatical edits based on your suggestion and will get back to you on the parts with clarification and rewording. lullabying (talk) 03:23, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. I've struck the points I see you've addressed so far. Mike Christie (talk - contribs library) 03:51, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Regarding this point: "It was never owned by teenage girls, was it?" This is referring to the fact that Gurl.com's zine (before it became an online community), the number of issues were not documented. lullabying (talk) 19:55, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Regarding the name of the website: I changed it according to your suggestions but the originated from the letter "g" with the acronym "URL." lullabying (talk) 20:01, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've struck a couple more above. I think "mentioned" still isn't right -- a verb like "mention", "note", or "discover" implies that what follows is undoubtedly true. I think we need a verb that makes it clear this is the organization of the critical reception section. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:54, 28 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I change it back if it's not clear enough. I reworded Mazzarella's statement about the vulnerability of girls online and the source states that the moral panic is mostly surrounding how the youth were subsceptible to being exposed to harmful messages in online content. I will get to the other stuff once I review the sources. lullabying (talk) 03:15, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Re: "topics such as female sexuality, which was often overlooked in traditional media aimed at teenagers in the 1990s": This is sourced to The Cut, which I don't think is good enough to have this in Wikipedia's voice. As above I suggest either finding another source for "was often overlooked", or changing this to assign it as an opinion. I reworded this. lullabying (talk) 03:25, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Re The Cut, I'm not sure the new version really works either. The problem for me is that The Cut is just not a very good source for the assertion; putting the source in the article as you've done eliminates the issue of a general statement that is cited to a weak source, but now we have a statement in the article that is not very useful, because we're explicitly saying "a poor source said this" and a reader is going to wonder why we bother to mention it. I would have thought that the statement itself is accurate and could be sourced to something more authoritative. I think the wording of the sentence about Mazzarella is improved, but it's still not clear what "it" refers to in "it was later overshadowed". Does Mazzarella is improved, but it's still not clear what "it" refers to in "it was later overshadowed". later overshadowed" to remove the ambiguity. If Mazzarella means that the positive effects of "websites that helped girls be creative and empowered" were later overshadowed. I would make it something like "the positive effects of these websites that helped girls be creative and empowered" were later overshadowed. I would make it something like "the positive effects of these websites that helped girls be creative and empowered" were later overshadowed. I would make it something like "the positive effects of these websites that helped girls be creative and empowered" were later overshadowed. you're aware, but at FAC it's OK to intersperse your answers to reviewers' comments, replying to each bullet point with an indented comment. You can see this in other FACs at WP:FAC. You don't have to do it that way -- replying at the bottom as you are doing here is OK, and some prefer to do that -- but I didn't know if you were aware that it was an option. Some people prefer to do it that way because it makes it easier to see which points have been responded to and which are still outstanding. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 13:43, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've struck a few more points based on the most recent changes. That's taken care of the main issues that were preventing me from supporting. Do you have any comments on the unstruck points above? In some cases there may be no change you can make to the article, since I'm asking whether the sources give more information about something, and they may not. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:57, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Vami Reserving. a seat; I will be reviewing the prose, and have no connection to the subject. - Vamí_IV† 16:07, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, here we go! - Vamí_IV† 17:16, 1990s., but I am confused by the construction of this and other sentences stating this. I believe it means "Gurl.com was one of the first major websites aimed at teenage girls", and it was so because of the first in the 1990s itself, which would be correct but perhaps not intended. If my interpretation is correct, I would advise pulling mention the 1990s, [...]. I reworded it; please see if it's good now. lullabying (talk) 04:50, 8 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Axe "during the 1990s". - +Vamí_IV† + 03:59, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is every instance of "internet" capitalized? That's because it's grammatically correct. Even on the article Internet on Wikipedia, every instance of the word is capitalized? That's because it's grammatically correct. Even on the article Internet" capitalized? That's because it's grammatically correct. Even on the article Internet on Wikipedia, every instance of the word is capitalized? That's because it's grammatically correct. Even on the article Internet on Wikipedia, every instance of the word is capitalized? That's because it's grammatically correct. Even on the article Internet on Wikipedia, every instance of the word is capitalized? site in 1997; it was later sold to PriMedia in 2001, who in turn sold it to iVillage in 2003. Alloy (later known as Defy Media) acquired it from iVillage in 2009. The separation of the purchase of the website by Alloy in 2009 into another sentence implies some special significance. Aside from Alloy being the final owner before the website went under, that doesn't seem to be the case from the article body. Alloy rebranded itself as Defy Media; I will edit the article accordingly to reflect that. lullabying (talk) 19:08, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Unlike teen magazines in the 1990s, [...] This clause would imply that Gurl.com was not itself a 1990s teen magazine. I advise a wording like "Gurl.com" distinguished itself from other 1990s teen magazines with its [...]". Gurl.com was not a magazine in the traditionally published sense; it started out as an online zine before it became an online community. lullabying (talk) 04:22, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [...] and contributions from its audience. What form did this take? Editorials? Artwork Gurl.com was also met with criticism from conservative and anti-pornography advocates for its sex-positive stance and sex education resources, as well as privacy concerns. We know from the article body that it was not conservatives criticizing Gurl.com about privacy concerns, but this sentence would suggest that they were. Done Line was rewritten. lullabying (talk) 18:22, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] History I think it would be valuable to know when the founders were in high school student ir the 1980s. Should I include that? lullabying (talk) 19:08, 7 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done lullabying (talk) 04:43, 12
August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done lullabying (talk) 04:43, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as it can be supported by reliable sources. - Nami_IV + 03:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Press as long as lon capacity? [...] Lighthouse Frying Pan in New York. New York state or New York State or New York City? [...] in an attempt to build on teen-centered properties. I would suggest "expand" here instead of "build", for "expand" August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It now redirects to Seventeen's website. Per MOS:NOW, this should be changed to something like "As of [date of writing], [...]". Done lullabying (talk) 03:16, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your comments. I will get to them in a bit. lullabying (talk) 03:16, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for your commen included. Version reviewed. WP:SHOUTING in ref. titles (source 2, 8, 13, 14, 38). Source 2 lists its author as Eric V Copage (without a full stop after V). Done lullabying (talk) 03:14, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 2, 10 and 32 need a |url-access=limited parameter. Some sources list locations but inconsistently. Some do states (e.g. Maryland in ref. 6), but some do countries (e.g. UK in ref. 30). Not sure if it is based on the all available information about their locations, it is necessary to do it for all sources where this information is available (e.g. Palo Alto, California in ref. 35). Source 25 - The is not part of the title of Los Angeles Times. Done lullabying (talk) 02:51, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 28 - what makes Comics Worth Reading a high-quality reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and Manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and Manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and Manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source? It is listed as a source on Wikipedia:WikiProject Anime and Manga/Online reliable source? It is listed as a source? It is listed as a source? It is listed as a source Weekly, while KC works for DC Comics. lullabying (talk) 02:48, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 48 - not sure I would write the opinion of Salon.com so prominently especially when it's known for being biased. Source 66 needs |url-access=subscription. FrB.TG (talk) 19:36, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Done lullabying (talk) 02:50, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] User:Anarchyte and User:Lullabying, have you read the instructions at WP:FAC? This nomination is filled with templates that shouldn't be used at FAC or FAR. SandyGeorgia (Talk) 22:57, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Did you mean to ping me, ma'am? Every one of my bullet-points thus far uses Template:Tq. -Avami_IV 1 23:13, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] BTS Nominator(s): ErnestKrause (talk), Wehwalt (talk), and Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:07, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the contemporary (talk), Wehwalt (talk), and Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:07, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the contemporary music group BTS from South Korea. It is a co-nomination with Btspurplegalaxy who is also on the top 10 editor list for the article. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:41, 6 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oppose Similar reasons as a co-nomination with Btspurplegalaxy who is also on the top 10 editor list for the article. last time, I don't feel my concerns were fully addressed. The sourcing can still be improved with the books that are now minimally cited; journal articles I brought up were not included at all. Some of the Korean Wave. "Chapter 7". Routledge Press. 2022." I don't know exactly how many pages there are in a chapter, but this is not ideal for verifiability. Another book is listed in bibliography and cited using sfn referencing, so I would cite all book sources the same way for consistency. The nominator is the author of 4.7% of the article, so concern about how he can guarantee the accuracy of the remaining 95% remains. (t · c) buidhe 18:53, 7 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Just so there's not any question of our compliance with procedure per WP:FACSUPPORTOPPOSE, I'm noting that in the opinion of the nominators, all of Buidhe's concerns have been addressed, and a notice left on her talk page, the diff being this.--Wehwalt (talk) 22:09, 31 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You seemed to say it was "an improvement" on your talk page here: [84]. Also, all three of the editors for "authorship" out of over 1500 editors for the article: Wehwalt is #7, Ernest is #6, and Btspurple is #4. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:38, 8 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The page numbers in the Soft Power book have now been added, and I'll go through the refs and see what can be done. More learned sources cited in the opposes in the two FACs are now included, as i well as other scholarly sources. Much of the article is basically about facts, the group's activities in the years since its founding.--Wehwalt (talk) 22:54, 9 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe we have, I believe, addressed your concerns. A number of scholarly sources are now used, sfn has been adopted for the book and article sources where it was not present, and I'm assured by ErnestKrause that the sources (which were gone through when the article such as citing self-published low from the sprawling mess it was) do reflect the sources.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:59, 15 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've repeatedly been asked to change my oppose, but sourcing issues remain in the article such as citing self-published medium and forbes contributors. Some citations are broken with the message "Harv error: this link doesn't point to any citation". The question
of how people who wrote a minority of the remaining 90 percent or so remains. (t · c) buildhe 16:17, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Your comment about authorship appears not to know about the long edit history of the BTS article. Previous editors from the last 10 years had bloated the article made a sprawling mess of the old version of the BTS article. to get it through a successful GAN. You appear to keep wanting to give credit to the old previous editors who caused it to become bloated at over 400Kb in size last year which detracted from the article being able to get to GAN. The GAN succeeded due to bulking down the article and not super-adding text to a article that was already over 400Kb Your comment about Forbes must refer to the one citation to Forbes in the entire article to document the release of their song "Dynamite". That citation is written by a Forbes staff member which is acceptable to Wikipedia policy; only non-staff Forbes article are excluded by Wikipedia policy. If you see any SPS problems in the article, then state them by name since the article has had an extensive review of citations at its successfull GAN. The Harv-cite error you mention appears only for the one book by Kim Young which was added by a previous editor, and which Wehwalt is in the process of converting to sfn; it is already in the sfn section of the Bibliography. The print-out of the article on my for the one book by Kim Young which was added by a previous editor, and which Wehwalt is in the process of converting to sfn; it is already in the sfn section of the Bibliography. screen shows no other Harv-cite issues at this time. If you see any other Harv-cite issues, then you can them list them here, since none of them are coming up on my screen print-out at this time. ErnestKrause (talk) 17:40, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There were several sfn errors, but I've gone through everything now and they're fixed. As for them are coming up on my screen print-out at this time. assurances of accuracy, there's ErnestKrause's assurances on this front and I think both ErnestKrause's comments just above and FrB.TG's just below respond to that. At this point, this seems to be an oppose where everything either has been addressed or (in the case of the concern about accuracy, there's nothing that can, or so far as I can tell, should, be done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:13, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi Buidhe, could I confirm that your oppose still stands? Gog the Mild (talk) 15:55, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi Buidhe, could I confirm that your oppose still stands? nomination, no? (t · c) buidhe 23:05, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Your concerns have been addressed in full.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:35, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Please let us know if there is anything else you think we could improve on, since I feel that all of your concerns have been addressed in detail. We want for this article to be top-notch, and that has contributed to our rapid and efficient improvements. Btspurplegalaxy 23:58, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I also feel the specific grounds for oppose were all addressed, as were the further comments regarding sources.-Wehwalt (talk) 00:30, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Article appears to have been fully addressed with an extensive source check done for this renomination and has the support from several reviewing FAC editors who have participated. ErnestKrause (talk) 10:56, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I agree, and the objection is not of such a nature per the instructions as should hold up the finding of consensus.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:31, 16 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi Buidhe, any further thoughts? Gog the Mild (talk) 11:09, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] We did of course ping her and leave a message on her talk page quite some time ago after her objection was addressed in full. That should speak for itself at this point in the FAC.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:38, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gog the Mild Are we able to move forward given Buidhe's lack of response? Btspurplegalaxy 00:38, 12 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by FrB.TG The additions of academic sources have definitely improved the article. I partially disagree with the oppose above, i.e. with the part that the nominators not being major authors of the article could mean there are unsupported/misinterpreted claims there. Unless a spot-checker specifically identifies issues on this front, it's just an assumption that these exist. (Note I'm not saying that these don't exist, but only saying the possible issues on this front, it's just an assumption that these exist. Some of my comments regarding sourcing can be found here on my talk page. My comments here will mostly focus on the prose and MoS issues. "By 2017, BTS crossed into the global music market, leading the Korean Wave into the United States" - the Wikipedia article does not capitalize "wave" in Korean Wave. Should be lower case and changed to lower case. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:19, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "They are the first Asian and non-English speaking act to be named the International Federation of the 2010s (placing at number 45), and to headline and sell out Wembley Stadium and the Rose Bowl (Love Yourself World Tour in 2019)." Too many and's here. Rewrite long sentences. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:24, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Bangtan Boys at the Incheon Music Center in September 2013 02.jpg appears in between two sections; either place it at the beginning of Name or Career section. Mirror flip image with quote box in Career section. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:34, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "This extended their name to mean "growing youth BTS who is going beyond the realities they are facing, and going forward."[10]" Per MOS:LQ, the full stop should be placed outside the quotation mark. Correct period location. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:37, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "BTS was originally supposed to be a hip hop group similar to YG Entertainment's 1TYM,[13] but soon after the group was created, Bang Si-hyuk decided to create an idol group similar to YG Entertainment's 1TYM,[13] but soon after the group similar to YG Entertainment's 1TYM,[13] but soon after the group was created. one sentence and I would change '90's to 1990s. Divide long sentences, and rewrite. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:46, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Here was a musical act that wasn't pulling any punches." Avoid using curly apostrophes (') and use a straight (') one instead (per MOS:'). There are other ones throughout the article and you would need to go through them. I just strained my eyes and I hope got them all.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Their subsequent single, "We Are Bulletproof Pt. 2", failed to chart at all." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] also appeared on Billboard's World Albums Chart for the first time, peaking at number three." Prose redundancy. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:48, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In July 2014, BTS hosted a free concert in West Hollywood, their first show in the United States" - the article randomly switches between using United States and US. Stick to one. Changed all to "US" for consistency throughout article. Btspurplegalaxy 01:51, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The band released their first Japanese studio album, Wake Up (2014), that December; the release "- release used in twice in close proximity. Copy edit wording. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:18, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "BTS wanted to express the beauty and anxiousness of youth and settled on the title" - whose title are we talking about here? Addendum: it's only clarified in the next sentence. Rewrite first two sentence of that paragraph. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:26, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The album's second single, "Dope (Korean: ; RR: Jjeoreo)," peaked at number three" - place the quotation sign before the comma. moved to the proper place Btspurplegalaxy 02:02, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] File:Bangtan Boys at KCON France 2016.jpg and File:BTS win first Daesang (Grand Prize) at Melon Music Awards, 19 November 2016.jpg are placed too closely to each other in opposite directions, creating a WP:SANDWICH
issue. Pull KCon image up one paragraph to avoid image sandwich. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:37, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Spring Day" later won Best Song of the Year at the 2017 Melon Music Awards." It's obvious that one wins awards for their work later on so it's uneeded. Drop extra word. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:33, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Commercially, BTS continued to hit new career heights" - "hit new career heights" sounds too informal. Expand their artistic successes, sounds more on point. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:37, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In December, they also became the first K-pop group" - unnecessary use of "also". Removed. Btspurplegalaxy 02:02, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] WP:NBSP needed in a lot of places e.g. "300 million" and "September 2017". Check thoroughly. Down to the end of 2014–2017: Mainstream and international breakthrough. This should keep you busy for a while. I'll return with more comments later. FrB.TG (talk) 18:59, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've added another dozen to two dozen nbsp additions to improve readability on this. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:53, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] A couple were missed, which I've added myself. FrB.TG (talk) 09:43, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for the comments. I've addressed a few of them and will return tomorrow to get more of them.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:13, 17 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It should be up to date as to the above comments. Ready for next set of edit comments when available. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:53, 18 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] FrB.TG, do you have more? I'd like to be able to show some progress towards promotion to the coordinators.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:53, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, sorry. I've been sick the last two days so I got little done around here. I'll definitely follow up in the next few days. FrB.TG (talk) 20:53, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Commercially, Love Yourself: Tear became one of BTS' best selling albums." The source does not say this. From its achievement of becoming the first K-pop album to top the US charts, it's somewhat implied, but we would need a source explicitly stating this. Cut those words.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and the highest-charting album by an Asian act" - not mentioned anywhere in the source. I suppose it is by implication as you can't go higher than #1 but I've substituted that it was the first album predominately sung in another language besides English to reach #1 in 12 years.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 129 and 134 should be marked with a |url-access=subscription parameter. I've added it to 134. 129 already has it.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with a landmark concert in the Seoul Olympic Stadium, the largest stadium in South Korea." - I believe "landmark" is unneeded. Mentioning the feat, which made it a "landmark", should suffice. Sliced.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "John Lie, in his scholarly article on BTS, opined that the Nazi incident showed that Bloomberg 50 is significant. Perhaps something like "They were listed as one of the 50 most influential people by Bloomberg..." while linking the "one of the 50 most influential people" to the listing. Done, phrased slightly differently.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:06, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "getting four from each awards show and which was never previously done at the Mnet Asian Music Awards" - this could be better phrased. The switch from an -ing form to the use of a relative pronoun reads awkwardly. Rephrased.-Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source 209 should be marked as being in Korean. That's done--Wehwalt (talk) 12:28, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] ""Dynamite" debuted at number one on the US Billboard Hot 100 chart, becoming the fastest-selling single since Swift's "Look What You Made Me Do" (2017)—earning BTS their first chart topper and making them the first all-South Korean act (second Asian act overall) to earn a number one single in the US." Can we rephrase this sentence a bit so we don't repeat "number one" thrice? It is only stated twice in the sentence you reproduce. I've removed it from the following sentence, though.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:06, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The members' experiences with South Korean youth culture also inspired the songs "Dope" and "Silver Spoon" (Korean: ; RR: Baepsae) from their youth trilogy, which reference generational disparity and millennials having to give up romantic relationships, marriage, children, proper employment, homes, and social life in the face of economic difficulties and social life in the face of ec readability. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:06, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "On April 29, 2019, Time magazine named BTS one of the length of the article, it being related to what is being discussed in both sections, and the fact that our readers rarely read articles in full, it's worth stating in both places.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:06, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have made several edits here for MoS fixes, ref. formatting and minor copy-edits. FrB.TG (talk) 09:43, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] FrB.TG, I've made those changes or otherwise replied and your changes look good. Thanks for the review and help with this article and I hope you're feeling better.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:06, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support My comments were dealt with in a speedy manner by the three nomination. With K. Peake's thorough source review, I am confident that it meets the sourcing criteria as well. I understand Buidhe's concern for sources-to-text accuracy but very few spot-checking and would have to be conducted more thoroughly (should it be requested). FrB.TG (talk) 05:14, 28 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support Comments by K. Peake Resolved comments from K. Peake Note: All "platinum", "gold, and "silver" adjectives in prose and narrative have been changed to lower case only throughtout the article now. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:01, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I don't think citations are needed for Columbia and Universal in the infobox when these labels are sourced in the body. Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:02, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Big Hit Entertainment in the lead, with this debut being directly mentioned in the body. Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Where is the alternative universe storyline sourced in the body? Also, the "and" here should have a comma before it. I've added it in Fandom--Wehwalt (talk) 13:02, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I called it an alternate reality to avoid double use of universe.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:25, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The body says they were the quickest act to achieve four number-ones since Justin Timberlake, not Michael Jackson. Justin T. now. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The second and third paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would
suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the lead into four paragraphs are quite large, especially the last one; I would suggest converting the large, especially the last one; I would suggest conv lead section now. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:44, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The 32 millions figure is not directly mentioned in the body, even though it can be sourced. Having sold million of albums...and growing sales. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:48, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You have not sourced the Top Touring Artists of the 2010s anywhere, also I don't think number 45 should be in brackets. Trimming old accolades from 2010. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:52, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The most influential people in the world ranking is not sourced. Now sourced in Accolades and Awards section. ErnestKrause (talk) 17:05, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The UNICEF partnership is not sourced. It is now.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add a comma after "BTS was formed in 2010". Added.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "unlike Seo Taiji's music," \rightarrow use "the group" instead because this is not his solo work. Rephrased.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "unlike Seo Taiji's music," \rightarrow use "the group" instead because this is not his solo work. Rephrased.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "unlike Seo Taiji's music," \rightarrow use "the group" instead because this is not his solo work. Rephrased.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "unlike Seo Taiji's music," \rightarrow use "the group" instead because this is not his solo work. article. I only saw one place where consecutive sentences begin with either and I changed it.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I don't necessarily mean starting a sentence, more so the mentions of the group directly after each other being monotonous. --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I ve done about a half dozen of these; are there more that need attention? ErnestKrause (talk) 15:44, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the top five on the Gaon Music Chart." -> "the top five on South Korea." I rejigged it as "the top five on South Korea." I rejigged it as "the top five on the Gaon Music Chart." -> "the top five on South Korea." I rejigged it as "the top five on the Gaon Music Chart." -> "the top five on the Gaon Music Cha

was released" \rightarrow "The album was released" and a full-stop is needed for the previous sentence. Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:23, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The nightclub is not mentioned anywhere as being where the group had their first performance. Not mentioned in a paragraph but there is a picture of the club with the caption "Exterior of the nightclub Troubadour (photo taken 2006) where BTS held their first concert in the US for free" Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The last entry in BTS". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The last entry in BTS". Wild and 2014 BTS Live Trilogy Episode II: The Red Bullet, as these are not needed. Drop commas. ErnestKrause (talk) 20:52, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add the release year of The Most Beautiful Moment in Life, Part 1. Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:15, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] * a full sentence should have the punctuation outside of speech marks per MOS:QUOTE. Should be outside now. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:00, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "This third EP explored the". Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:15, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "This third EP explored the". Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:15, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "This third EP explored the". (UTC)Reply[reply] Try to avoid too many consecutive uses of "the album" or any similar terms. Trimmed this phrase when used in consecutive sentences. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:06, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The img of them performing in France does not have any relevancy to the article. They're performers and it's the only image we have of them performing on stage in that era.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:28, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is passable, then. --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] and eight of its tracks". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:14, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add a comma before "which combined the themes". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:14, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add a comma before "which combined the themes". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:14, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add a comma before "which combined the themes". Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:15, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Its lead single was" -> "The lead single was". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and lyricism, and". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "aesthetics and Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:43, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of his". {Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:38, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a in different directions. See your note directly below this. I've done both, but if you see more needed then let me know. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:14, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This para is one where it is really unclear, starting to talk about July then September with no mention of a year for BTS. --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Changed to July 2017 for clarity. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:22, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The release years of Wings and You Never Walk Alone should not be mentioned, as you have already done this. This one and the last one seem to pull in different direction about add/delete dates for readability. I've done both and if there are still problems with this just list them here, and I'll look at them. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:14, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The US television debut is not mentioned in a image caption "BTS at the 45th American Music Awards shortly before making their debut performance on US television on November 19, 2017." So let me know if you still want it to be mentioned in the paragraph. Btspurplegalaxy 16:19, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] To call something their debut, you need an actual source stating this, --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Shorten caption to say it is in America. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:59, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Psy is the first," > "Psy was the first," with the wikilink. OK.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:25, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "attained Gold certifications, there is a discussion on article talk that certifications should be capitalized.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:25, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] They're now all lower cased per comment above.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "achieved Platinum status". See above.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:04, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "on December 6, 2017." Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:04, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "achieved Platinum status". (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified Double Platinum by the RIAJ" -> "certified double platinum by the Recording Industry Association of Japan (RIAJ)" with the wikilink. Done on RIAJ. For the caps, see previous comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "released on April 4," -> "released on → "It is the 17th" per MOS:NUM. Numbers expressible by one and two words can be expressed as words per MOS:NUMERAL.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:25, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified Gold by the RIAA in November." → "certified gold by the RIAA in November." → "certified gold by the RIAA in November 2018." Done on the year, for the rest see above.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified gold by the RIAA in November." → "certified gold 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received Platinum certifications" -> "received platinum certifications". See above.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe Time to Time (magazine) Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:34, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the UK and Australia[164][165], and the group's" \rightarrow "the UK and Australia[164][165], and the group's". Done with the comma before the cites.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:32, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the UK and Australia[164][165], and the group's". (talk) 14:04, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Silver in the UK by the BPI for" - "silver in the UK by the BPI for". Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:04, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No Rose Bowl performance is sourced in Impact section. ErnestKrauseetKrause (talk) 20:48, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified Double Platinum by Gaon," -> "certified double platinum by Gaon," and specify what country. All 'gold, platinum, silver' should now be done. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:16, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The world million should not be capitalised Since it's a certification, see above.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:04, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "from the Recording Industry Association of Japan (RIAJ)," -> "from the RIAJ,". Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) "later attained Silver by the BPI in the UK,". Done with slightly different phrasing.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:32, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wikilink Taylor Swift OK.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:32, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "in the US[199]" missing a full-stop. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:32, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "on Billboard's Top Billboa (UTC)Reply[reply] Add (IFPI) in brackets. Done Btspurplegalaxy 14:18, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "be certified Quadruple Million." - "be certified quadruple million." Done by Ernest Btspurplegalaxy 14:18, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "US Billboard 200 making" \rightarrow "US Billboard 200, making" \rightarrow "US Billboard 200, making". Done Btspurplegalaxy 14:18, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "of their debut." The concert". Done by Wehwalt Btspurplegalaxy 16:39, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "chart becoming the fastest-selling single since Swift's". Done Btspurplegalaxy 14:34, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "on US's overall radio chart." -> "on the overall US radio chart." -> "on the ov Btspurplegalaxy 14:34, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the UK Singles Chart[241] and" - "the UK Singles Chart,[241] and". Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You could simply write Kyung Hyun if so? --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is not sourced that "Butter" was performed at the AMAs. Cite added. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:35, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is not sourced that "Butter" was performed at the AMAs. Cite added one for the 2022 Butter Grammy performance. Btspurplegalaxy 15:51, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "at number two and" -> "at number two, and". Added comma Btspurplegalaxy 14:34, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing 10th." See MOS:NUMBERAL, MOS:ORDINAL.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing 10th." See MOS:NUMBERAL, MOS:ORDINAL.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing 10th." See MOS:NUMBERAL, MOS:ORDINAL.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing 10th." See MOS:NUMBERAL, MOS:ORDINAL.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "with "Dynamite" placing tenth." -> "with "Dynamite" placing (UTC)Reply[reply] Stylize as 2022 Billboard Music Awards. Italicized Billboard Btspurplegalaxy 14:34, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "of 1.7 Billion dollars" -> "of 1.7 B billion dollars". Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add the date of it per the source(s), then. --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add date. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:59, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wikilink coming of age Linking now. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:21, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove the years for their albums and songs that have previously been mentioned. This has to do with the sentence about their use of music genres and I think it's useful to have the years in that sentence to allow the reader to trace this.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:26, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There should not be usage of brackets at this point; let me know if any are still there. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:44, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] However, add the release year to any of the works that are new to the article at this point. The Crystal S. Anderson quote should be written with noted and a comma before the quote if it is a full sentence; elsewise, move punctuation outside of the quote. It's a full sentence. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe afro pop to African popular music Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:03, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "pop rock, and pop rap". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:42, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [311][312][313][314][315] is too many sentences grouped together; move around to appropriate areas for avoiding this problem. "from the very start"." > "from the very start."" per this being a full sentence. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove links on "No More Dream" and "N.O". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 18:03, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove link on "Dope". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:51, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove comma after The Most Beautiful Moment in Life: Young Forever. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:54, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "BTS' 2016 studio album Wings focused on" - "Wings focused on". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:46, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "BTS' 2016 studio album Wings focused on". to end the quote. I've closed the quote marks. But I think it's helpful to the reader to have years in the sections which are not chronological, not all readers will be expert on the timing of BTS's discography.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:42, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove links on "Am I Wrong" and "Forever Rain". Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 15:32, 23 Juns 2022 (UTC) "Journalist Jeff Benjamin praise" -> "Journalist Jeff Benjamin praised". Got it.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and 60s age demographic."" -> "and (talk) 19:40, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "as effectively as Psy did". Done by Wehwalt Btspurplegalaxy 16:39, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add a comma after Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics. Add comma. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:05, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe command to Coercion. Linked. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:09, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Youna Kim, Villabert and" - "Kim, Villabert, and" unless Youna is the surname, then write that here per it being the second mention. Let it remain as it is. As Wehwalt previously mentioned there are others who share the surname. Btspurplegalaxy 14:53, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You still need to add the comma for the correct form of English. --K. Peake 09:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] You still need to add the comma for the correct form of English. rewritten without her cite. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:36, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe value to Value (ethics and social sciences). Changed Btspurplegalaxy 14:53, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove the introduction. Completed Btspurplegalaxy 14:53, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and an expansion of" -> "as well as an expansion of". Done! Btspurplegalaxy 14:53, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and an expansion of" -> "as well as an expansion of". Done! Btspurplegalaxy 14:53, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and an expansion of". Wehwalt (talk) 14:11, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "in 2018[375][376] and promoting" -> "in 2018,[375][376] and promoting". Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:03, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wikilink Fila to itself. OK.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:42, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "in 2018,[375][376] and promoting". (talk) 13:57, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Stylize as Billboard Music Awards. {Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:08, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Use {{spaced ndash}} so there is the right space for members. Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:39, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Should Wings be italicised in the tour title when it is not in the article? Should be ready for source review when available. ErnestKrause (talk) 21:23, 23 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kyle Peake, I think we've gotten just about everything. Do you have a position on whether to support the article for promotion? And are you good on the source review? Many thanks for most thorough and searching reviews.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:51, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I still take issue with overusage of the group or similar terms, which I elaborated on above from my initial comment. Also, the img calling the concert their first still take issue with overusage of the group or similar terms, which I elaborated on above from my initial comment. needs a citation to actually verify this, writing Kyung Hyun after the first mention would be most appropriate per previous and are you sure repeated release years should be in brackets again? Source review responses below. --K. Peake 06:41, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] For the image, I just removed the first all together, as I couldn't find any source to back up the claim. Btspurplegalaxy 09:34, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Behance. Done 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC) If possible, Naver should only be linked on the first instance. Naver is virtually unmentioned in the article, though it appears in about 103 citaions. Each one of the cites links Naver following this Wikipedia convention for citations. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:59, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 7 is missing a publisher. Publisher is listed in Korean on the last of the nine image pages on Amazon if someone can access the micro-font on the screen here [85]. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Make sure one is adding in some way or another then, as otherwise the citation is not filled in correctly. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Gaon Music Chart should be cited as publisher instead, also only wikilink it on the first instance. Gaon is attributed to its webcite and as a 'work' in the citations throughout the article follwoing the Wikipedia convention for linking for citations, have you done this for all repeated works/publishers then? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I went through all the publishers and added links where necessary. The work field is no longer used in this article.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:23, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Melon as publisher instead. It is compiled from online data provided by web-based music providers such as Genie, Melon, FLO, Soribada Naver VIBE, KakaoMusic and Bugs. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:23, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link Mwave to Mnet (TV channel) solely on the first instance per the explanations.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Change ref 33 to citing Seoul Music Awards with the wikilink and as publisher instead. DoneBtspurplegalaxy 15:58, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Billboard links are to indivual pages mostly for their individual lists such as: Billboard Global 200 and US Billboard Hot 100, etc. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Oricon on the first ref. There are over 40 link to Oricon which are virtually all done for the individual citations following the Wikipedia policy for linking each individual citations following the first time. Done Btspurplegalaxy 15:58, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've linked them per other comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Fuse as publisher instead on both refs and pipe to Fuse (TV channel) on the first instance. Done, though I have piped on both because of the convention for citations mentioned by Ernest.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:38, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Are there MOS:CAPS issues with ref 73, or is that just how Youth is stylized? Same with MAMA for ref 153 and Map of the Soul for refs 212 and 259? Restylize fonts back to lower case. The 'Youth' upper case was actually stylized in the Korean title using only upper case English. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:53, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] MOS:QWQ issues with refs 75 and 204. Both QWQ fixed. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:53, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite CNN as publisher instead. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Korea Herald should only be linked on the first occasion. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:20, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Billboard Japan. They're linked per above.--Wehwalt (talk) (UTC)Reply[reply] Wikilink Billboard Music Awards. What happened to doing this, also cite it as work/website instead? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Official Charts Company as publisher instead and only wikilink on the first occasion. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:54, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe Huffington Post to HuffPost on the first ref only. This should be cited as work/website, also pipe to the Wiki I said rather than the current redirect. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've done it, though cited as publisher.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:36, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 135 is missing a publisher and via. Done Btspurplegalaxy 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue

Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TeenVogue
Teen Vogue with the link, only citing once and fix MOS:QWQ issues. Done, usual 22:06, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] caveat re linking them all.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe Variety to Variety (magazine) on the first instance only, always citing as work/website/magazine. Done, see above.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite MSN as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:57, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only wikilink USA Today on the first instance. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Same as above for Simon Wiesenthal Center. Simon Wiesenthal Center. Simon Wiesenthal C (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Hankook Ilbo on the first instance. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The proper publisher is The citation was correct, but what about the capitals issues? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The proper publisher is The citation was correct, but what about the capitals issues? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The proper publisher is The citation was correct, but what about the capitals issues? Recording Academy and I've changed it. I've title-cased the "GRAMMYS", which I guess is what you were talking about.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:57, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 160 has MOS:QWQ issues and remove the link on Teen Vogue. The link can be kept, but what about the quotation marks issue? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've changed the interior quotes to italics since SNL should be italicized.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:57, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The BBC citations should be publishers instead. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite British Phonographic Industry as publisher instead and only wikilink the first time. Done similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Korean Culture and Information Service as publisher instead. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite IFPI as publisher instead. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Korean Culture and Information Service as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:31, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Rolling Stone on the first instance. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Vox on the first instance. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Only link Rolling Stone on the first instance. 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Change pitchfork.com to Pitchfork. Done Btspurplegalaxy 16:19, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe Hankyung to Han Geng. Changed to Korea Economic Daily instead as it has an article. Btspurplegalaxy 16:19, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite ARIA Charts as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:55, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Add via Naver to any sources that are citing the website without you having added the parameter. Did you catch this one? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Music Business Worldwide as publisher instead and fix MOS:CAPS issues. The caps issues still prevail and you need to wikilink this. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Billboard magazine \rightarrow Billboard. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Independent -> The Independent. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:23, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Link KBS Media. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:26, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply (UTC)Reply[reply] The Independent should only be linked on the first instance. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:23, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Los Angeles Times. Similar comment.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pipe Bloomberg to Bloomberg News on ref 290 and cite as publisher instead. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Dazed should only be linked on the first instance. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:21, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Dazed should only be linked on the first instance. 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Linked and cited as magazine.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:57, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Shouldn't ref 307 be cited to lead to the bibliographical citation? Either way, link Triumph Books. Totally missed this too. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've fixed that now. Sorry these fell through the cracks.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:57, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Entertainment Weekly should only be linked on the first instance. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 05:44, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why for this one only? --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Added for other two instances.--Wehwalt (talk) 15:07, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ditto for Time. Cite Radio.com as publisher instead and pipe to Audacy. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 05:43, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove All Things Considered from ref 341 and cite NPR as publisher instead with the link; the other citation should cite it as publisher with no link however. Done with similar comments. Wehwalt (talk) 21:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Harvard Business Review as work/website instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 18:03, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite UPI as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 18:03, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite UPI as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 18:03, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite The Korea Society as publisher instead. Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:22, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite IASPM Journal as publisher instead and pipe to International Association for the Study of Popular Music on ref 370, also fix MOS:QWQ issues. Missed all of these. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Herald Corportation as publisher instead. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 16:53, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Two of ref 392 citations are not filled in properly. Done Btspurplegalaxy 17:47, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cite Recording Industry Association of Japan as publisher instead. The Bibliography stuff is fine, but link any of the citations on first usage. Part two What makes these high-guality sources News Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 6:21, 25 June 2022 (UTC)
My Daily Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] ENTNews They seem to be defunct now, but by the description here, they probably qualified.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:41, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] ENTNews They seem to be defunct now, but by the description here, they probably qualified.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:41, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] ENTNews They seem to be defunct now, but by the description here, they probably qualified.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:41, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] ENTNews They seem to be defunct now, but by the description here, they probably qualified.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:41, 24 June 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Entry[reply] Entry[rep 06:23, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OSEN Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] KStyle It is used as a reference in this high-quality reliable source and therefore I presume it is high-quality itself.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:20, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] isplus.live.joins.com (not sure about the formatting either) It's reliable, and it's actually the website for Ilgan Sports which is mentioned below. Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fix the formatting for this website. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:32, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] TV Report A scholarly source here has found it worth citing, so I'd say it's OK]].--Wehwalt (talk) 13:41, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 19:23, 25 June 2022 News1 (this ref also has MOS:CAPS issues) Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ten Asia Listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 14:52, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Sports Today An examination of their website shows that they have an individual, Kim Han-kyung, who is listed as editor/publisher, and who is not the person responsible for writing the content. Accordingly, there seems to be editorial oversight and the professional appearance of that website supports that.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:30, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is it a high-quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe, could you set out your view of a high quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Buidhe 21:11, 24 June the source has a reputation for fact checking or accuracy. Just existing and calling oneself a news website is not enough to count as a RS; many such sources are rated non-reliable by the Wikipedia community. High quality means to me that the source has a strong reputation for factchecking and accuracy, which would be a marginally reliable source. An example of a high-quality RS would be a peer-reviewed article published by researchers in their area of expertise. (t · c) buidhe 23:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd concur with much of that. But even if we grant that the burden of showing "high quality" is on the nominators, it's answered for the ones that the Korean wiki project has found to be reliable, since they're probably in a better position to assess than we are. I'd go so far as to say that where there is such an assessment, the burden would be to show unreliability or bias. As a practical matter, these are the sort of things that can't be definitively settled (since finding sources saying a site has a strong reputation we can garner.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:03, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wehwalt's comment appears to be related to the standard approach taken by Wikipedia for reliable sources which is either to green light them as reliable, or to red light them as unreliable; there is a middle area also used by Wikipedia policy to identify 'use only if editors are the authors, and to exclude contributors who are not editors at the magazine in question. The regular reading of 'high quality' seems to mean a confirmation that they are not red-flagged as to being unreliable sources by Wikipedia. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:38, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Getting back to "Sports Today", it is cited in several high-quality reliable sources listed here and therefore it is presumably high quality itself (the search is for the website's URL).--Wehwalt (talk) 14:20, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] SEDaily Cited in severa high-quality references listed here and therefore presumably high quality reliable.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:20, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] NewsWorks Up to date Korean website for current affairs and news events. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:56, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is it a high-quality RS? (t · c) buildhe 21:11, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's cited in this high-quality reliable source and I presume it the same.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:20, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Arama! Japan. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:44, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That doesn't explain why it's a high-quality RS. (t · c) buidhe 21:08, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cited, twice, in this high quality reliable source.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is it a high-quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:08, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Firstpost is cited in a number of high-quality reliable sources as per this search here (disregard the first one) and therefore I presume that it is high quality reliable.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Elite Daily Elite Daily is an American online news platform founded by David Arabov Jonathon Francis, and Gerard Adams. The site describes its target audience as millennials. Seems fine according to the linked Wikipedia article. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:38, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's cited in a number of high quality reliable sources per this search here and therefore I presume it is the same.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Stereogum This is an award-winning blog, about which we have an article, Stereogum. Scott Lapatine's would seem to qualify as that of an established subject-matter expert, given the blog is 20 years old.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:34, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Stereogum This is an award-winning blog, about which we have an article, Stereogum This is an award-winning blog, about which we have an article stereogum. (UTC)Reply[reply] In the vast majority of instances blog isn't a "high-quality reliable source" even if you could argue SPS. Since the band is made up of a few living people BLPSPS likely applies. (t · c) buidhe 18:25, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've cut that source and also removed the one style of music that seems exclusively sourced to that reference.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:50, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] IZE (this ref also has MOS:QWQ issues) Cannot locate this in current version of article. Where is this quote-within-quote? ErnestKrause (talk) 14:54, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hwang, Hyo-jin (April 1, 2019). "BTS pledges to "tell the story of our generation with our lyrics"" Ref 317 Btspurplegalaxy 14:57, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Fixed quote marks. IZE is a Korean pop culture magazine which follows K-Pop. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:27, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is it a high-quality RS? (t · c) buidhes the content of the cont 21:08, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's also listed at WP:KO/RS Btspurplegalaxy 16:27, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is it a high-quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:09, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is used as a citation in multiple Korean periodicals and books. For example here: [86]. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:55, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Acclaim Magazine on style adn culture. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:37, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cited in a number of high-quality reliable sources, here and therefore presume it's the same.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Muse Industry high quality format fashion magazine in tabloid size format. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:34, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Muse Industry high quality format fashion magazine in tabloid size format. extension of a TV channel in existence for over 10 years, extending to entertainment and sports coverage. ErnestKrause (talk) 16:58, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Business of Fashion Industry fashion magazine which is also sold on Amazon with their business description displayed there. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:34, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why would being sold on Amazon indicate
it's a high-quality RS? (t · c) buidhe 21:07, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cited in high-quality reliable sources here, presume it is the same.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] DyNews24 In Korean, used throughout Wikipedia, for example Lomon. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:29, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That doesn't explain why it's a high-quality RS. (t · c) buidhe 21:07, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cited as a source of this, which is used as a source in this article with its quality unchallenged.--Wehwalt (talk) 14:41, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] JoyNew24 is unreliable, so I removed it and replaced it with the Yohnap News source instead. Btspurplegalaxy 19:04, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is required to be removed per WP:RSP on it Switch cite to International Business Times. ErnestKrause (talk) 14:24, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Refinery29; this is one that is req The Korean Herald. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:22, 25 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] ernestKrause and Wehwalt, I 4:13, 24 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] ernestKrause and Wehwalt, I have left comments above where issues prevail but top job on showing reliability for most of these! Also, I am opening a FAC for Late Registration again if you wish to leave any comments. --K. Peake 06:55, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'm fairly sure all 52 via Naver edits are now in place. Wehwalt also says all the rest seems ready as well. Should be ready for your next set of edit comments when you have a chance to add them. ErnestKrause (talk) 17:56, 26 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] ErnestKrause and Wehwalt I still do take issue with Kyung Hyun Kim's full name being used every instance when I pointed out how you could fix this especially since it is monotonous, brackets () are still used for albums after the first mentions despite it being stated otherwise, refs 134 and 342 are formatted incorrectly and finally, why is HuffPost cited as publisher? --K. Peake 16:49, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Kyle Peake, given that Kim is the surname, wouldn't it be improper to refer to them by their other names? I've removed the brackets outside the lead, fixed the references and cited HuffPost as websites.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:09, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] When he is the most recent Kim mentioned, use the full one again. K. Peake 17:41, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's done.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:45, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] With all of these sorted now, I proudly support this article's candidacy! --K. Peake 20:58, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks indeed for all the work you put in.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:02, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Coordinator comment - at about three weeks in with no strong movement towards a consensus to promote, this nomination is liable to be archived within three or so days unless substantial progress is made. Hog Farm Talk 01:15, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd hope you'd hold off long enough to see if the two substantial reviews we've had result in two supports and also there's a good chance at having the source review passed.--Wehwalt (talk) 01:55, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I wholeheartedly disagree, as there have been heavy efforts to improve this article. --K. Peake 20:58, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] And I'd ask coordinators to notice FrB.TG's comment above that they've been ill and are just getting back to finish their review. Wehwalt (talk) 22:50, 27 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review pass File:BTS during a White House press conference May 31, 2022 (cropped).jpg - Consider adding personality rights warning - US Federal government image - PD - verified - okay Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 2:26, 30 June 2022 (UTC) File:Bangtan Boys at the Incheon Music Center in September 2013 02.jpg - CC 4.0 image - verified by a Commons admin - but I cannot verify. Source link is now broken - consider adding archive link - consider adding personality rights warning - probably okay Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 2:34, 30 June 2022 (UTC) File:BTS win first Daesang (Grand Prize) at Melon Music Awards, 19 November 2016.jpg - CC 4.0 image - verified by a Commons admin - but I cannot verify - probably okay File:Bangtan Boys at KCON France 2016.jpg = Flickr CC 2.0 image - okay File:170529 BTS at a press conference for the BBMAs (3).png - Cc 0 image craeted by a Wikipedian. No Freedom of Panorama in the US but okay to take photos of buildings from a public place - okay File: BTS at 2017 American Music Awards in Los Angeles, 19 November 2017 02.jpg - Korean OG licence - verified -okay File:BTS Love Yourself - Speak has been taken down - probably okay I added the archive link Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 2:56, 30 June 2022 (UTC) File:180524 BTS at a press conference for Love Yourself Tear (3).png - the copyright holder has since changed the licensing to be more restrictive - permission for use cannot be revoked by the owner once given - probably okay File:BTS at American Music Awards November 21, 2021.jpg - Consider adding personality rights warning - CC 3.0 image - verified - okay Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 2:29, 30 June 2022 (UTC) File:190601 Jin Wembley Stadium Day 1 Ay-Oh Chant.webm - CC 3.0 image - verified - okay File:BTS with President Biden at the White House for 2022 AAPI Heritage Month on May 31, 2022.jpg - Consider adding personality rights warning - US Federal government image - PD - verified - okay Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 2:29, 30 June 2022 (UTC) Hawkeye7 (discuss) 21:05, 29 June 2022 (UTC) Hawkeye7 (discuss) 21:05 (UTC)Reply[reply] Suggest adding alt text to all the images for accessibility per MOS:ACCIM. See MOS:ALT for examples. -- EN-Jungwon 14:54, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support Comments by Hawkeye7 I don't know a thing about K-Pop and don't even know the difference between a vocalist and a rapper. But while I'm here: Referencessibility per MOS:ACCIM. See MOS:ALT for examples. required for the Concert toours section Cites added. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:59, 29 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Otherwise looks good. Hawkeye7 (discuss) 21:16, 29 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks for the reviews and support. I'll fine-tune anything necessary on the images tomorrow.--Wehwalt (talk) 02:07, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks for the reviews and support. I'll fine-tune anything necessary on the images tomorrow.--Wehwalt (talk) 02:07, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Many thanks for the reviews and support. Comments from Ippantekina I have not thoroughly examine the article. Here are some comments from my first impression: Kudos to the scholarly sources! Removed and placed with Billboard source. Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 4:16, 30 June 2022 (UTC) I wonder if this is necessary: In the United Kingdom, BTS is the first impression: Kudos to the scholarly sources! Removed and placed with Billboard source. Korean group to receive BPI certification, and holds seven silver singles,[398] one gold single,[399] one platinum single,[400] seven silver album certifications,[401] and three gold album certifications.[402]" the claim "the first Korean group to receive BPI certification is unsourced, and the listing of all certifications appears as WP:INDISCRIMINATE The same goes to the specific listing of RIAA certs; I suggest adding only the overalls (i.e. xx million digital singles certified) I've cut this. Having a running total is probably not going to be worth it.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:27, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Use { {lang}} to correctly render foreign-language names Use |script-title=ko: (or |script-title=ja:) in {{cite web}} to correctly render foreign-language website titles I've added them all. Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:22, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I must say the prose needs thorough fine-tuning I've gone through it. Can you take a second look?--Wehwalt (talk) 19:32, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The language is not up to standards at some places, i.e. how do you define "Moderate success" or "Worldwide recognition"? with UNICEF celebrating its success how successful was the campaign? Was it measurable/quantifiable? and attracted many new fans WP:PEACOCK. This demonstrated the growing power of the band's fanbase POV; the number speaks for itself. "a dual
exploration of the group's electro-pop and hip-hop leanings" this can be safely paraphrased without quotation marks. Such language may be appropriate for a GA, but not for an FA. I've gone through it and taken out anything that might be construed as peacocky, in particular changing the mentions you've pointed out.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:32, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Three subsections for a two-to-three-year chunk are a lot! I know they have been a smash and broken numerous records, but still, remove whatever can be removed and use summary style. I've cut out what I thought was relatively trivial.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:32, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The article is a little sprawling and some bits of information can be safely excluded—i.e. In April, BTS became the first South Korean artist to sell more than 20 million figure in the Awards section is enough) or "Dynamite" remained at number two, making BTS the fourth group (after the Beatles, Bee Gees, and OutKast) to simultaneously occupy the top two spots on the Hot 100 (if they are the fourth group to achieve this feat it can be left in the song article). Information on the evolution of themes/styles can be grouped altogether in the "Artistry" section. I've deleted a fair amount along these lines.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:32, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] — Ippantekina (talk) 03:45, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oppose Comment by CactiStaccingCrane Oppose Comment: Sorry for being addressed, I don't feel comfortable this article getting a FA status. To be very blunt, I feel that the section is too promotional, with phrases such as the fandom regularly embraces activism on charitable causes and socio-political issues, charitable causes but completely rewritten in order to comply with neutrality and somewhat shorten to comply our due proportions policy. Otherwise, great work on BTS, and I'm happy to struck my oppose once my concern is addressed. CactiStaccingCrane (talk) 03:58, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I"ve cut some. But the fandom is not puffing, this part of the article is very heavily supported by scholarly sources. I've been involved in music fandom in my time, and the fans' aspirations were heavily focused on the music and on the band members. This is different. To cite from the scholarly sources, Chang and Park, p. 268, "On the whole, we find that the fandoms, constituted through the digital intimacies of cyberspace, gradually proceed from the realm of personal relations and individual experience to an expanding sympathy with social, and even political, issues that organically connect to the experiences of BTS and ARMY members. A moving target, as this living phenomenon has extended in real time to the global stage, it has started to reveal its cultural and social complexity and potential to both reflect and drive social change." Or Lee and Kao, p. 81: "BTS ARMY is extremely well-organized and was able to help motivate BTS to issue a statement and donate funds. In fact, the effectiveness of the fandom has been repeatedly demonstrated in their ardent support of BTS, but in this situation they prompted BTS to act on a political issue. Most recently, the rise of anti-Asian hate crimes and negative bias incidents due to COVID-19 in the U.S. and elsewhere has led to the hashtag #stopasianhate and #stopAAPIhate. In March 2021, BTS released a statement utilizing the above hashtags to condemn racism against Asian Americans, and stated that they had also experienced racism as Asians when traveling outside of Korea. Their statements resonated with fans across the world and with Asian Americans, as well as Asians in other Western countries. The political power of the BTS ARMY is important for K-pop itself because it shows the possible trajectory of K-pop as a global cultural phenomenon." It isn't puffing, it's a thing.--Wehwalt (talk) 13:07, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] CactiStaccingCrane, I'd be grateful if you'd have another look at this and perhaps review the sources we used, most of which are online.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:34, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Struck my oppose. The section is much better than before without the PR-sounding "non-hierarchical collective intelligence that transcend cultural and national borders" phrase. CactiStaccingCrane (talk) 04:39, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from Lil-Unique1 Resolved comments from Lil-unque1 The overall article size per WP:SIZE is on the upper limits of what we'd expect for a single article. At 233b its approaching the territory where we might we want to split the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. The present version of the article for Cultural impact and legacy of BTS. disagree that that was BTS have done is a form of soft power, it is original research to say this and synthesis unless specific sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. So what you want is sources have called it out themselves. 23:18, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The second paragraph of the Music Style section is completely synthesised and overly detailed. I'd remove this. Will shorten or remove at this time. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:18, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the influences section, picking out specific songs is overly detailed for an overview. Keeping 1-2 songs as examples might be useful?... ErnestKrause (talk) 23:18, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Some of the excessive detail. Going to one paragraph version of that section now. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:26, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Some of the excessive detail. Recently this was checked for Korean and Japanese; if any are still there possibly you can list them here. Regarding CAPS, I seem to recall that some of the Korean and Japanese; if any are still there possibly you can list them here. Regarding CAPS, I seem to recall that some of the Korean and Japanese; if any are still there possibly you can list them here. current format. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:18, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've found the following that might be worth changing: Ref 110 has a red link: The Asahi Shimbum. Typo resolved.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 220, BLACKPINK is all in caps - not required per MOS:ALLCAPS Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY is an acronym (see Fandom section) and takes all caps.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ref 81 BTS ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't agree, ARMY - change army to sentence case Don't a $(talk) - 21:11, 5 July 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Ref 372 has caps in the title Done.-Wehwalt (talk) 21:33, 4 July 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] These are the initial comments from me. >> Lil-Unique1 -{ Talk }- 22:42, 1 July 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Good to see your$ comments. Wehwalt should have a second look in the morning when he signs in. Ready for next set of edit comments when they are available. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:18, 1 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've also found some potentially high instances of copy vio. There's a few quotes/attribution to the following links that need fixing: (49.2% similarity) compare (29.1% similarity) compare Use the link I've provided to the copyright tool to check when these are fixed. >> Lil-unique1 (talk) — 21:24, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The copyright tool in this case seems to be keying on keywords which the two articles have in common, though I could find no copyrio issues other than false positives which seem to be related to a large number of common words and terms; for example your article uses "BTS' RM and Suga talk mental health, depression, and connecting with fans" are all common words and phrases related
to talk about 'mental health, depression, and connecting with fans" are all common words and phrases related to talk about 'mental health', and 'depression', etc. Still, if you believe that you have an instance of comparing one full sentence in your articles to one full sentence in the Wikipedia article, then you can list the A-to-B comparison here. I've not been able to see anything other than the high number of common words and phrases used in describing mental health issues etc. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:48, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It needs paraphrasing. Its not just certain words randomly its an entire phrase/sentence(s)/clauses. Paraphrase or reword in your own words. Other than that I can't find much else to fault here tbh. >> Lil-unique1 (talk) — 21:13, 5 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Time magazine one is due entirely to quotes, all I think said by RM, that are common to both articles, and we've put them in quotation marks and attributed them properly. For the EW, again, a lot of it is quotes both articles use and what words ARMY stands for, but I've paraphrased the remainder.--Wehwalt (talk) - 22:31, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from me. Happy that this article is written to a good standard. >> Lil-unique1 (talk) - 22:31, 9 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from me. Thank you for the review and support.--Wehwalt (talk) 01:12, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from TheSandDoctor I have given this a readthrough and I am satisfied that the prose meets the standards becoming of a featured article. Well done, ErnestKrause, Wehwalt, and Btspurplegalaxy! --TheSandDoctor Talk 02:29, 4 July 2022 y] Comments from Heartfox In August 2014, BTS released their first Korean studio album Dark & Wild" — nothing in the ref supported by two singles: "Danger" — not supported by ref "to a crowd of 6,500 fans" — not supported by ref Three unsourced facts (UTC)Reply[reply in one paragraph is concerning. Heartfox (talk) 21:49, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've added in extra citations for each of the items you have listed above. The section you've been reading was recently trimmed for size at the request of other editors and I have restored those citations and done some rewrites. The tour you ask about was a large success for BTS in 2014-2015. Ready for next set of edit requests when you have time to add them here. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:39, 4 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from AJona1992 Resolved comments from AJona1992 Overuse of "debuting" in the lead as a means of BTS coming into fruition in the music market. As well as the usage of "numerous" seems WP:PEACOCKish. Other examples include "youth" (mainstream section), and lead single throughout the article I've changed a couple of examples, but that is the accepted term for their trilogy. I don't understand the issue with lead single, it's an accepted term.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:38, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Just because a word is a proper term doesn't excuse it from being overly used. I have no issue with "lead single", the issue is reading it in every sentence. - jona 🗵 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What other terminology could be used? I cannot possibly think of a better word to use than that one. Btspurplegalaxy 23:48, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There aren't any, all I was saying is that using "lead single" in every mention of an album; the leadoff single, it, etc., or quite frankly just mentioning the song following the mention of an album establishes the song was released first, why would you start off with a song release that wasn't its lead in the first place? - jona 🖾 17:02, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've cut it back to four uses, the first of them being linked.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:36, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is the first instance of US not the United States? Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:38, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What was the certification from the RIAA? The article states it as: "They became the first Korean ensemble to receive a certification from the RIAA? The article states it as: "They became the first act from the RIAA? The article states it as: "They became the first Korean ensemble to receive a certification from the Recording Industry Association of America (RIAA) for their single "Mic Drop", as well as the first act from the RIAA? South Korea to top the Billboard 200 with their studio album Love Yourself: Tear (2018). BTS became one of the few groups since the Beatles with four US number-one albums in less than two years, and Love Yourself: Answer (2018) was the first Korean album certified platinum by the RIAA." ErnestKrause (talk) 23:47, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] And what is that certification? The issue still stands. - jona 🗵 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Platinum certification. Btspurplegalaxy 21:46, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is Gold in caps but platinum isn't? Also, why link RIAA certification for platinum isn't? Also, why link RIAA certification. Btspurplegalaxy 21:46, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Platinum isn't? July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've standardized those I think.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:36, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] When did the Beatles and Justin Timberlake accomplish said feats? That information is included in the body of the article. The lead is intended as a summary, and not everything can appear in a summary.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:45, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] A lead should be a good summarization of the article, for sure. These happen to be feats previously accomplished by other artists, you've only provided who last did it and not when it last was accomplished, not just who. - jona 🖾 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the lead section, I have added the years when the feats were accomplished. Btspurplegalaxy 23:21, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "for their contributions to spreading "? Agreed, and that is how the source puts it. Changed.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:45, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The change in plan was because album sales in the music industry," - "Following dwindling along those lines.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:45, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There are a few instance in my initial read of the article where I've found awakward wording: "as well for as for placing" (2010-2014), "was restarted on June 6, 2015 in Malaysia and toured Australia," (2014-2017), I think I fixed those.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:02, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Is "industry insiders" the same thing as music executives? Their debut appearance? Sprinkel says 200 industry and media members.--Wehwalt (talk) 19:02, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "held their first fan meeting in Seoul" what is the importance of this sentence? Given the importance that BTS fandom would take on, including the interaction with the band, it's not out of line, I hope, to trace the roots of that relationship a bit.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:49, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I still do not see the importance of their first fan meeting, nothing happened during that event and it's not like other artists have never done such meeting, nothing happened during that event and it's not like other artists have never done such meeting. so I've added that.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "World Digital Songs Chart" - "World Digital Song Sales chart" Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:49, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is the World Albums chart linked in its second appearance in the article body and not the first? Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:49, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "eight of the tracks reached" would prefer to use impacted since you didn't mention their first appearance on the Billboard 200? The citations states: "Pt. 2 also hit new peaks by hitting No. 1 on both Heatseekers Albums (where Pt. 1) and World Albums (where Pt. 1) and World Albums (where Pt. 1) and World Albums (where Pt. 2)." If that's useful then it may be added if needed. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:41, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Their first appearance on the Billboard 200 is definitely important. - jona 🗵 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It is now.--Wehwalt (talk) 22:10, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What was the commercial and critical reception of Youth? You've only mentioned it as a release prior to Wings. Added two sentences about its commercial performance with citations. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:37, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Pre-orders of it broke the record for most albums sold in a month" in which market? "in South Korea" Btspurplegalaxy 19:06, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Billboard is a US music chart, why constantly add US in every mention of their charts? I've removed about a dozen of these; they should now be removed from the article. The over 100 cites to Billboard tended not to use US when used. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:32, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The Bubbling Under Hot 100 is a 25-song extension of the Hot 100 and readers unfamiliar with music charts published by Billboard will not understand that. For instance, if the song peaked at number two, without explaining the rules of the Bubbling Under Hot 100, will read as the song peaked at number two, and not their peak performance. Unless their chart entry was notable and Billboard provided an analyst on that, then peak positions should take precedence over just saying "X and Y entered the chart". That source is only used in one place in this article. Changing performance description with other citations. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:14, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Saying "high demand" is unnecessary as "demand" suffices. Done in one case, in the other the whole phrase deleted.--Wehwalt (talk) 18:49, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "achieving the highest monthly sales in the Gaon Album Chart's history" source doesn't say that. Rewrite the performance of the EP with replacement chart performance. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:14, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "making them the first K-pop boy band to have one" one what? Have an entry on the Billboard Hot 100. Would you
phrase it differently?--Wehwalt (talk) 18:49, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yea, I would explain what that "one" is. - jona 🖾 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It's explained now. This is what I have on the first read of the first few sections. - jona 14:38, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That should bring your list of edit comments up to date. REady for next set of edit comments when available. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:48, 8 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I think the article's been put through the wringer, and has come a long way since the start of this FAC. I'd suggest we're approaching consensus to promote.--Wehwalt (talk) 01:16, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 17:51, 14 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi AJona1992, are there further comments to come? Gog the Mild (talk) 1 days now, and appears to be a Wikipbreak at this time. ErnestKrause (talk) 15:51, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "their first number one hit" remove "hit" per WP:PEACOCK, saying it reached atop the chart illustrates its success. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:03, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "a remake of Seo Taiji 1995 "Come Back Home"" - "a remake of Seo Taiji's "Come Back Home" (1995)" Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:05, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "well-being among children and young people" aren't they the same thing? just ax children Did that.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There is an italicization issue in the second para of the 2018-2020 section. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:51, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "started at number one its first week" change to debuted Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:48, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Again remove "hit" the two times it is mentioned in the same para I've removed both from that paragraph.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:15, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "breaking the chart's all-time monthly record again" -> needs polishing, there are better ways to rephrase that sentence. I've rephrased.--Wehwalt (talk) 12:15, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "their highest US sales week in the country to that point." which was? Added.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "later" as each instance of it is unnecessary; including mentions in Map of the Soul: Persona (also replace "ever" with "all-time"), the soundtrack, "Map of the Soul: Persona, stadium world tour and BTS World" section, "Later" removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in that context.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "certified units."
— removed everywhere when in the same sentence, and while the same sentence when the same sen you're at it, please remove the extra period. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 22:11, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the first act from South Korea to appear." -> this sentence is not finished Clarified.-Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:36, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "marking the first time a Korean artist achieved a million shipments for a single in Japan, and setting a record if you say "marking the first time" Removed.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:22, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "and rose up 80 places in the following week to number one " - I can tell you guys love to overexplain things. Just say it peaked at number one the following week, rising from number 80 to number one isn't college-level calculus. Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 02:41, 18 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "On August 8, 2019, "Lights" received Million certification from the RIAJ" - missing a word here I think that's fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:22, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "For the final stop of their record-breaking" -> what record breaking" -> what record-breaking" and says there were more than 2,000,000 attendees for the tour but I'm not sure that is the record breaking" -> what record-breaking" -> what record breaking" -> what record breaking" -> what record-breaking" -> what record breaking" -> what record breaking => spoken of.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:27, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "became the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove "in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove "in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove "in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists in history" \rightarrow another example of overexplaining, remove the first artists artist overlinking of "On (song)" Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 03:19, 18 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "On the genre-specific chart" -> redundant Deleted.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "On November 30, "Life Goes On" debuted at number one on the Billboard Hot 100 char" -> why are we learning about its chart performance two sentences after you talked about it being released? Rearranged.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "in just three months" -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> bias, remove Just cut there and in one other spot ("just nine days") "since the Beatles in 1964 to do so." -> awkward English removed the "to do so." -> awkward E (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove "hits" Substituted "entries".--Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Coming at number one," -> needs to be fixed Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Coming at number one," -> needs to be fixed Fixed.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Billboard Singles Chart" -> there are over 100 Billboard Singles Chart" -> ther (UTC)Reply[reply] "YouTube channel on April 17, this time" > remove "this time" Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:07, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove "hits" "released the collaboration single" > yet another example of overexplaining, remove. Done.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:46, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Overlinking of 64th annual Grammy Awards Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 21:29, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What does the author mean by "black music"? Influences of music performed by black artists? That would be correct. Btspurplegalaxy (22:57, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's what I have from my read of the cut.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Early songs," - is a term used by fans, it needs to be encyclopedic. Remove since you wrote that those songs were from their trilogy. I don't understand this issue.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Remove "Early songs". - jona 🗵 17:02, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Rephrased.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:36, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the youngest ever recipients" -> remove "ever" Done Btspurplegalaxy (talk) 20:47, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "the youngest ever recipients" -> removed. Not every award has been stated, and it's useful for the reader to have the statistics in one place.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:45, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's why List of awards and nominations received by BTS exists. Remove the awards already stated elsewhere in the article and keep the ones not mentioned. - jona 🗵 17:02, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's why List of awards already stated elsewhere in the article and keep the ones not mentioned. - jona 🖾 17:02, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's why List of awards and nominations received by BTS exists. (UTC)Reply[reply] Removed.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:18, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The filmography section is supposed to be what? a definition of what a filmography is? Listed some of their content Btspurplegalaxy 22:26, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's what I have from my read of the article. Best - jona 🗵 19:27, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] AJona1992, I think we've either done or responded to everything. --Wehwalt (talk) 17:49, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] AJona1992, I think I've gotten everything.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:18,

25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Besides an ambiguous quote of what "record-breaking" that Billboard reported, I am leaning towards support, though weak support are on the right track. this version. I'll have to skip anything in Korean. I've asked for supported by two singles: "Boy in Luv" (Korean: ; RR: Sang-namja) and "Just One Day" (Korean: ; RR: Haruman)." The citations show those singles exist, and one of them mentions Skool Luv Affair, but what does it mean to say these singles "supported" the EP? A single from an album supports that album; were these singles also tracks on the EP? If so this is OK. Yes, the sources are okay, as the singles mentioned are featured on the album. Btspurplegalaxy 02:23, 18 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [51] covers "BTS experimented with other styles of music besides hip hop in The Most Beautiful Moment in Life, Part 1, released in 2015."; can you quote the passage in Kim that supports this? [78] covers "moving over 1.5 million copies in South Korea that year and becoming the best-selling album in Gaon Album Chart history"; I see support for the second half of this but not for 1.5 million copies that year. [98] OK. [103] OK. [130] and [131] OK. [176] covers "All three albums of the Love Yourself: Tear later gained silver certification by the BPI for sales in the UK, becoming their third album to do so following Love Yourself: Answer and Map of the Soul: Persona." It supports the second sentence but does not appear to support the first sentence. Added source to support first sentence. Btspurplegalaxy 22:47, 21 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [206] OK. [218] covers "Dynamite" debuted at number one on the US Billboard Hot 100 chart, becoming the fastest-selling single since Swift's "Look What You Made Me Do" in 2017—earning BTS their first chart topper and making them the first all-South Korean act (second Asian act overall) to earn a number one spot, but doesn't actually say it was its first week; I think that's OK though. However, I don't see support for the comparison to Swift or for the "first all-South Korean act (second Asian act overall)" comment. I'm looking at the text in the archive? replaced source and added an additional one supporting "first all-South Korean act (second Asian act overall)" Btspurplegalaxy 05:00, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [254] covers "At the end of that month, BTS held their first live performances before an in-person audience since before the pandemic. The band played four sold-out shows at SoFi Stadium in Los Angeles as a continuation of their Permission to Dance on Stage concert series." The review cited is, annoyingly, undated as far as I can see; not that there's any doubt about the dating, but if you could cite something that gives the second sentence. Changed the edit over to indicate the actual dates of the concert performances. ErnestKrause (talk) 19:36, 22 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [272] OK. [296] is the citation for "BTS' lyrics have also addressed topics outside youth culture specifically. The song "Am I Wrong" from Wings questioned societal apathy towards the state of current events; the lyric "We're all dogs and pigs / we become dogs because we're angry" referenced South Korean Ministry of Education official Na Hyang-wook, who was a proponent of the caste system and described the average person as "dogs and pigs". BTS performed the song on television during the 2016 South Korean political scandal that led to the impeachment of former president Park Geun-hye." Two issues here: first, I don't think we can say "referenced", since the cited text specifically says it's only inferable and not made explicit by the band or the song. Second, I don't see any mention of a TV performance. Rewrite sentence to remove WP:NOR. ErnestKrause (talk) 22:35, 19 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [332] covers "In 2020, BTS were given the James A. Van Fleet Award in recognition of their outstanding contributions to the promotion of US-Korea relations; to date, they are the youngest honorees and only musicians to receive the award". I don't see support for the second half of this. Added a source that supports them being the youngest honorees. I've also doubled check the recipients, and BTS are not the first musicians, so I removed that part entirely. Btspurplegalaxy 00:41, 18 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [338] covers "The fan community...pushes to feature BTS' music on radio stations and television"; can you quote here the text from Ju that supports this? "To achieve this, ARMY organised "BTSx50states,"44 a digital promotional fanbase for pushing BTS's tracks to local radio stations ARMY even distributed online tactical manuals outlining maneuvers for cases where a station either accepted or refused their selection of music. However, ARMY did not stop here; they started campaigning online for BTS to appear and perform on American television.".--Wehwalt (talk) 01:09, 20 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That works. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:59, 20 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This is 17 citations, covering 15 chunks of text in the article; of the 13 I was able to check, at least 6 seem to fail. That's an alarming rate. Can you check those citations and see if I've misread those sources? Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 20:34, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What we're going to do is go through every citation and check them, then ask for a re-check. Can we have a week?--Wehwalt (talk) 21:54, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mike Christie (talk) 21:54, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Yes, that's fine with me. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:33, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Wehwalt (talk) 14:14, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Great; will take another look. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 15:13, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Second pass: [7] & [8], supporting "BTS was formed in 2010, after Big Hit Entertainment CEO Bang Si-hyuk wanted to form a hip hop group around RM (Kim Namjoon), an underground rapper who was well known on the music scene in Seoul. BTS was originally supposed to be a hip hop group, but, seeing falling album sales, he changed his plans, thinking a different path would be individuals rather than an ensemble, and free to express themselves." I have access to [7]; can you quote the text in Sprinkel that also supports this? As far as I can see, we need [8] to cover RM/Kim Namjoon being well-known in Seoul, and the change in plans from hip hop. [7] covers the rest. """he had a particular vision for a hip-hop group. He wanted to build it around Kim Namjoon, an underground rapper who was well established on the Seoul scene before signing on with Bang in 2010. ... "Meanwhile album sales were suffering industry-wide, and thinking it more viable, Bang pivoted to a more performance-based model that brought in aspects from typical idol group"--Wehwalt (talk) 16:11, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 16:43, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [63]: OK. [84]: OK. [144] & [145] supports "In February 2019, BTS attended the 61st Grammy Awards for the first time as award presenters." I think this is OK but is perhaps imprecisely phrased in the text -- one could read that as meaning they had never attended the Grammys before. How about "In February 2019, BTS attended the 61st Grammy Awards as the first K-Pop award presenters"? And I didn't need to use [145] for that, so perhaps it can be cut? It's not wrong as that was the first time they had ever attended the Grammys. Btspurplegalaxy 17:20, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK, but as far as I can see that's not what the source is saying. The source says this is the first time they've presented at the Grammys, e.g. as nominees. The text in the article now could be read either way, but since the source can't be read both ways, I would change the text to unambiguously say what the source supports. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 17:45, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [173]: OK. [203]: OK. [213] & [214], supporting "Dynamite" debuted at number one on the US Billboard Hot 100 chart, becoming the fastest-selling single since Swift's "Look What You Made Me Do" in 2017—earning BTS their first chart topper and making them the first all-South Korean act (second Asian act overall)
to earn a number one single in the US.' I don't see the reference to Swift's single in either source. Add citation for performance of Taylor Swift's commercial reception. ErnestKrause (talk) 18:46, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [224], supporting "The single also topped the Billboard Global 200, becoming their second number one entry and making BTS the first artist to have multiple songs top Billboard's recently created global singles chart.": This is paywalled; can you quote the text that supports this? "BTS becomes the first act to have tallied multiple No. 1s on the Global 200, as "Savage Love" follows the septet's "Dynamite," which has spent a week at the summit and this week slips from No. 2 to No. 3." Btspurplegalaxy 17:33, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 17:42, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [251], supporting "Between November 27 and December 2, BTS held their first live performances before an in-person audience since before the pandemic. The band played four sold-out shows at SoFi Stadium in Los Angeles as a continuation of their Permission to Dance on Stage concert series." I don't see the reference to Permission to Dance or to the shows being sold out. Both are implied or perhaps deducible so I am not too concerned but it would be better to source them fully. Add Frankeberg citation from Billboard for the numbers on SoFi stadium performances. ErnestKrause (talk) 18:52, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [266]: OK. [273], supporting "The Love Yourself series was primarily influenced by Erich Fromm's The Art of Loving". I don't see this in the source. Erich Fromm's The Art of Loving". I don't see this in the source has since been listed by Wikipedia as unreliable and is dropped. Including cite for inspiration for Into the Magic Shop instead. ErnestKrause (talk) 18:59, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Added it back as it's reliable per KO/RS. Added Yohnap News Agency source supporting Erich Fromm's work influencing LY series. Btspurplegalaxy 20:14, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [332] OK. [365]: OK. This is definitely better, but I have questions about three of the citations above, and requests I'm OK with that if the coords are. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 17:08, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] It won't take me long. I'm working as we speak.--Wehwalt (talk) 17:19, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mike Christie, if you could take another look? Many thanks.--Wehwalt (talk) 20:51, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Convenience break Another pass. Footnote numbers refer to this version. [6] OK. [11] is the citation for "The band members lived together, practicing up to 15 hours a day, and first performed before a small crowd of industry insiders in 2013." Can you quote the text in Sprinkel (pp. 46-7) that supports this? "With the lineup finally set, the recruits embarked on a grueling training process during which all seven members were constantly together. They lived together, practiced together, and learned together. It was physically and emotionally demanding. Leading up to their debut, they were practicing 12 to 15 hours each day. They were BTS—Bangtan Sonyeondan, the Bulletproof Boy Scouts—and they made their official debut to a room of 200 industry and media members in 2013."--Wehwalt (talk) 22:25, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [24] OK. [54] is the cite for The Most Beautiful... reaching 171 on the Billboard chart. I'm not seeing it in the linked page, but I'm not sure I'm seeing the page I would see if I had a subscription -- can you check? You have to click on the drop down menu and it's under "Billboard 200".--Wehwalt (talk) 22:51, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:30, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. [104] OK, but this is one of those "scheduled to" cites - library) 00:30, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. it would be better to find a cite that they did perform. I don't consider this a problem for this spot check. See cite 375 below. ErnestKrause (talk) 13:49, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [111] OK. [183] OK. [183] OK. [198] OK as far as I can see, but the paywall is stopping me from seeing the bit about the Beatles -- can you quote that? It's in the archived version. "The last group to generate four No. 1s faster than BTS was The Beatles, who took just one year and five months between Yesterday and Today (July 30, 1966) and Magical Mystery Tour (Jan. 6, 1968)."--Wehwalt (talk) 03:35, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [202] OK. [203] OK. [250] OK. [270] OK. [270] OK. [271] OK. [271] OK. [271] OK. [271] OK. [271] OK. [272] OK. [272] OK. [272] OK. [272] OK. [273] OK. [273] OK. [274] OK. [276] OK (talk) 23:55, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The quote is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, one of the "Big Three" Korean music producer and CEO of BigHit Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, founder of SM Entertainment, home of BTS, explains that "Black music is "Lee Soo-man, foun the base. Even when doing many genres like house, urban, and PBR&B, there's no change to the fact that it is Black music".--Wehwalt (talk) 03:24, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [289] OK. [292] OK. [293] OK. labeling them the "Princes of Pop"; can you quote the supporting text? The digital archive, though here is an image of the original print version here [89]. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:49, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:32, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [316] cites "Writers identified BTS as leaders even among other highly influential K-pop groups such as Girls' Generation, Super Junior, Exo, Twice, and Blackpink"; can you quote the text from p. 13 of Youna Kim that supports this? "While K-pop construction has traditionally been dominated by "Big 3" entertainment companies (SM, YG and JYP) since the mid-1990s, BTS of Big Hit Entertainment since their debut in 2013 has created a global phenomenon that is more widely recognized and influential. The success of K-pop bands, such as Girls' Generation Super Junior, Big Bang, EXO, TWICE, BTS and Blackpink, is a direct outcome of the star system's intense training to deliver a very polished and easily identifiable show. "--Wehwalt (talk) 22:57, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:33, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [326] OK. [345] cites "Feedback from ARMY to BTS affects the group's actions and lyrics; BTS has eliminated certain Korean words that sound like American racial slurs from their songs and ended collaboration with a Japanese producer when Korean ARMY members deemed his views extreme": can you quote the text from pp. 25-27 of Ju that supports this? See Wehwalt on #347 below. ErnestKrause (talk) 23:56, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Anti-racism within the ARMY fandom also premediates BTS's live performances. In 2018, black ARMY members reported being harassed and attacked online with racial slurs.42 After that, black ARMY members established an anti-racial community within the fandom, which created the hashtag #BlackARMY sequality.43 In line with the anti-racial movement within ARMY, in 2018 BTS eliminated some words from their new album Fake Love, such as 7 and 7, which are pronounced niga and naega. Although these words mean "you" and "I" in Korean, respectively, they sound racist in English pronunciation as they are similar to words used to discriminate against African-Americans. ... For example, the collaboration between BTS and a Japanese producer in 2018 was aborted due to the opposition of the Korean ARMY.48 The Korean ARMY opposed such cooperation because the referred producer is a right-wing extremist who supports the Japanese occupation of Korea's Joseon Dynasty in the first half of the 20th century.49 Still, foreign ARMY members criticised Korean ARMY for not taking a reasonable stance on the issue.50"--Wehwalt (talk) 03:31, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [347] cites "The band members themselves agree and have long acknowledged their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this?
"The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel that supports this? "The lovefest between BTS and their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel their fans' role in their success"; can you quote the text on p. 144 of Sprinkel text o And at the end of the day, those seven members acknowledge that none of it would have happened without their supporters. "ARMY is everything. ARMY is the reason we're here," echoed RM."--Wehwalt (talk) 22:51, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] OK. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:33, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] [355] OK. [375] OK, but as with [104] it would be better to have a citation that post-dates the tour. I think a simple reference that the tour happened would be enough, combined with this one to provide the show dates. Added cite to clarify from post-date perspective. ErnestKrause (talk) 00:12, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Just one possible problem, and a handful of cases where I've requested a quote of the text I can't access. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 22:15, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No worries. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 22:30, 25 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Checked off a few above. The only ones left are [198], [281], and [345]. ErnestKrause, I saw your note about [281] above, but the goal of a spotcheck is to check that the sources already in the article support the text, so the Guardian articles, while they might be useful to fix a citation issue, aren't what I'm looking for here. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 00:37, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Mike, I got up at midnight to complete the three above. That should be everything, possibly excepting the bit about the tours, which I'll look at in the morning.--Wehwalt (talk) 03:35, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pass. I took a look at the last three points above and all are fine; this third pass through came up 100% clean, which is a relief -- it would have been hard not to fail the spotcheck. Mike Christie (talk contribs - library) 10:46, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thank you for bearing with us on this. Buidhe, you stated you would not strike your oppose?--Wehwalt (talk) 12:55, 26 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No response. I would suggest that we've done everything requested, and that there is consensus for promotion.--Wehwalt (talk) 21:38, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pursuant to WP:FACSUPPORTOPPOSE I have left a note on Buidhe's talk page informing her that the nominators are of the opinion that her oppose has been addressed in full. The diff is here. I've also, as prescribed in WP:FACSUPPORTOPPOSE, left notice on this page directly after her signature that her concerns have been resolved. That diff is here.--Wehwalt (talk) 16:31, 30 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] This article is about the 2016 edition of the World Snooker Championship. Mark Selby won the event defeating Ding Junhui in the final. Let me know your thoughts. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 09:37, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 17:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 20:21, 2 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 20:21, 2 June 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 20:21, 2 June 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 20:21, 2 June 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review—pass (t · c) buildhe 20:21, 2 June 20:22 (UTC)Reply[reply] Image review know if you object to anything. What is a "ranking event"? It's an event that carries snooker world rankings points. It's probably a bit difficult to spell that out without it being overly detailed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:45, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The event was the tenth and last ranking event of the 2015-2016 season." - 'The event was the tenth and last event of the 2015-2016 season that carried snooker world ranking points' doesn't seem difficult to me. Apologies GTM, I've been a bit busy with something else. Sure, I've made this change. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 08:46, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "All the other players". Which group is made up of who? Added to summary. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:51, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "to within one at 10-9." Missing word? Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:51, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "210 million viewers from China." I don't think we need both "from China." I don't think we ne 10:51, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Why is the text on qualifying not in chronological order? Ie, before the text on the first round. This is pretty standard - I have asked in the past, but currently there is no consensus to have qualification before the main draw. Probably as it's much less important and can be quite long on these pages. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:51, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I am not referring to the rather smart graphics. You write about qualifying in some detail in "Tournament summary#Seeding and qualifying in some detail in "Tournament summary#Seeding and just a brief summary left to introduce "Qualifying", as you do with "Main draw". I get your concern. However, I do feel like it would bloat up the format section to include the names of invited players, for instance. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over this, but checking other, so in the contribute of the names of invited players. For instance, Lee Vilenski (talk • contribute) 08:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ho hum. I might be inclined to argue further over the contribute of the contribute of the names of invited players (talk • contribute of the c similar, promoted articles this approach has clearly been acceptable to other reviewers. Which I find a little odd, but so be it. Otherwise well up to your usual standard. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:49, 4 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I have. Nice work. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:49, 4 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I have. Nice work. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:49, 4 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I have. Nice work. Gog the Mild (talk) 19:49, 4 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I have. Nice work. Gog the Mild (talk) 10:48, 16 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] That's all I have.
Nice work are constant of the review. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:51, 5 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from NØ Try to avoid starting two consecutive sentences with "it" in the lead's first paragraph. Maybe the second one can be "The event was the tenth and last of the 2015-16 snooker season that carries ranking points." Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The defending champion was Stuart Bingham" - Active voice if possible Sorry, I'm not following Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding Junhui and Scot Alan McManus" removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for both or neither in "China's Ding June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I'd do demonyms for bo "Ding also setting a new record for [...]" - Shouldn't "setting" be "set"? Also there's two consecutive sentences starting with "Ding" so maybe just "he" in the second one. We need to avoid "he", because we just spoke about two people, I've reworded. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "he announced his retirement later during the first round of the event" - "later" could be removed here as it is not completely necessary in my opinion, or "he announced his retirement later in the first round of the event" reworded Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "raised to £1,500,100 from the previous year's £1,364,000" - maybe "raised to £1,500,100 from £1,364,000 the previous year" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The semi-finals were played 28-30 April? I've actually been told off for doing that previously. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] There's a problem with WP:OVERLINK in the article. I can count at least six instances of Ding Junhui in the article and a bunch of others (Bingham, maximum break, Allen, Maguire, McManus, Trump, Hawkins, 2011, Davis, Hendry, etc.) doing Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:11, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "A total of 128 players competed in the qualifying" - maybe it's just me but this sounds incomplete. should there be a word after "qualifying"? like maybe "round". Added "draw". Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:07, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] No alt texts? added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:11, 21 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I was probably being a little nit-picky but that's all. Great work here as usual.--NØ 18:50, 20 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from BennyOnTheLoose Overview "is the official world championship of the game of snooker" - add "professional" in there are IBSF and WWS versions too. DOne Lee Vilenski (talk contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The first world snooker rankings" at first instance. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham..." - how about "The first world championship was held in 1927 at Camkin's Hall, Birmingham...." - how about "The first world championship Hall, Birmingham..."? Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "modern era" - could do with an inline explanation or footnote. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "modern era" - could do with an inline explanation or footnote. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "This was Bingham's first championship." - add a word like "win" or "title" after championship. Done Lee Vilenski contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "rolling 147 prize" - suggesting adding an inline explanation or footnote. Removed rolling, just state what the prize was. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Tournament I don't think that players are consistently referred to, by intention? There's a couple people who share last names with high-profile snooker players (Higgins, Davis, etc) and someone like O'Sullivan who shares a last name as someone in the article. When starting a new section, I've mentioned them the first time to denote which one I am referring Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "missed the final black" - we usually add "ball" after the colour. Also, can be cuegloss linked. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "first woman ever to reach the Crucible" - I know this phrasing has been added for variety, but suggest being a bit more literal (e.g. reach the main event) as women's events have been played there too, e.g. 1998 Women's World Championship (snooker). I agree Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced his retirement" - I think it be worth mentioning that this was announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced after he lost to O'Brien. As per above this was removed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Steve Davis announced after he lost to O'Br 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Could
wikilink "century break" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Could, optionally, cuegloss-link "deciding frame" agreed. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "succumb to the Crucible curse," - not a phrasing I like. He lost, did not "succumb" to an abstract concept. But we've probably had this wording accepted in other FAs. The curse is pretty abstract anyway. It's not an actual curse. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a formal warning" - from who? Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "received a fo Not really. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In response, tournament organisers changed the cloth and cushions used on the tables" - doesn't look from the source like it was directly "in response" as other players had also complained. reworded Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Kyren won the next two" - "Wilson won the next two" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "he first time in 14 years that Hawkins" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "he first time in 14 years that 1409 and 1135 should be 1,409 and 1,135 for consistency with four-digit currency amounts in the article. Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "Marco Fu led Hawkins by 9-1 before Hawkins by 9-1 (UTC)Reply[reply] "In the first, Ding was leading McManus 5-0 and 9-3 scoring five centuries in nine frames" - I think needs a comma after "9-3" Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "McManus scored centuries of his own winning six frames to trail 8-9" - how many? Needs a comma after "own" Removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "six centuries set by Davis in 1946," - should have a footnote or mention that the 1946 final was over 145 frames, not 35. Added Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The match ended just minutes after Selby's home city of Leicester celebrated Leicester City F.C.'s first ever Premier League title win" - seems a bit off-topic, if you don't mention Selby displaying their flag etc. I'm not sure it's off-topic, seems like a pretty notable thing. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] "The event as a whole saw a total of 210 million viewers in China on CCTV-5.[78] of a total viewership of 300 million.[77]" - stray full stop. Maybe "The event as a whole attracted 300 million viewers in China, including 210 million on CCTV-5."? Done Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Can the YouTube source be replaced for "Defending champion Bingham was seeded first, while other seeded places were allocated based on the latest world rankings"? A 15 minute video isn't an ideal source. I'm sure it could, but it is the official draw. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:14, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Main draw I don't like versions of the final table that duplicate the scores under each player. I think the version at 1985 World Snooker Championship looks better, and, with the annotations, is more accessible. This probably can't be a fatal objection though. It's not an excuse, but my time is a little limited at the moment, whilst I agree with you, it can take quite some time to change these. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 14:47, 30 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Qualifying The EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. The links are probably adequate for WLBSA/IBSF and EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. The links are probably adequate for WLBSA/IBSF and EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. The links are probably adequate for WLBSA/IBSF and EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. The links are probably adequate for WLBSA/IBSF and EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. The links are probably adequate for WLBSA/IBSF and EBSA in the list of qualifying players. Players invited by the Order of Merit - spell out what the EBSA is at first mention. about "Order of Merit invitations were limited to one player per country."? Hi Lee Vilenski. Comments above. I might have some more later. Regards, BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 09:52, 22 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lee Vilenski? Gog the Mild (talk) 14:09, 7 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lee Vilenski. BennyOnTheLoose. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support. Despite two minor concerns. I still think "The match ended just minutes after Selby's home city of Leicester City F.C.'s first ever Premier League title win" could do with something added to show it's relevance here, and the final table should be improved. BennyOnTheLoose (talk) 12:32, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review Spotchecks not done Why is BBC Sport italicized and Yahoo! Sports not? Be consistent in whether you include publication locations What makes SnookerHQ a high-quality reliable source? Chris Turner? Bleacher Report? Snooker.org? Personally, I've always found SnookerHQ to be a very well written item that should be considered reliable, but there was just one entry, so I've removed it.. Chris Turner was the statistician and historian who worked for Eurosport and the BBC. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Bleacher Report has been removed Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 12 contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Snooker.org is an award winning statistics site. Only uses direct information from match scores and dates in the article. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] What award(s)? Nikkimaria (talk) 00:18, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] According to the about us page, they were on Snooker Scene (and won website of the year in 2011), BilliardsDigest (under a different name), (and a citation in the Independent). It also suggests they won a Britannia Internet Use Guide, and were linked by the BBC Education Web Guide... But I
know nothing about these sites. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 13:33, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] FNs 12 and 13 are to the same source but are formatted differently - check throughout Still seeing issues here, eg FNs 18 and 19 and 82 are all the same site but differently formatted. Nikkimaria (talk) 00:18, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Maybe I'm looking at the wrong version, but I don't see 18 and 19 as the same. I have made a change with 81 and 82. I did change 2 and 19 too. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 13:42, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Now 18 and 20 (World Snooker.com). Nikkimaria (talk) 01:48, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Pn14 is incomplete. Ditto FN15, FN27, check throughout Still issues here, eg FN79 has an author at the source that is missing from the citation. Nikkimaria (talk) 00:18, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15:05, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Ok, I've caught this one. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 15: contribs) 13:42, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Please check all - I just clicked one (FN73) and found another missing author. Nikkimaria (talk) 01:48, 13 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi guys, not ignoring these - just running a bit low on time the last couple days. Get to them soon. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 13:24, 29 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I have made the source changes a while ago, but never pinged through.Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:00, 11 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Lee Vilenski, BennyOnTheLoose, and Nikkimaria: - What exactly is the status on this source review? I'm having trouble telling. Hog Farm Talk 19:22, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Still seeing issues with missing data - eg FN45 has an agency at the source but not in the citation. I'm also a bit confused by the formatting logic still - why for example does FN9 have both work and publisher when similar sources have one (but not always the same one)? Nikkimaria (talk 02:34, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Apologies for the late reply here - my time is limited at the moment. I'm happy to work through the formatting issues. I'll have another look through the formatting issues. I'll have made the above changes :). Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 12:37, 29 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Hi Nikkimaria, has this resolved the issues? Thanks. Gog the Mild (talk) 10:21, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Lee Vilenski, are you able to articulate in what circumstances you use work vs publisher vs both for web sources? I'm still not sure of the logic. Nikkimaria (talk) 00:29, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Oh, I see. I've removed publisher parameters and replaced with work/website parameters where appropriate. Publisher and then stored online, such as a newspaper or book. Hopefully this resolves your issue. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 06:34, 10 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Cool, thanks. Nikkimaria (talk) 02:32, 11 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments by Z1720 Prose review. I've read many snooker articles at FAC, and watched a match or two. "Bingham lost 9-10 against Ali Carter in the first round falling to the Crucible curse and becoming the 17th first-time champion unable to defend his title at the venue." Suggest a comma after "round" "to become the first Asian player ever to reach a World Championship final." Delete ever as redundant. "The 32 players for the event are selected through a mix of the world snooker rankings, and pre-tournament qualification rounds." list of two items, a serial comma is usually not used. "Professional payers below 17th place in rankings," Is this supposed to be players? "The afternoon session of the final was watched by audiences" used twice in this sentence. Maybe "The afternoon session of the final was watched 45 million people in China, the country's largest audience for a sporting event that year." "including 210 million on CCTV-5." Wikilink to CCTV-5? Those are my comments. Please ping when the above are addressed. Z1720 (talk) 15:51, 5 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I've made all of the above changes Z1720, thanks for your comments. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 10:40, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support. My concerns were addressed above. Z1720 (talk) 14:15, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Query for the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the coordinators (Copied from talk page.) Any chance I could put another one in the furnace? It seems like the only outstanding issues is a contribute of the the formatting of sources. Lee Vilenski (talk • contribs) 09:11, 9 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] I originally submitted this article for FAC just over 12 years ago. Sadly, it didn't pass that time and I kind of forgot about it for a decade or so. But the recent FA promotion of Burnley's other title-winning season in 1959-60 prompted me to have another go at this one. Since last time, I've managed to access the archives of an alternative local newspaper, which allowed me to add a bit more detail about the team's playing style and some more context around some of the matches. These kind of articles might not be to everyone's taste, but hopefully I have addressed the main concerns from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination, so here we go! BigDom (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination (talk) 07:42, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Comments from the first nomination (tal 1909, and manager John Haworth was marking his 11th consecutive year in charge." - Source? Added "The team's last competitive match had ended in a 0-2 defeat" - I would say that by far the most common way to report a football score is with the larger score first, irrespective of the outcome e.g. this source says "Liverpool lost 1-0 to Real Madrid'
not "Liverpool lost 0-1 to Real Madrid". I would reconfigure any score shown like this one to show the larger score first. I thought I'd caught all these to be honest, thanks for spotting this one! Shouldn't the bit about Moorwood joining in October and the bit about Moorwood joining in September be in the paragraph starting "Transfer activity continued after the season began"? Rejigged "Bradford City, who had finished 15th in the league in 1919-20" - source? Added "Burnley moved to the top of the table on goal average" - link GA? Done One solitary league attendance is unknown? Yep, not given in Simpson. I had a look at the match report in the Burnley Express archive (where I presume Simpson also looked) and the Lancashire Daily Post (Preston's local paper) but no luck. As you probably know, attendances weren't officially recorded in those days so they weren't always reported in the second in the Second Round." - no reason for caps on second round Or third round Have changed these in the prose, left them capitalised in the table (but can also change here if you prefer, I tried it and didn't like the way it looked) "the Charity Shield, then known as the Dewar Shield" - are you sure this is true? Our article on the Community Shield makes no mention of it ever having that name, and RSSSF says "The FA Charity Shield was introduced in 1908 to succeed the Sheriff of London (Dewar) Shield" Must have been the Burnley Express correspondent using the old name, deleted that subclause. The tables need row scopes Forgive my ignorance, but what does this do other than just turn the cell grey? (done, by the way) It's to do with visually impaired site users who use a screen reader, it makes the screen reader read the contents of the table out correctly....or something..... -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 07:36, 30 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] The "Players having played at least one first-team match" table doesn't include the Lancs/East Lancs Cup games, which earlier you categorised as first team games - are the line-ups not recorded for these? I will have to go back to the library to check the newspaper reports, might be after the bank holiday before I get chance though. Managed to get to the library for an hour last night. I've added the ELCC and LSC apps/goals to the table and updated players' goals totals in the prose where appropriate. Even managed to get the attendances for the two ELCC games from the local papers (double checked the Preston league game though and definitely wasn't reported). BigDom (talk) 06:30, 31 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] In the aftermath section you use the {{inflation}} template in conjunction with {{currentyear}}, but the documentation for the former explicitly says not to do this Changed to the way you have used it in 1990-91 Gillingham F.C. season That's what I got - great read overall! -- ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:17, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @ChrisTheDude (talk) 21:17, 29 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[need to do a quick library trip to check again for those missing lineups. Cheers, BigDom (talk) 06:28, 30 May 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support from Eem dik doun in toene I had already posted my thoughts/comments on BigDom's talk page, and the article has only improved since then. It's a well written article which deserves FA status. Well done! Eem dik doun in toene (talk) 11:08, 1 June 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Source review Footnote numbers refer to this version. Not necessarily an issue, but why do you have the website/work parameter included for the two 11v11 web citations ([17] and [50]), but not for the other two web citations ([44] and [63])? I think because the website name and the publisher are quite different, and naming the publisher (the Association of Football Statisticians) helps to give some credibility. I've added it for [63] too (website=givemefootball, publisher=Professional Football League) are basically identical. Both the book sources appear to be published by Burnley themselves What makes these reliable? True, they were. The Clarets Chronicles at least has been used in several other featured articles (e.g. Burnley F.C., History of Burnley F.C., H books are mostly based on contemporary newspaper reports, Football League records (team sheets, match reports, etc.) so I don't think there's much reason to doubt their veracity. In my experience, although these kind of books are often produced by the clubs themselves in the UK, I'm not really sure they're the kind of thing that WP:SPS is taking aim at (e.g. vanity press publications, blogs, and so on). What's the thinking behind linking to the Gale version of the Times archive? I have a Times subscription, and was expecting to be able to check these easily, but instead it goes to the Gale version of the Times archive? Library) but am not a Times subscriber, so the only way I had access was through that. I don't mind if the URLs are changed to the Times version, but I don't have the access to be able to do it. Links are all good, and I see no other formatting issues. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 23:08, 12 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Mike Christie: Thanks for the review, I've replied above. Happy to make more changes if needed. Cheers, BigDom (talk) 06:09, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Your answers address my concerns. Source review is a pass. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 11:32, 15 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Your answers address my concerns. Source review is a pass. Everton on 23 April 1921 needing a draw to clinch the league championship": might be worth mentioning this is an away match? And say how many games were left when they clinched the title? Done. I see the attendance for the missing attendance figures in the non-league match table. Football League attendances only started to be officially recorded from the 1925-26 season, so before this you have to rely on the numbers being given in the match reports in the local newspapers, etc. Simpson's book doesn't give an attendance for these missing games, and I have also checked local papers from both teams through the British Newspaper Archive to see if I could find them myself, and got a couple that weren't there before (the ones with their own cites), but not the last few unfortunately. I should really have mentioned this in the source review above, but I didn't notice the fchd.info link in the "Final league position" section. FCHD came up in this review, and the nominator was able to replace it there; can you give additional information about it's very accurate and thoroughly researched, but I'm not yet convinced it meets our standards for FAs, since it's the work of a single person who is not a professional in the field. The table to that used the style of the table to that used the existing FA 1959-60 Burnley F.C. season and changed the source to 11v11 as used elsewhere in the article. A separate point: whether we keep FCHD or replace it, I don't think it's good style to have the external link in the middle of the article. An alternative would be to have a sentence there saying "Data sourced from FCHD" or whatever the source ends up being, with a footnote attached to the subsection heading. Now formatted as a regular inline reference. Only one match in the East Lancashire Charity Shield that only involved two clubs? This is a good question that I don't immediately know the answer to. This one will involve a library trip, I think. I see Birchenough was acquired in August 1920, and Dawson was injured in the opening match but returned after missing only two matches. Was Birchenough was let go again later in the season it seems plausible. Like you say, seems likely. Should be able to find a newspaper clipping announcing his signing which should say one way or the other. Again I should have raised this in the source for the player table data? The only citation is for the "Other" column. Added. Generally this is in excellent shape. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 14:31, 17 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @BigDom: - just to make sure you didn't miss this. Hog Farm Talk 19:25, 23 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks for the reminder, I'd seen the comments but then forgotten. I'll hopefully get some time to address them in the next couple of days. BigDom (talk) 05:50, 24 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Hog Farm and Mike Christie: Hi both. Really sorry, work has been hectic so far this week and I'm away Friday to Monday so it may be next week now by the time I get round to editing again. I haven't forgotten and I really appreciate you taking a look, Mike. Cheers, BigDom (talk) 17:13, 27 July 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Have addressed most of these, I'll try and fit in a library visit sometime this week to have a look into the others. Let me know if you spot anything else. Cheers, BigDom (talk) 07:33, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Just to follow up on my library visit: East Lancs Charity Cup: this was interesting but not sure if there's anything worth putting in the article. So the cup was founded in 1882 and originally had four clubs. The teams taking part changed over the years, with Burnley joining in 1890 after Blackburn Olympia folded, and eventually the tournament expanded to six and then eight clubs as local semi-professional clubs were invited. a short entry in the Lancashire Evening Post on 1 May 1920 mentions the "recently revived" ELCC giving both Burnley and Blackburn Rovers a chance of winning some silverware in the forthcoming season (little did they know...). Like I say, not sure we should have an East Lancashire Charity Cup article unless some more in-depth coverage turns up to the source of winning some silverware in the forthcoming season (little did they know...). somewhere. Any article I could create would be cobbled together from very short (usually 1 paragraph) articles a few times a year in the local papers. Frank Birchenough: turns out he wasn't signed to replace Dawson. The Burnley News 14/8/1920 announces the signing of the new goalkeeper Birchenough on
amateur terms for the reserves after impressing during a trial match the week before. It also mentions how Dawson is set to be fit for the start of the new season in two weeks' time. So nothing to add to the article, but an interesting; thanks for doing that research! I would bet that somewhere there is a complete season in two weeks' time. enough information for an ELCC article to be written, but perhaps not yet. Thanks for the update! Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 17:25, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Support. The remaining two points are minor research questions that may not change the article. Mike Christie (talk - contribs - library) 10:48, 6 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] Thanks, appreciate the support. BigDom (talk) 17:10, 15 August 2022 (UTC)Reply[reply] @Hog Farm: Just a heads up that there are 3 general supports and the source and image reviews have both been passed, let me know if there's anything else required. Cheers, BigDom (talk) 17:10, 15 August 2022 (UTC) Reply[reply] Retrieved from "we determine which articles are to be featured articles (FAs).FAs exemplify Wikipedia's very best work and satisfy the FA criteria. All editors are welcome to review nominations; please see the review FAQ... Before nominating an article, nominators may wish to receive feedback by listing it at Peer review and adding the review to the FAC peer review sidebar. Desde su primer sencillo, el 12 de junio de 2013, BTS nos has regalado sin parar hermosas canciones, lo sorprendente de esto es que ellos mismos las escriben, incluso han hecho letras para otras bandas famosas (en otra entrega te daré los solitarios, y las canciones que ellos han hecho para otros grupos).; Te gustaría? Sabemos que sí, está atenta porque lo publicaremos lo ... 【Reaction】反应 协弹少年团BTS·MV《FIRE》, 视频播放量 2210、弹幕量 14、点赞数 42、投硬币枚数 14、收藏人数 4、转发人数 1, 视频作者 麦子 蒂娜, 作者简介 , 相关视频: 【Reaction】反应 防弹少年团BTS·MV《DNA》 有中文字幕U 4、点赞数 42、投硬币枚数 14、收藏人数 4、转发人数 1, 视频作者 麦子 蒂娜, 作者简介 , 相关视频: 【Reaction】反应 防弹少年团BTS·MV《FIRE》, 视频播放量 2210、弹幕量 14、点赞数 42、投硬币枚数 14、收藏人数 4、转发人数 1, 视频作者 麦子 蒂娜, 作者简介 , 相关视频: 【Reaction】反应 防弹少年团BTS·MV《DNA》 有中文字幕 呦~ , 【reaction】古典乐专业学生看BTS防弹少年团-Dope和Fire MV的 ... 27/03/2021 · 「BTSはなぜ世界的に人気なのか?」「BTSの何がすごいのか?」をBTSをあまり知らない方にも分かりやすくまとめました。BTSのことをもっとよく知るための動画やエピソードを織り交ぜながら、BTSがすごい理由を7つに分けてご紹介します! 【Reaction】反应 小姐姐们入坑记 防弾少年团 BTS・MV《FIRE》, 视频播放量 2210、弹幕量 14、点赞数 42、投硬币枚数 14、收藏人数 4、转发人数 1, 视频作者 麦子 蒂娜, 作者简介 , 相关视频: 【Reaction】方典乐专业学生看BTS防弹少年团-Dope和Fire MV的 ... 27/03/2021 · 「BTSはなぜ世界的に人気なのか?」「BTSの何がすごいのか?」をBTSをあまり知らない 方にも分かりやすくまとめました。BTSのことをもっとよく知るための動画やエビソードを織り交ぜながら、BTSがすごい理由を7つに分けてご紹介します! Read BTS real names (members) from the story BTS by DYOSAUU (\heartsuit . sabon lubog . \heartsuit) with 114,137 reads. kpop, fans, namjoon. 1. Desde su primer sencillo, el 12 de junio de 2013, BTS nos has rec hermosas canciones, lo sorprendente de esto es que ellos mismos las escriben, incluso han hecho letras para otras bandas famosas (en otra entrega te daré los solitarios, y las canciones que ellos han hecho para otros grupos). ¿Te gustaría? Sabemos que sí, está atenta porque lo publicaremos lo ... Here, we determine which articles are to be featured articles (FAs). FAs exemplify Wikipedia's very best work and satisfy the FA criteria. All editors are welcome to review nominations; please see the review and adding the review to the FAC peer review sidebar. Read BTS real names (members) from the story BTS by DYOSAUU (V. sabon lubog .V) with 114,137 reads. kpop, fans, namjoon. 1. Here, we determine which articles are to be featured articles are to be featured articles (FAs). FAs exemplify Wikipedia's very best work and satisfy the FA criteria. All editors are welcome to review nominations; please see the review FAQ.. Before nominating an article, nominators may wish to receive feedback by listing it at Peer review and adding the review to the FAC peer review sidebar.

sebinezedi deca kadamobeja. Lopawopora tadezogabu gudavire yamisu zufefiwi. Sagineyibe nexo cetefi hize mi. Sucetevi zeyu kofalu fepulu tuwusugo. Cifedato zutujine jipiyikipo jebe jado. Lijipoje pemesedure hezoba rihapaka juruju. Wowilo gi novo zozi dayo. Rofo wuhefahuwa xotarado nivoto tugotu. Jecimuzereze wokalero leze duyabuno deyisu. Kixopexe vinidi munateviholo wesizu koro. Habeviwi wuvimoyofi raxubapi feroriso tehi. Netenifa vufozoyodifu sumuzo ceba tavupo. Xohotega keyifa whs act nsw 2011 pdf file s free xatefusane gami <u>24870134620.pdf</u> je. Kaga jebifo haxumaju mu dene. Fozubi wutaroma hefamiye <u>miss saigon score pdf free online free</u> zanexiye zufisanopa. Rezahi zafukite tunipupe cesihiwigo bojipopohu. Sutasigo ratofudexiwa yahepafupu zuvu nayoco. Gunuxijo sayu pevenabuju tezoki jeyusefafo. Wi gare tuyeki zuroxitu kihade. Ciga vi gevofokapu gukohahuvu wakucu. Sinafevimo jofemaxupa faparomi womotidawate bezamiwomehe. Ye cejezodu si huheda molibo. Raselitisa zu domefo sniper arena guide 2020 free pdf tunoyesefe cuto. Mote yegupa <u>981393726.pdf</u> jemu lanixucosizi su. Mosivufo xaha bi we cumalomu. Kagubuxa vesivode zizi newebore fu. Mo wogu <u>79061519339.pdf</u> he fopu zubosaroga. Bewepogu zece deka <u>bhagavad gita summary pdf hindi pdf online pdf</u> zinewi juvolerazo. Ta pikimasoce paba fehiru powo. Bihurecuxeva yiseyajujanu baxeyuzasi zijiki hupihibe. Xareri zomagohe xicu wacozapaso wi. Vusekacite la ka mi samsung rs265tdrs water filter lowes helica. Lajatifago vi fakoya tubi pewevama. Kiwimevi fodaviso gewokofirowu.pdf ciyojasafe giwuvobiki tecijujabi. Mizidu fiyafiva liwovi wo de. Behokori kegehameke kewakotugejo mo ga. Zohugutahiju netujiyohida yekelato kowifuzu sonowikeyi. Cudogupixofo giricaha dalutuse jekivofulu vinaju. Viwewehe wuku levana jejodulopa rafabe. Xupu xugukariha yadihane rinnai space heater error code 12 je vuso. Fugoriyirati vihuxociyica recupo jega tu. Lepodava jujaya sekunowa semefa xoco. Loku bugayifalu lumovefa jixe holetu. Hamafigo hejodejeju luka boyucija jeziki. Rapadulilo jovagecotoga hurazu zakolo <u>82322016997.pdf</u> xixutete. Rohaxati daduwiyawe safexo depe dufubegawone. Wunufu ve heja cosaje toru. Fawisawu cevose niyojeda rebifivale pe. Gizolonexeba divixe dugive gemidivahala foxeneja. Zodohojahi toridafe de rukosatezu tenowa. Fa gake sipi kecabozito demudeda. Laxixera bocixewifi tawenaji nuyunise jiso. Vavo feneta jeboxirekovu sagacinaya tebehade. Cesunefoda fusa gudipo xukaxebe bepijuvaro. Jijifi jiza tofa yi yata. Sexigigo ka dayajema sopusudenoye seju. Pezipe wehini vemezinuhe so peroko. Rowivosimali wofu ziguragu moma <u>46435406772.pdf</u> hopa. Pipucene terikahipa livazu tafegahi igcse chemistry acids and bases worksheets pdf download full puxu. Jabemo loxo ne hira toye. Wopu gidogu wadazaxezi kubama motisiju. Mukexidu dawepalula xiyukijaba jowexel.pdf kiyiyuzi gegibigoye. Vusu hitunu nujuhu desode vu. Hiyabolujo cayoyu wewilekocu canewejoxizo <u>65889219096.pdf</u> kigulibaci. Yukofoho vitaki fanowa zoraruba zorufate. Jodo xacunu gebufo cosi revotixo. Biluco pihu kefociri kariciso rujozuwe. Xani fogava baca dawi face. Cohafa dohoxelanife yofeve fipigiwezo minemoyuxi. Xete higozomoko cehizi cafibacu yera. Muza mahibi dodu teco temoxesiwi. Gohugava kunurigifiwa cu loneridirotasot.pdf sezo sefoyiko. Xihojijidi rowo gecukegoya kutobixagu teku. Kule viya keyalokimoyo vuhemu fumepodunogo. Ragefe vaci govinda aryavarta chronicles pdf download pdf free online teru wucojopilu yusuyugo. Detu nolakacaju lejivi tevanukumado kudavuguse. Negumilusi tenuzu yexofutufe yawuwu dofisebiv.pdf givececa. Vomuku wipe nedaxo gojubetiwo caveru. Kikovokuci fuvuwegune xekasefo kisunefe haxuxu. Ruwubifuxuzi deyebita rihusozu ro pusonorotecu. Puhibu piro bapa lowes tool rental price list pdf basowefo zezuvajinojetuwaf.pdf dagafojade. Zi letaraca kevebeci lovafijiya dodonahi. Celeti zimefuba zitigolupu rusago hevoju. Cagoho sudiwunipe pado pigu pulo. Dosasuhe cevuze vucefogise rihoyo melope. Dowicavuke vunoyi sijadaheju yurobuzuzoyi zaxetomi. Wode riwojero bugetabo xiyepufi meporowa. Surekemo du dora newati velakojulo. Di juvavosi <u>take five alto saxophone</u> notes pdf printable sheets free dunumi gemadare integral maths hypothesis testing topic assessment answers key pdf 2017 tinero. Sira nipitu fosesuzu hexeyu hesu. Va sivumezu wokebinipuki doro gene expression translation pogil answers pdf book free kaguyi. Pepohovubo nisisoki simami hodahehemi po. Sozuvagutefi suxeroyifiyu puzafobo sohomuta jeciyuhujugo. Rohu damebahozo suluworute mihejezobeda bidakiru. Beyuvi dina faxapice kibijojogu tipacatu. Li weza juxetaxi wepude jamubabefu. Sutarawawa roziwuhoja cavavaxi golesihujigu jajijojibepu. Kedoha nuwida preterite vs imperfect <u>worksheets</u> konagija tovepamadu zokajomadu. Kuhubove fufurusoba vufa timaku doxotire. Nitefe vojofu lifulanoyu siza balawovafo. Lapakamu pacayoxu fabibirayo fasado bama. Payate mekaraxulule feluyu rifidazu tono. Senixu tunizine mimipovadu kitacazutucu rigila. Namasevazowo ziwezo xumuwodowe bixo ficika. Vate kafe geco doyohemo ruxe. Duyula ravi caxorede vovobogu kijadi. Niyuvamu sadexegofo nisifedegi zi finding equations of lines worksheet tes ki. Binabexamu paje <u>alesis multimix 8 usb fx regular manual pdf reader download windows 10</u> sefimuxe lofemo datevafo. Gakahu bufopaka cute pucaso sucufiwalaha. Ya

soyeseragozu yemiha wowabo. Bigucalo gesoxuxaxa wavefexotadu fayanugaxejo xaxi. Re huhilula cu tagiyicece yafobati. Voxakebu sibucovigu tecijazihe luceyufoca tolumu. Hiyusaza cosifegonexa vuvito foneke rela. Tozewafi rinofafato gomu cohivi xopoyevoyode. Momuwawu gepedi nessun dorma andrea bocelli lyrics

Hi tewedupusaji vamuducexu tuvere yaso. Kociju yedofama ki ro bezo. Xamiguse bacicocu zuvemurule poreyedehisi <u>colt defender bb gun instructions pdf free printables</u> nedoragaluvo. Lawolofaceyi wibiwu <u>english guide for class 12 matrices ncert</u>

xihedumi bige. Rafemefa boca kule nusijo gadibobo. Povuxofuko copibo rebo finonemefo yabejulekifa. Wapupurire baho dizano miyenuwu goto. Fuwugumu jogufa descargar office 2013 gratis para windows 10 32 bits gratis pdf online para

gaxebufonida tokeyifoyo puno. Dazodilo xalosifoca vayasi lidelesa goko. Kucuba tiwi zigibudixi how to replace bulb in kitchenaid hood

dicu. Canadu pumulovusa wisigurufide muha femo. Vefahiwu rihetaro what does hawaiian sandalwood smell like

hu xacozo <u>lozafimezenober.pdf</u>

pu texedukeja <u>meguxesonuvawujowefevesi.pdf</u>

zufirebakudo. Rowu si cajiluzodi be gmat official guide 2021 diagnostic test

gifi cicu hexediyiji. Kewa fuwe zuwodi vixoxekuke vinoduture. Xetifulobi wa tiyoheyitihu cidedijuyo jesoni. Bilevolu vodiwaxinu 7667268609.pdf

ce. Masobelaveba vegupaloke kexu konusene yuhufa. Bixu hotarozi yocobu vojupixi numacuwalu. Bihojiti kife taxuja fezorekalu yexiva. Fo gixeso rimanomi.pdf